Mating pheromones of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* control both signal transduction events and changes in cell shape. The Gβγ complex of the pheromone receptor-coupled G protein activates the signal transduction pathway, leading to transcriptional induction and cell cycle arrest, but how pheromone-dependent signalling leads to cell shape changes is unclear. We used a two-hybrid system to search for proteins that interact with the Gβγ complex and that might be involved in cell shape changes. We identified the ankyrin repeat-containing protein Akr1p and show here that it interacts with the free Gβγ complex. This interaction may be regulated by pheromone, since Akr1p is excluded from the Gαβγ heterotrimer. Both haploid and diploid cells lacking Akr1p grow slowly and develop deformed buds or projections, suggesting that this protein participates in the control of cell shape. In addition, Akr1p has a negative influence on the pheromone response pathway. Epistasis analysis demonstrates that this negative effect does not act on the Gβγ complex but instead affects the kinase cascade downstream of Gβγ, so that the kinase Ste20p and components downstream of Ste20p (e.g., Ste11p and Ste7p) are partially activated in cells lacking Akr1p. Although the elevated signalling is eliminated by deletion of Ste20p (or components downstream of Ste20p), the growth and morphological abnormalities of cells lacking Akr1p are not rescued by deletion of any of the known pheromone response pathway components. We therefore propose that Akr1p negatively affects the activity of a protein that both controls cell shape and contributes to the pheromone response pathway upstream of Ste20p but downstream of Gβγ. Specifically, because recent evidence suggests that Bem1p, Cdc24p, and Cdc42p can act in the pheromone response pathway, we suggest that Akr1p affects the functions of these proteins, by preventing them from activating mating-specific targets including the pheromone-responsive kinase cascade, until Gβγ is activated by pheromone.

The mating reaction of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* provides a model system for studying both signal transduction events and the control of cellular morphology and polarity in response to external cues (for reviews, see references 3, 14, 21, 46, and 76). During the mating process, extracellular signals instruct each partner cell to arrest its division at a particular stage in the cell cycle, to alter its repertoire of gene expression by inducing new transcription, and to alter its morphology in an asymmetric fashion so that it can fuse with its chosen partner to form a diploid zygote. We are particularly interested in this last response, because it implies communication between the pheromone-responsive signal transduction pathway and determinants of cell shape and polarity.

Yeast cells are stimulated to mate when secreted pheromones (α factor and α factor) activate a signal transduction pathway by binding to a seven-transmembrane type of receptor (encoded by the genes *STE2* [α cells] and *STE3* [α cells]) that is coupled to a heterotrimeric G protein (Gαβγ, with subunits encoded by the genes *GPA1*, *STE4*, and *STE18*, respectively [reviewed in references 3, 33, and 76]). Genetic data (reviewed in references 3, 33, and 76) suggest that this binding triggers release of the Gβγ complex from the inhibitory Gα subunit, allowing Gβγ to transmit signal downstream to a cascade of protein kinases (Ste20p, Ste11p, Ste7p, and Fus3p or Kss1p), which in turn communicates with determinants of cell cycle arrest (Far1p) and transcriptional induction (Ste12p). In addition, another component required for signal transmission (Ste5p) has recently been suggested to serve as a scaffold for at least the three final members of the kinase cascade (18, 43, 51, 58). Recent biochemical data verify the genetically deduced order of action for this signal transduction pathway and also suggest that most of the members of this pathway have indeed been identified, since each of the kinases will phosphorylate the next kinase in the pathway, and the final kinase(s) will phosphorylate the factors (Ste12p and Far1p) that mediate the different responses to pheromone (24, 26, 56, 57, 89, 91). There is still, however, uncertainty about how the kinase cascade becomes activated by the free Gβγ complex; it is unresolved whether Gβγ activates the kinase cascade directly or through additional, unidentified intermediates.

In addition to activating the signal transduction pathway leading to cell cycle arrest and transcriptional induction, mating cells undergo morphological changes (reviewed in references 14, 21, and 76). Cells in the process of forming a zygote asymetrically rearrange their cytoskeletons and their distribution of secretory vesicles, and partner cells eventually fuse their cell walls, plasma membranes, and nuclei. Importantly, these responses are not spatially uniform but instead are directed toward the partner cell. That mating yeast cells can respond directionally toward the source of pheromone is demonstrated by their ability to locate and mate preferentially with...
a pheromone-producing cell in a mixed environment of pheromone-producing and pheromoneless cells (37-39, 45, 52). In addition, yeast cells will produce projections that grow along gradients of pheromone provided by micropipettes (68). In these ways, the mating response of yeast resembles chemotaxis. This behavior implies that at least some components of the signal transduction pathway inside the cell preserve the spatial information inherent in the distribution of pheromone outside the cell and that one or more of these components communicates with the determinants of cell shape and polarity, so that the direction of polarization is coupled with the direction from which signal is being received.

Cell shape and polarity in S. cerevisiae are governed in part by the proteins Bem1p, Cdc24p, and Cdc42p, which are often referred to as polarity establishment proteins because they are necessary for the generation of cell polarity during vegetative growth (5, 12, 13, 73). Cells with mutations in these genes are unable to restrict cell surface growth to the daughter bud and instead expand uniformly. Mutations in these genes also result in poor mating and the inability to undergo normal morphogenesis in response to pheromone (15, 16, 27, 64). Thus, it is likely that in some manner the activities of the polarity establishment gene products are modulated by the pheromone response pathway, but it is unclear which component(s) of the pheromone response pathway performs this function. From studies of the ability of cells to locate and polarize toward the source of pheromone, we had reason to suspect that this process might be controlled by the upstream members of the pheromone response pathway, in a manner independent of signal transmission through the kinase cascade. Specifically, cells lacking members of the kinase cascade (Ste20p, Ste11p, Ste7p, Fus3p, and Kss1p) or the scolding protein (Ste5p) retain the ability to distinguish between pheromone-producing and pheromoneless cells, whereas cells lacking the receptor (Ste2p or Ste3p) or G-protein subunits (Gpa1p, Ste4p, and Ste18p) are more defective for this ability (67). Therefore, we suspected that either the receptor, the Go subunit, or the Gβγ complex communicates with determinants of cell polarity, in addition to playing its known role in signal transduction.

In this study, we report our efforts to find gene products that interact with the Gβγ complex and that might participate in the communication between the pheromone response pathway and the determinants of cell shape and polarity. Our observations suggest that Gβγ communicates with a protein, the ankyrin repeat-containing protein Akr1p, that is involved in the control of both signal transmission and cell shape changes. This suggestion is further supported by recent evidence showing that determinants of cell shape, namely, the polarity establishment proteins Bem1p, Cdc24p, and Cdc42p, can participate in signal transduction (42, 71, 77, 90).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains, media, and methods. Standard methods for yeast propagation and manipulation (30) were followed. Standard rich medium (YPD), synthetic complete (SC) medium, and SC medium lacking amino acids or other nutrients were used (69). Yeast strains used are described in Table 1. Strain L40 has been described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study. Most yeast strains were described elsewhere (36); strains 8998-4-3 and 8941-1-4 were generated by K. Schrick; all other strains were generated for this study.
with BamHI and PstI (which cleaves at base 2527 of AKR1), and cloning into the corresponding sites in pBTM116. Plasmid pUCakr1::URA3 contains AKR1 sequences from bases 210 to 2531 (cloned as a BamHI-to-PstI fragment from p900A3-1 into pUC19) in which the AKR1 sequences from the Hpdhl site (base 365) to the BglII site (base 1826) were replaced with a URA3-containing Smal-to-BglII fragment from pMTp2-2 (19).

**Two-hybrid methods.** Measurements of two-hybrid interaction were performed with yeast strains L40 (36). PPY56, PPY665, and PPY667 (Table 1). Because the high-copy-number (2am) DBD-STE4 fusion construct pBTM-STE4 gave strong background signal (His−, β-galactosidase positive) even in the absence of any AD fusion, we created the low-copy-number (CEN) DBD-STE4 fusion construct pBla and found that the background signal was now eliminated in haploid tester strains but not in diploid tester strains. This difference between haploid and diploid tester strains is assumed to be due to accumulation of extra copies of the construct in diploid cells, with selective pressure against such accumulation in haploid strains because of the growth disadvantage due to high expression levels of Ste4p, which can cause cell cycle arrest by activation of the pheromone response pathway (20, 87). Although we have not proven this assumption to be true, the observation suggested that a potential source of noise in the two-hybrid search would be plasmids that caused the cell to either behave as diploid cells or to become resistant to cell cycle arrest. This expectation was verified, as described below.

For the two-hybrid search, cells of strain L40 that had been previously transformed with the DBD-STE4 fusion plasmid pBla (CEN, TRP1) were transformed with DNA from six separate AD fusion libraries, all in LEU2 vectors. These libraries consisted of yeast genomic DNA that had been partially digested with either Sau3AI (17) or a mixture of XbaI, HinP1, MaelII, MpyI, and TaqI (a gift from P. James and E. Craig) and then ligated to generate fusions with the GAL4 AD in each of three different reading frames. A total of 6.7 × 10^6 cotransformants (1.0 × 10^6 for the Sau3AI libraries and 5.7 × 10^6 for the mixed enzyme libraries) were screened. Cotransformants were selected by plating on SC medium lacking tryptophan and leucine and then after growth at 30°C for 3 to 4 days were replicated to SC medium lacking histidine, to select for activation of the lacZ::HIS3 reporter. Roughly half of 1,189 initial His+ colonies were also β-galactosidase positive and required both the TRP1 and the LEU2 plasmid for the positive signal. Of these, poor mating and resistance to α-pheromone-mediated growth arrest suggested that most carried plasmids that caused the cells to behave as diploids or to be otherwise resistant to cell cycle arrest. A sample of 107 colonies was checked by Southern hybridization with a probe corresponding to the α2 coding region of the STE18 locus (not shown), and 96 of these gave positive hybridization, suggesting that the vast majority of the clones isolated gave signal by virtue of causing the cells to behave as α/α diploids. Of the α-pheromone-resistant clones that did not hybridize with the α2 probe, three were sequenced, and these were found to carry truncations of genes which could plausibly give rise to pheromone resistance or diploid character (i.e., carboxy-terminal truncations of STE18 and amino-terminal truncations of SIR3 [not shown]). Therefore, we analyzed further only those clones that did not show pheromone resistance or poor mating. Only four total satisfied this criterion, and each of these was retested positively after recovery of the plasmid (35) and retransformation into L40, but only when
cotsupplied with the DBD-STE4 fusion plasmid pBluA and not with a control fusion to either lamin C or Cdc31p (pCT25 or pHM27, respectively). These four were sequenced by using a primer to GAL4 AD sequences, and sequences were compared with entries in databases by using the BLAST algorithm (2). Each was found to carry an in-frame fusion to the product of the AKR1 gene, beginning at codons 25, 51, 51, and 71 of Akpl (corresponding to nucleotide positions 210, 295, 295, and 357 of AKR1). These clones were named pBS.Aw3-1, pBS.Dw1-3, pST4B.1-1, and pST4B.3-1, respectively.

Although we had anticipated recovering the genes STE18 and GPA1, encoding the Gα and Gβ subunits of the G protein, the identification of any gene from these libraries necessitates that it contain the restriction site(s) used in the construction of the library; therefore interactions with proteins that are small or that require their extreme amino termini might be particularly difficult to recover. In addition, our requirement that the clones not cause pheromone resistance may have hampered recovery of GPA1.

β-Galactosidase assays. Quantitative β-galactosidase assays were carried out as previously described (54, 82). In brief, densities of cell cultures were measured by optical density at 660 nm (OD600), and cells were then harvested either by pelleting 1 ml (two-hybrid assays and Fus1-LacZ dose-response assays) or by filtration of 9 ml (basal Fus1-LacZ assays), resuspended in 0.5 ml of Z buffer (82 mM sodium phosphate [pH 7.0], 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO4, 40 mM β-mercaptoethanol), and permeabilized by vortexing in the presence of 0.01 ml of 0.4% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 0.05 ml of chloroform. Reactions were started by addition of 0.3 ml of o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (2.4 mg/ml in Z buffer), incubated at 30°C for 10 to 300 min, stopped by addition of 0.5 ml of 1 M Na2CO3, and then assayed by measurement of OD420. β-Galactosidase activity was calculated in Miller units (54) as 1.00 × (OD420/OD600) × volume (milliliters) × reaction time (minutes). Cell cultures used for two-hybrid measurements were grown in SC liquid medium lacking leucine and tryptophan to an OD of 0.3, incubated at 30°C for 10 to 300 min, and then assayed by measurement of OD600. β-Galactosidase activity was calculated in Miller units (54) as 1.00 × (OD420/OD660) × volume (milliliters) × reaction time (minutes).

Quantitative mating assays. Measurements of mating efficiency and mating partner discrimination were performed as described previously (37, 39). Matings were performed at 30°C for 3 h, using strain 8989-4-3b as the wild-type partner and BMS1-1b-8 as the pheromoneless partner.

Photomicroscopy. Cell cultures were grown at 30°C in SC liquid medium to 1 × 106 to 10 × 106/ml, sonicated, and fixed by addition of formaldehyde directly to the culture to a final concentration of 5%. Samples mounted on slides under coverslips were photographed on a Nikon Microphot-FX under differential interference contrast, using a ×40 oil immersion objective and a 1.6× intermediate magnifier.

RESULTS

Identification of AKR1 as interacting with STE4. We hypothesized that in addition to playing its role in activating the pheromone response pathway, the free Gβγ complex of the G protein might communicate with determinants of cell shape and polarity. Therefore, we used a two-hybrid system to search for proteins that interact with the Gβγ complex. For this purpose, we initially constructed a 2μm plasmid carrying a fusion of the lexA DBD to full-length STE4, encoding the Gβγ subunit. Because this construct gave strong background signal, we transferred the fusion to a CEN plasmid, which eliminated the background (see Materials and Methods). Using this modified version, we searched through 6.7 × 108 total transformants from two different libraries of yeast genomic DNA fragments fused to an AD.

The gene AKR1 was identified four independent times; no other genes were identified as interacting with Ste4p in this search, although a large number of initial positive clones were eliminated because they activated the latent transcriptional activation ability of the DBD-STE4 fusion (see Materials and Methods). The four clones identified were all in-frame fusions of the AD to the AKR1 gene product (GenBank accession number L31407), at codons 22, 51, 51, and 71 of the 764 codon AKR1 coding sequence, and each contained the entire remainder of the AKR1 coding region, as determined by restriction digestion analysis (not shown). The interaction observed was due to the AKR1 sequence and not to sequences elsewhere on the plasmid insert, as shown by transferring only the AKR1 sequence (from the clone starting at codon 22) into pBTM116, creating a fusion with the lexA DBD; this derived showed a positive interaction with an AD-STE4 fusion (Table 2). The specificity of the Ste4p-Akr1p interaction was addressed initially by allowing Akr1p not interact with lexA DBD fusions to lamin C or Cdc31p, and Ste4p did not interact with lexA DBD fusions to lamin C or Cdc31p, with either of three randomly picked fusions from the AD library, or with itself (not shown). Further information about the specificity of the interaction came from more detailed analyses (see below). Interestingly, Akr1p did show an interaction with itself (Table 2, strain 21), raising the possibility that it exists as a multimer in the cell.

The AKR1 gene was originally identified (42) as displaying a synthetic lethal interaction with the polarity establishment gene BEM1. Its coding sequence (42) predicts a protein of 764 amino acids with six ankyrin repeats (7, 53) in the amino-terminal third. Otherwise, the sequence does not lead to any obvious predictions of function. All of the AD-AKR1 fusions that we identified as interacting with Ste4p include the ankyrin repeats and all sequences C terminal to them.

During the preparation of this report, other groups reported two-hybrid interactions between Ste4p and three other proteins that were not recovered in our screen: Ste5p, Cdc24p, and Syg1p (74, 88, 90). Possible explanations for why these proteins were not found include (i) a requirement for a very specific

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification no.</th>
<th>Tester cells a</th>
<th>Fusion</th>
<th>β-Galactosidase activity a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
<td>STE18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
<td>STE18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
<td>STE18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
<td>STE18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>STE18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Diploid</td>
<td>STE4</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>STE18</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Haploid</td>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>AKR1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The haploid tester is L40; the diploid tester is FF429; the haploid ste4 tester is FFY665; pST18 is pMT70-ADE2, which expresses STE18 from an ADE1 promoter.

b Plasmids used are pBS.Aw3-1 (AKR1), pGAD24 (vector), pGAD-STE4, pGmN3-30 (ste4id), and pGmN3-E41 (ste4id). sted4id and ste4id indicate mutant derivatives of Ste4p that are defective in the ability to interact with Ste18p (Gγ) and Gpa1p (Gα), respectively (59).

c Plasmids are pBTM-STE18, pBS.Aw3-1, pBm1-STE4, and pBm1-STE4-1. Expresses in Miller units (54), multiplied by 10 to facilitate comparison. Each value represents the mean ± standard deviation for three independent transformants.

d The DBD-STE4 fusion (plasmid pBluA) is carried on a low-copy-number (CEN) plasmid, whereas all other DBD fusions are carried on high-copy-number (2μm) plasmids.
protein fragment in order for an interaction to be detectable (see reference 88); (ii) the necessary elimination, in our screen, of clones that caused pheromone resistance (see Materials and Methods and references 74 and 88); and (iii) a requirement for a particular arrangement of fusion constructs—for example, while we have reproduced the reported (90) interaction between DBD-CDC24 and AD-STE4 fusions, we cannot detect an interaction between DBD-STE4 and AD-CDC24 fusions (59), which would be required in our screen.

**Akr1p binds the free Gβγ complex.** Several observations (Table 2) argue that Akr1p binds preferentially to the Gβγ complex rather than to just the Gβ or Gγ subunits alone. First, Akr1p displayed positive interactions with either Ste4p (Gβ) or Ste18p (Gγ) (strains 1, 6, and 7). Second, the interaction observed between Akr1p and either Gβ or Gγ was dependent on the presence of the other member of the Gβγ complex, as evidenced by (i) the loss of interaction in aα diploid cells (strains 3 and 8), which do not express Gβ or Gγ (76); (ii) the rescue of the interaction between Gβ and Akr1p in diploid cells upon expression of Gγ from a promoter that operates in diploids (strain 9); and (iii) the loss of interaction between Gγ and Akr1p in haploid cells when the gene encoding Gβ (STE4) was deleted (strain 2). Third, a mutant derivative of Gβ that cannot bind to Gγ (but can still bind to Gα) showed loss of binding to Akr1p (strains 10 to 12), arguing that the interaction with Akr1p requires not only the presence of both Gβ and Gγ but their ability to form a heterodimeric Gβγ complex.

It is unlikely that the stronger interaction signal of Akr1p with Gβγ than Gβ or Gγ alone is due to stabilization of Gβγ by Gγ or vice versa, as has been observed for mammalian Gβγ complexes (34, 72), because (i) unlike its interaction with Akr1p, interaction of Gβ (Ste4p) with Gα (Gpa1p) is still strong even in the absence of Gγ (in diploid cells [strain 18]; see also reference 19) or when Gβ is defective for binding to Gγ (strain 12; see also reference 19); and (ii) immunoblot detection of Ste4p and Ste18p two-hybrid fusions similar to those used here show that expression levels of Gβ and Gγ are not dependent on the presence of the other (19).

A weak interaction between Akr1p and Gγ alone was, in fact, detectable (in diploid cells or in ste4Δ haploid cells; compare strains 2, 3, with 4 in Table 2), but it was greatly strengthened by the presence of Gβ (strain 1). This finding suggests that Akr1p may contact Gγ and that Gβ and Gγ may bind cooperatively to Akr1p. An analogous interaction between Akr1p and Gγ alone was not detected (compare strains 8 and 22), but the expression levels of Gβ and Gγ in these constructs are not comparable (not shown; see reference 49).

While it is possible that the interaction between the Gβγ complex and Akr1p is indirect, i.e., via another component that bridges between them, we can rule out the involvement of components that are haploid specific, including the pheromone receptors, Gpa1p, and Ste5p (76), since we could detect an interaction in diploids between Gβ and Akr1p as long as we provided Gγ (Table 2, strain 9). Proof of a direct interaction awaits reconstitution in vitro with purified proteins.

A further observation suggests that Akr1p may interact only with the free Gβγ complex and not with the Goβγ heterotrimer. To examine the effect of overexpressing Gα on the Gβγ-Akr1p interaction, it was necessary to delete from the tester strain the URA3-marked lexAop-lacZ reporter, since our Gα-expressing plasmids were also marked with URA3. Therefore, we monitored the two-hybrid interaction by the activity of the lexAop-HIS3 reporter (Fig. 1). The interaction observed between Gβγ and Akr1p (specifically, between AD-STE4 and DBD-AKRI) was severely inhibited by overexpression of Gα (GPA1 [Fig. 1, first row]). We suggest that this effect is caused by driving Gβγ into the Goβγ heterotrimer. To observe this inhibition, it was necessary to express GPA1 from a strong promoter (the PGK promoter) on a high-copy-number plasmid; high-copy-number GPA1 expressed from its own promoter was not sufficient. As controls, (i) mutant derivatives of Gβ that are defective at binding Gα showed binding to Akr1p (strains 13 to 15) that was resistant to the inhibitory effect of GPA1 overexpression (Fig. 1, second row), arguing that the effect of GPA1 overexpression is directly related to its binding of Gβγ, and (ii) interaction between Gβ and Gγ was not inhibited by GPA1 overexpression (Fig. 1, third row) (in fact, it became easier to detect [see the legend to Fig. 1]), arguing that Goα does not inhibit the interaction between Gβγ and Akr1p by sequestering Gβ into a cellular compartment other than the nucleus, where these interactions are measured.

Finally, we did not detect any interaction between Akr1p and Gα (Table 2, strain 20), and formation of a Goβγ heterotrimer is not required for interaction with Akr1p, since Gβγ bound Akr1p in the absence of Goα (in diploid cells [strain 9]) and a mutant version of Gβ that cannot bind Goα (but can still bind Gγ) retained its ability to bind to Akr1p (strains 13 to 15). Combining these results with the foregoing observations, therefore, we suggest that Akr1p binds to the free Gβγ complex and not to the Goβγ heterotrimer.

**Cells lacking Akr1p show defects in growth and cell shape.** To investigate further the function of Akr1p, we created a gene deletion by using a construct in which codons 73 through 560 of the 764-amino-acid coding region of AKRI were replaced by the URA3 gene. Upon sporulation of a heterozygous diploid, we found that akr1Δ::URA3 segregants grew more slowly than their AKRI counterparts (Fig. 2). The effect of this growth defect was a roughly twofold-longer doubling time for akr1Δ than for AKRI in SC medium at 30°C (not shown). In addition, akr1Δ cells grew even more slowly at 36 than at 30°C (not shown).
shown) and more slowly on rich medium (YPD) than on SC medium (not shown). The growth defect due to deletion of AKR1 was seen in each of four different strain backgrounds examined: 381G, A364A, S288C, and W303 (Fig. 3a). However, we noticed that the severity of the phenotype showed a strong dependence on strain background, especially for the morphological defects described below. We focused our studies on the phenotypes in the 381G background except when it was important to consider other backgrounds (see below).

Morphological examination of the akr1Δ cells (Fig. 4a, b, g, and h) revealed a heterogeneous mixture of cell shapes, with some cells appearing relatively normal but many having buds or projections that were elongated, branched, or curved; the less severely affected cells were generally irregular and not as spherical as AKR1 cells. The cells with severely abnormal morphology were not dead, as judged by their capacity to exclude methylene blue (not shown). Occasionally, groups of abnormal cells appeared connected even after sonication (Fig. 4b and c), suggesting that cytokinesis or cell wall dissolution may be impaired in some fraction of the akr1Δ cells. These morphological defects bear some resemblance to those observed in cells deficient in the function of various type 2A phosphatase subunits (6, 32, 66, 84) or the protein kinase Cla4p (22) and in cells hyperactive for the function of the GTPase Cdc42p (92).

Finally, the akr1Δ cells rapidly lost viability upon reaching stationary phase (Fig. 5), at a rate of roughly 18% loss per day at 30°C, such that only 9.4% of the akr1Δ cells were viable after 12 days, in contrast with essentially 100% of the AKR1 cells. It appeared that the akr1Δ cells were actually dying, rather than failing to resume growth from stationary phase, because the fraction of cells that failed to exclude methylene blue gradually increased during time in stationary phase (not shown). Notably, the less severely abnormal cells in the akr1Δ population appeared to die as rapidly as the extremely abnormal cells (as
assayed by dye exclusion [not shown]). It is possible that some fraction of cells in the akr1Δ population is always dying even during exponential growth but that loss of these cells is overshadowed by the remainder that are alive and dividing. If this is so, then the loss of viability that we observe would not be specific to stationary phase, but instead the halting of division during stationary phase would simply allow the rate of death to be observed.

Ak1p has a negative effect on the pheromone response pathway. Since Ak1p interacts with a component of the mating response pathway, Gβγ, we tested for mating and signalling phenotypes in akr1Δ mutants. Cells with a deletion of AKR1 did not show an appreciable mating defect (83% of AKR1 efficiency). The frequency of mating to pheromoneless cells in a mating partner discrimination assay (37), which measures the ability of cells to properly determine the source of pheromone, was increased 43-fold over that in AKR1 cells (from 9.3 × 10⁻⁶ to 4.0 × 10⁻⁴); while this defect is roughly similar in strength to that observed for myosin, actin, and clathrin mutants (39), we consider it to be relatively mild since 99.96% of the akr1Δ cells that mated still appropriately chose to mate to the pheromone-producing cells.

FIG. 4. Morphology of cells lacking Ak1p. Cells were grown and prepared as described in Materials and Methods. Strains: (a) PPY433; (b) PPY506; (c) PPY510; (d) PPY541; (e) PPY547; (f) PPY516; (g) PPY495; (h) PPY553; (i) PPY557.

FIG. 5. Loss of viability of akr1Δ cells in stationary phase. Cell cultures were grown to stationary phase in SC liquid medium at 30°C and were allowed to continue incubation with agitation for an additional 12 days. Aliquots were removed every 2 days, and appropriate dilutions were plated onto SC plates to measure the number of viable cells remaining. The percentage of the initial CFU is given. Shown are the means ± ranges of duplicate samples for AKR1 and the means ± standard deviations for six parallel samples for akr1Δ; viability for the akr1Δ cultures after 12 days ranged from 0.26 to 20% of the initial level. Strains were PPY433 (AKR1) and PPY506 (akr1Δ).
The akriΔ cells were still susceptible to growth arrest by pheromone (not shown), as determined by halo assays (75).

The negative effect of Akrlp is on the kinase cascade, not on Gfbγ. The increased signalling through the pheromone response pathway seen in cells deleted for AKRI, coupled with the observation that Akrlp binds to Gfbγ, initially suggested to us that Akrlp might negatively regulate the activity of Gfbγ. To address this suggestion, we combined deletion of AKRI with deletion of known components of the pheromone response pathway and assayed each double mutant for its basal Fus1-LacZ activity (Fig. 7). We found that deletion of STE4, encoding the Gβ subunit of the Gfbγ complex, did not affect the elevated basal signalling seen in akriΔ cells (compare rows 2 and 3). Thus, although Akrlp binds the Gfbγ complex and has a negative influence on the signalling pathway, it is not simply a negative regulator of Gfbγ activity, since its effect on signalling is independent of the presence of the Gβ subunit. Some other examples of elevated basal signalling, due to constitutive activation of the Ste11p kinase or mutation of the RGA1 gene, have also been found to be independent of the STE4 product (77, 78).

In contrast to deletion of STE4, the elevated basal signalling in akriΔ cells was eliminated by deletions of genes encoding components of the kinase cascade (STE11 and STE7) and the downstream transcription factor (STE12). This result suggested that the negative effect of Akrlp on signalling acts on the kinase, at the level of, or upstream of, Ste11p. The only known kinase upstream of Ste11p is encoded by STE20. We found that deletion of STE20 did not eliminate the elevated basal signalling of akriΔ mutants in the 381G background (Fig. 7, middle rows). However, in this strain background, ste20Δ cells are not defective for Fus1-LacZ induction in response to pheromone and are only partially defective for mating, not sterile (60). Therefore, we also analyzed an akriΔ ste20Δ double mutant in another strain background, W303, in which deletion of STE20 causes sterility and a nearly complete loss of Fus1-LacZ induction in response to pheromone (47, 62). In this case, we found that deletion of STE20 did eliminate the elevated signalling of akriΔ cells (Fig. 7, bottom rows). Thus, we conclude that deletion of AKRI probably leads to activation of Ste20p in both strain backgrounds but that Ste20p is redundant with another function in the 381G background.

Finally, deletion of STE5 did not eliminate the elevated basal signalling in akriΔ cells, but it did lower it (Fig. 7, fourth row). This observation parallels one made with constitutively activated Ste11p mutants, in which signalling in vivo by this kinase was still active in ste5Δ cells but was partially reduced (78). Therefore, we feel that our observation is consistent with the view that the kinase cascade becomes partially activated in cells lacking Akrlp.

Growth and morphological effects of Akrlp are separable from signalling. Since activation of the pheromone response pathway causes both arrest of the cell cycle and changes in cell shape, we asked whether the growth and morphological phenotypes of akriΔ cells are the result of the increased signalling through the pathway. Therefore, using the akriΔ steΔ double mutants described above, we compared those that did and did not have elevated signalling for their growth and morphological properties. Importantly, neither those deletions that did eliminate the elevated basal signalling of akriΔ cells (ste20, ste11, ste7, and ste12) nor deletion of a gene required for cell cycle arrest (FAR1) could rescue the slow growth of akriΔ cells or return their morphology to normal (Fig. 3b and c and 4; summarized in Fig. 7). In addition, none of these deletions could rescue the death of akriΔ cells observed in stationary phase (as assayed by dye exclusion [not shown]). These data
demonstrate that the slow growth of \( akr1 \Delta \) cells is not caused by activation of the cell cycle arrest pathway and that their abnormal morphology is not caused by activation of the kinase cascade or increased transcription of pheromone-inducible genes. Therefore, the slow growth and abnormal morphology of \( akr1 \Delta \) mutants cannot be attributed to hyperactivity of any one of the known positive components of the pheromone response pathway. It should be noted, however, that the severity of the morphological phenotype showed a dependence on whether the signalling pathway leading to elevated Fus1-LacZ activity was intact: the morphological phenotype was most severe for \( STE^+ \), \( ste4 \Delta \), and \( ste5 \Delta \) and was less severe (but still noticeable) for \( ste11 \Delta \), \( ste7 \Delta \), \( fus3 \Delta \), \( kss1 \Delta \), and \( ste12 \Delta \) (summarized in Fig. 7). Thus, it appears that deletion of \( AKR1 \) causes morphological abnormalities that are independent of pheromone response pathway activity but are accentuated by the elevated signalling through the kinase cascade. This accentuation is not surprising, since activation of the lower part of the pheromone response pathway can give rise to at least some morphological changes (23, 78).

**Phenotypes of \( akr1 \Delta / akr1 \Delta \) diploids.** Loss of Akr1p function also had an effect in a/a diploid cells. Specifically, the same phenotypes observed in \( akr1 \Delta \) haploids were seen in \( akr1 \Delta / akr1 \Delta \) diploids: slow growth (Fig. 3d), abnormal morphology (Fig. 8), and elevated levels of Fus1-LacZ activity (from 10- to 46-fold, with an average of 29 - 13-fold \( n = 6 \), compared with \( akr1 \Delta / AKR1 \) diploids). Related to this last phenotype, overexpression of the transcription factor Ste12p from a galactose-inducible promoter also gave strong Fus1-LacZ induction, even in wild-type a/a diploids (not shown). All of these phenotypes observed in \( akr1 \Delta / akr1 \Delta \) diploids are recessive, since \( akr1 \Delta / AKR1 \) heterozygotes resembled wild-type \( AKR1 / AKR1 \) diploids.

Among the morphologies observed in \( akr1 \Delta / akr1 \Delta \) diploids, a variable fraction of the cells displayed characteristics of the diploid pseudohyphal growth pathway (29, 44), including elongated cells, connected chains of cells, and an arrangement of connected cells suggestive of a uninodal budding pattern (Fig. 8b); unfortunately, heterogeneity of cellular morphologies and strongly delocalized Calcofluor staining (not shown) made it difficult to score the fraction of cells actually executing the uninodal budding pattern. These features were not observed in wild-type or \( akr1 \Delta / AKR1 \) diploids, which showed the normal bipolar budding pattern. Since components of the pheromone-responsive kinase cascade (Ste20p, Ste11p, and Ste7p) and the downstream transcription factor (Ste12p) are required in diploids for transition to pseudohyphal growth (50, 65), this observation reinforces the conclusion presented above that this kinase cascade becomes partially activated in cells lacking Akr1p. By analogy with our results in haploids, we would predict that \( akr1 \Delta / akr1 \Delta \) diploids that had further deletions of both copies of the genes encoding components of the kinase cascade or downstream transcription factor (e.g., \( ste11 \Delta / ste11 \Delta \) or \( ste12 \Delta / ste12 \Delta \)) would be rescued only for the elevated Fus1-LacZ phenotype and not for the growth and morphological phenotypes. We have not yet tested this prediction.

Finally, the \( akr1 \Delta / akr1 \Delta \) diploids also displayed strong defects in sporulation (not shown), which could be an indirect consequence of poor growth or abnormal morphology or could be more directly related to aberrant signalling in cells lacking Akr1p. We have not yet attempted to distinguish between these possibilities.

**DISCUSSION**

Akr1p binds G\( \beta \gamma \) and has an influence on both cell morphology and signalling. We are interested in how the yeast pheromone response pathway communicates with components that govern cell shape and polarity. On the basis of studies of...
the ability of yeast cells to polarize toward mating partners producing pheromone, we had reason to believe that either the pheromone receptor, the Gα subunit of the receptor-coupled G protein, or the Gβγ complex was responsible for interacting with determinants of cell shape and polarity (67). Because it has been difficult by genetic analysis to distinguish between these possible candidates, we decided to search directly for gene products that bind to each of them.

We began our search with the Gβγ complex and had anticipated two distinct effectors for Gβγ: one for its known function in activating the signal transduction pathway, and one for its hypothetical function in communicating with determinants of cell shape and polarity. Instead, we found only one gene, AKR1, whose product interacts with Gβγ but which when deleted has effects on both signalling and cell shape. Thus, via Akr1p, Gβγ may indeed participate in the control of cell shape and polarity in addition to carrying out its known function in signalling; but these functions may be less distinct than suggested by our simplest initial hypothesis (see below). Importantly, the effect of deleting AKR1 on cell shape is not caused by the increased signalling, since it was not eliminated by deletion of any of the pheromone response genes, even though the signalling was eliminated by some deletions (Fig. 7). This observation leads us to consider that the morphological defect in akr1Δ cells may cause the increased signalling, rather than vice versa (see below).

**How does Gβγ activate the downstream pathway?** While recent biochemical evidence has solidified our understanding of signal transmission through the pheromone-responsive kinase cascade (24, 26, 56, 89, 91), the mechanism by which this kinase cascade is activated by the free Gβγ complex remains unclear. It is possible that Gβγ directly activates the next genetically defined component, which could be either Ste5p or Ste20p (31, 47, 62), or, alternatively, there may be additional intermediates in this pathway, as has been suggested recently (see below). It is notable that recent work in a mammalian cell system suggests that at least five intermediate components are required for the activation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade by a Gβγ complex (81, 83).

What is the direct target of Gβγ activity in yeast cells? During the preparation of the manuscript, reports from three other groups suggested that Gβγ interacts with three different proteins: Ste5p, Cdc24p, and Syg1p (74, 88, 90). We show here that Gβγ can interact with a fourth protein, Akr1p. Interestingly, while Ak1p binds to the free Gβγ complex, it appears to be excluded from binding to the Gαβγ heterotrimer (Fig. 1). These are properties expected for an effector of Gβγ; namely, pheromone should activate the ability of Gβγ to interact with an effector by releasing Gβγ from the inhibitory Gα subunit. Surprisingly for a candidate effector, however, Akr1p has a negative influence on the signal transduction pathway. Thus, if Akr1p is an effector of Gβγ, the role of the Gβγ-Akr1p interaction might be to antagonize the negative effect of Akr1p on downstream events (Fig. 9). Consistent with this view, the negative effect of Akr1p on signalling acts on the kinase cascade downstream of Gβγ and not on Gβγ itself (Fig. 7).

While Akr1p could affect the kinase cascade directly, it could also act indirectly, by negatively regulating the activities of intermediates that participate in the transfer of signal from Gβγ to the downstream kinase cascade. There are three reasons to consider this second possibility. First, akr1Δ mutants have abnormal morphology in all strains deletions (Fig. 4 and 7), so at least one target of Akr1p, and maybe the primary target, still functions abnormally in these double mutants. Second, mutations in AKR1 display synthetic lethality with mutations in BEM1 (42), suggesting that Akr1p function relates to the activities of the polarity establishment gene products. Third, recent evidence suggests that the proteins Bem1p, Cdc24p, and Cdc42p, which are required for the control of cell shape and polarity (1, 40, 73), are also involved in pheromone-responsive signalling (42, 71, 77, 90), and GTP-bound Cdc42p can stimulate the kinase activity of the first kinase in the pheromone-responsive kinase cascade, Ste20p (71). Since Gβγ interacts with both Ste5p (88) and Cdc24p (90), perhaps it activates the pathway by bridging together two multiprotein complexes— one consisting of Ste5p and its associated kinases Ste11p, Ste7p, and Fus3/Kss1p, and the other consisting of Bem1p, Cdc24p, Cdc42p, and the kinase Ste20p—to thereby promote phosphorylation of Ste11p by Ste20p.

**A model for Akr1p function.** Consolidating the foregoing observations, we suggest a model in which Akr1p participates in the activation by the free Gβγ complex of both the down-
stream kinase cascade and the determinants of cell shape and polarity (Fig. 9). In this model, Akr1p serves to inhibit the activity of one or more of the polarity establishment gene products, Bem1p, Cdc24p, and/or Cdc42p. This inhibition could be direct or indirect (such as by blocking access to a target or restricting their spatial localization) and could affect all functions of these proteins or only functions specific to mating. The free Gβγ complex, liberated from Gα in response to pheromone, is responsible for activating the polarity establishment gene proteins, which would then activate both the kinase cascade and unknown targets responsible for changes in cell shape. The interaction between Gβγ and Akr1p may serve as a prerequisite step in order to antagonize the negative effect of Akr1p or for Gβγ to gain access to the polarity establishment gene products.

We suggest that the phenotypes of cells deleted for AKR1 are the result of inappropriate (increased, unregulated, or improperly localized) activity of the polarity establishment proteins, and, ultimately, of the GTPase Cdc42p. This supposition explains why the slow growth and abnormal morphology of akr1Δ cells are not rescued by deletion of pheromone response pathway components, even though the signalling phenotype can be relieved (Fig. 7). Presumably, Cdc42p has targets in addition to the pheromone-responsive kinase cascade, and therefore the activities of these targets would still be aberrant in akr1Δ cells even when the signalling phenotype is eliminated by deletion of STE20 or other downstream elements. Likely targets of Cdc42p function now include both Ste20p (71) and its related kinase Cla4p (22), and probably additional proteins involved in actin organization (22), so that even in akr1Δ ste20Δ double mutants, improper activity of Cla4p and/or other Cdc42p targets might lead to growth and morphological defects. In this regard, it is notable that both deletion of Cla4p function and hyperactivity of Cdc42p cause morphological deformities similar to those in akr1Δ mutants (22, 92). In addition, recent evidence suggests that combining deletion of AKR1 with that of CLA4 results in lethality or an additive growth defect with a severely exaggerated morphological and cytokinesis defect, depending on strain background (59).

One facet of our model is that Akr1p may participate in coupling the activities of a Bem1p-Cdc24p-Cdc42p complex to an upstream signalling system, the pheromone-responsive G protein. Since both this complex and Ste20p must function during vegetative growth (1, 5, 22, 73) without activating the pheromone response pathway, there must be mechanisms to limit their activities to targets appropriate for the process intended, e.g., bud formation or mating. Akr1p may help Gβγ divert this complex away from targets essential for growth and toward targets involved in mating (Fig. 9). Since Akr1p is also required in diploid cells, it may interact with signalling systems other than the pheromone-responsive G protein and may assist in preventing cross talk between related but functionally separate signalling systems. In this regard, the activation of Fus1-LacZ in akr1Δakr1Δ diploids (see Results) may provide a clue to the defect in cells lacking Akr1p function. Although elements of the pheromone response pathway are also utilized by haploid agar invasion and diploid pseudohyphal growth pathways (50, 65), Fus1 is not induced during the agar invasion pathway (65). Thus, either Fus1 is induced during pseudohyphal growth in diploids or the manner of activation of the kinase cascade in akr1Δakr1Δ diploids is in some way different from the manner in which it is activated during pseudohyphal growth. Perhaps in both haploid and diploid cells deficient in Akr1p activity, several kinase cascades that are normally activated by distinct stimuli become activated such that the mutant cells are simultaneously attempting mating, agar invasion, and pseudohyphal growth programs. Thus, Akr1p might functionally segregate different signalling modules, perhaps by multimerization via Akr1p-Akr1p interactions (Table 2).

Any model for Akr1p function must account for the observation that deletion of AKR1 only partially activates the pheromone response pathway, which can be further activated by Gβγ in response to pheromone in akr1Δ cells. In addition, akr1Δ mutants show no appreciable defect in mating efficiency or partner choice, and so some mechanisms of control of cell polarity by the pheromone response pathway must still be intact. It is possible that Akr1p is partially redundant with other proteins. Indeed, a recent two-hybrid screen for proteins that interact with Ste18p (Gγ) has yielded not only AKR1 but also a new gene (whose sequence was recently deposited [GenBank accession number X87331, entry name SPAC2F7.10]) that is highly similar to AKR1 (61) (there is also a Schizosaccharomyces pombe homolog of these two [GenBank accession number Z50142, entry name SCXV58KB.25]). Given the recent reports suggesting that Gβγ can interact with both Ste5p and Cdc24p (88, 90), however, it seems likely that there is direct activation of downstream components by Gβγ. The role of Akr1p may be to facilitate this process by preventing premature activation or to allow Gβγ to divert the polarity establishment proteins away from essential targets and toward mating-specific targets. Further work should help to distinguish among these possibilities. Most importantly, our model makes predictions about the role of the interaction between Gβγ and Akr1p: it predicts that while akr1Δ cells are fertile, the ability of Gβγ to interact with Akr1p may be an important or essential prerequisite to further action by Gβγ; thus, disruption of the Gβγ-Akr1p interaction through mutations in the Gβγ complex or through mutations in Akr1p (that retain its negative activity) may result in signalling or mating defects. This prediction is currently being tested.
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