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The small GTPase Ras, which transmits extracellular signals to the cell, and the kinase Aurora-A, which promotes proper mitosis, can both be inappropriately activated in human tumors. Here, we show that Aurora-A in conjunction with oncogenic Ras enhances transformed cell growth. Furthermore, such transformation and in some cases also tumorigenesis depend upon S194 of RalA, a known Aurora-A phosphorylation site. Aurora-A promotes not only RalA activation but also translocation from the plasma membrane and activation of the effector protein RalBP1. Taken together, these data suggest that Aurora-A may converge upon oncogenic Ras signaling through RalA.

Ras small GTPases (H-, N-, and K-Ras) function as regulated binary switches, typically at the plasma membrane, whereby extracellular signal-stimulated cell surface receptors stimulate guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) to promote GDP/GTP exchange to favor the formation of active, GTP-bound Ras. This, in turn, induces a conformational change in the effector binding domain in Ras, permitting the binding and activation of effector proteins, such as Raf proteins, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), and RalGEF proteins, that mediate Ras signaling (19, 53). One-third of human cancers harbor point mutations in Ras that render the protein in a constitutively active GTP-bound state, promoting a host of cancer cell phenotypes (40).

Aurora-A belongs to a family of three related serine/threonine mitotic kinases critical for many stages of mitosis. Studies of a number of model systems indicate that Aurora-A phosphorylates a growing number of proteins in a spatially and temporally restricted manner to ensure proper centrosomal maturation and separation, mitotic entry, mitotic spindle assembly, chromosome alignment and separation, and subsequent cytokinesis (18, 42). Overexpression of Aurora-A is seen in human cancers (22, 31, 32) and can cause growth transformation of Rat1 and NIH 3T3 rodent fibroblast cell lines (5). That it can cause tumor formation in the mammary epithelia of mice only after a long latency (60, 68) and that alone it does not transform primary rodent cells (1) or induce pancreatic cancer formation in mice (61) argue that Aurora-A acts in concert with other changes to promote a transformed state.

Although the mechanism by which Aurora-A promotes oncogenesis remains to be understood, emerging evidence suggests that Aurora-A may cooperate with the Ras oncoprotein. First, activating mutations in KRAS occur in nearly all pancreatic cancers (28), and Aurora-A has been found to be overexpressed in this tumor type by gene amplification (21) or by elevated levels of mRNA or protein (21, 35). This overexpression likely fosters tumor growth, as suppression of Aurora-A expression by interfering RNA or treatment with Aurora-A inhibitors also impairs pancreatic cancer cell growth (26, 48). Second, overexpression of Aurora-A enhances Ras-induced transformation of murine 3T3A31-1 fibroblasts (59). Third, Aurora kinases physically interact with RasGAP in vitro (23), and inhibition of Aurora-B binding to RasGAP causes apoptosis (49). Fourth, two components of the RalGEF-Ral effector pathway of Ras, which is known to promote Ras oncogenesis (38), are substrates for Aurora-A (66). Specifically, active Ras binds to RalGEF proteins, a family of guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) and activators of the related small GTPases RalA and RalB. Both the RalGEF protein RalGDS and RalA were shown to be phosphorylated by Aurora-A. With regard to the latter, RalA is phosphorylated at S194 in its C-terminal membrane binding domain, leading to elevated levels of activated RalA-GTP. Constitutively active RalA (G23V) also cooperated with ectopically expressed Aurora-A to promote anchorage-independent growth of MDCK epithelial cells, whereas a RalA mutant that could not be phosphorylated by Aurora-A (RalA<sup>G23V,S194A</sup>) was impaired in this activity (66). Moreover, overexpression of both Aurora-A and RalA mRNA is associated with advanced human bladder cancer (58). Finally, as indirect evidence for the importance of the Aurora-A phosphorylation site in RalA, S194 and S183 were identified as sites of dephosphorylation by the phosphatase PP2A. Short hairpin RNA (shRNA) silencing of PP2A expression increased phosphorylation of S194 and S183 and forma-
tion of RaLA-GTP, whereas replacing endogenous RaLA with S194A or S183A mutants resulted in a loss of tumorigenic growth of human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells expressing oncogenic H-Ras, HtTERT, and the early region of simian virus 40 (SV40) (56). Given these observations, we explored the molecular connection between Aurora-A and the Ras-RalGEF-RaLA pathway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids. pSuper-Retro-Puro plasmids encoding shRNA against RaLA, RaLB, RaLBp1 (5'-GGTAGAAGAGCAGCAAGTGAAGTT-3'), or a scramble sequence; pBabe-Neos plasmid encoding shRNA-resistant Myc-tagged RaLA; pBabe-Neos plasmid encoding shRNA-resistant Flag-tagged RaLA or pBabe-Neos plasmid encoding hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged Rlf-CAAX; pBabe-Neos plasmid encoding HA-Aurora-AT288D, HA-Aurora-A K162R, HA-Rlf-CAAX, shRNA-resistant Flag-tagged RalA or Q72L; pBabe-Bleo plasmid encoding RalBP1 (5'-CAGATGGAATGATGCTGCT-3'), and derived effector mutants; small t antigen (t-Ag); DsRed-Red11; pMT3-mycRalBP1; and pcdNA3-mycSec5 were previously described (16, 25, 36, 45). S194A and S194D point mutations in RaLA were introduced to pBabe-Neos and pBabe-puro plasmids encoding shRNA-resistant RaLA, respectively, by site-directed muta-
generation and a Myc epitope tag (9E10) or a Flag epitope tag was added to the N terminus by PCR. Human Aurora-A was PCR amplified from a cDNA tem-
plate (MGC-1605; ATCC) to add an N-terminal HA-epitope tag, after which K162R and T288D mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis. Result-
sant wild-type (WT), K162R, and T288D CDNs were cloned into pBabe-Ble or pBabe-Hygro for stable expression and pCGN for transient expression, shRNA against Aurora-A (5'-GGTAGAAGAGCAGCAAGTGAAGTT-3') or against Aurora-A (5'-GGTAGAAGAGCAGCAAGTGAAGTT-3') were subcloned into pSuper-Retro-Puro-TET. Green fluorescent protein (GFP)-RaLA fusion proteins were generated by subcloning wild-type RaLA, RaLA S194A, RaLA S194D, and RaLA T288D in frame to the C terminus of GFP in pEGFP-C2.

HEK-TiH cells, 293 cells, human pancreatic cancer cell lines and derived cell lines, inducible Aurora-A shRNA HPAC cells, and tissue samples. HEK-TiH cells (human embryonic kidney cells stably expressing the SV40 early region and stably immortalized by a murine sarcoma virus) were previously described (4, 25, 36). The total cell number was determined twice per week and calculated as (length/2)^2 × width. These experiments were approved by the Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Results and Discussion

Aurora-A T288D interacts with the RalGEP pathway to promote Ras-mediated transformation. Both oncogenic Ras mutations (7) and overexpression of Aurora-A occur in human cancers (22, 31), such as pancreatic cancer (27, 28, 35). In experimental systems, overexpression of Aurora-A enhanced Ras- and RaLA-induced transformation of a murine cell line (59) and a canine cell line (66), respectively. Given this functional association between Ras oncogenic signaling and Aurora-A activation, we tested whether Aurora-A also enhances Ras-mediated transformation of human cells. For these experiments, we utilized HEK-TiH cells (normal HEK cells immortalized and rendered sensitive to Ras transformation by ectopic expression of the SV40 early region encoding large T and small t antigens and of the telomerase catalytic subunit hTERT) (24, 33). As these cells depend upon oncogenic Ras for tumorigenesis, and the other genetic changes required for tumorigenesis are known, Ras-transformed HEK-TiH cells provide a simplified, genetically defined, and malleable system for dissecting the relationship between Aurora-A and oncogenic Ras in transformation and tumorigenesis of human cells. HEK-TiH cells were stably infected with a retrovirus either encoding a constitutively activated T288D mutant (59) of hu-
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man Aurora-A (Aurora-A^T288D) or carrying no transgene, in the absence or presence of oncogenic (G12V) H-Ras (Ras^G12V). Cell lines were verified to express the appropriate transgenes, as assessed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 1A), and assayed for growth in soft agar as a measure of transformation. We found that activated Aurora-AT288D alone could not promote transformation of these cells in the absence of oncogenic Ras (Fig. 1B) but that Aurora-AT288D enhanced oncogenic Ras-mediated transformation twofold (Fig. 1B). These results indicated that the cooperative activity of Aurora-A and Ras signaling to promote growth transformation observed previously in murine fibroblast (59) and canine epithelial (66) cell lines can be extended to human cells.

To explore at what point Aurora-A may converge upon oncogenic Ras signaling, we tested whether Aurora-A cooperated with a specific Ras effector pathway to promote transformation. We focused our analyses on the three major Ras effectors involved in oncogenesis (Raf, PI3K, and RasGEF) by using oncogenic H-Ras effector domain mutants Ras^G12V,T35S, Ras^G12V,E37G, and Ras^G12V,Y40C, which retain preferential activation of the Raf, RasGEF, and PI3K pathways, respectively (34, 54, 62, 64). Kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D or an empty vector as a negative control was therefore expressed in HEK-TtH cells in conjunction with no transgene or a transgene encoding each Ras effector domain mutant. Appropriate expression of Aurora-AT288D and the Ras G12V effector mutants was verified by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 1A), and the resulting six cell lines in addition to vector controls were assayed for anchorage-independent growth. As previously reported (25), activation of the RasGEF pathway, but not the PI3K or mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, promoted the growth of these cells in soft agar. Addition of kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D did not endow anchorage-independent growth to either vector control cells or cells expressing Ras mutants.
activating the Raf or PI3K pathways but did enhance twofold the transformed growth of cells expressing Ras<sup>G12V,E37G</sup> (Fig. 1C). Thus, Aurora-A cooperates synergistically with the Ral-GEF signaling arm of oncogenic Ras in cellular transformation.

To further validate these results, we tested whether Aurora-A similarly enhanced transformation of cells expressing an activated variant of the Ral-GEF protein Rif/Rgl2 (Rlf-CAAX) (63) in place of Ras<sup>G12V,E37G</sup>. Specifically, HEK-TtH cells were engineered to coexpress both Rif-CAAX and Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup> proteins, or, as a negative or positive control, an empty vector or Rif-CAAX, respectively. Appropriate transgene expression was verified by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 1D). As expected, negative-control vector cells did not grow in soft agar, whereas the addition of Rif-CAAX promoted transformed cell growth (Fig. 1E). In agreement with the ability of activated Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup> to enhance transformation by Ras<sup>G12V,E37G</sup>, cells expressing both the activated Rif-CAAX and Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup> proteins grew more robustly in soft agar (Fig. 1E). We next tested whether the kinase activity of Aurora-A was required to enhance Ral-GEF-mediated transformation by expressing a kinase-inactive mutant of Aurora-A<sup>K162R</sup> (5) in Rif-CAAX-transformed cells (Fig. 1D). Whereas kinase-active Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup> enhanced Ral-GEF-mediated transformation, the kinase-inactive Aurora-A<sup>K162R</sup> protein inhibited growth in soft agar (Fig. 1E). Thus, Aurora-A enhances Ras-Ral-GEF signaling to promote cellular transformation.

Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup>-induced increase in Ral-GEF-induced transformation of human cells is lost upon mutation of the Aurora-A phosphorylation site S194 of RalA. RalGEF proteins activate the RaI and RaB isoforms of Rap GTPases, which are related both structurally (82% sequence identity) and biochemically. Despite these similarities, however, the proteins have distinct roles in oncogenesis. Whereas RaI is required for Ras-mediated anchorage-independent growth (36, 38), RaB can instead be critical to cell viability, at least in some contexts (14, 15), particularly when cells are grown in the absence of substrate or during metastasis (38). The primary sequence differences between RaI and RaB are concentrated in their C-terminal-30-residue membrane-targeting sequences in the hypervariable domain (20), which have been shown to contribute to some of the functional differences described above (36). Upstream of the C-terminal CAAX tetrapeptide motif that signals for post-translational modification by a geranylgeranyl isoprenoid required for membrane association, these divergent sequences target RaI to the plasma membrane and late endosomes but target RaB to the plasma membrane only (57). Aurora-A phosphorylates this C-terminal region at a serine residue, S194, found in RaI but not in RaB (66), and mutating this site inhibited the ability of an overexpressed and constitutively activated RaI mutant (G23V) to cooperate with ectopically expressed Aurora-A to promote the transformed growth of a canine cell line (66). Conversely, knockdown of PP2A Aβ in HEK cells expressing T-Ag, hTERT, and oncogenic Ras resulted in elevated phosphorylation of S183 and S194 of RaI, and when RaI was also knocked down in these cells, they were able to form tumors upon restoration with ectopic wild-type RaI but not with an S194A mutant version (56). Consistent with these observations, we found that endogenous RaI is phosphorylated at serines in both human 293T and HEK TtH cells (Fig. 2A), likely owing to expression of t-Ag in these cells (56), and that ectopically expressed wild-type Aurora-A increased the level of this phosphorylation (Fig. 2A). Similarly, we found that the Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup>-mediated enhancement of Ral-GEF-mediated transformation of HEK-TtH cells requires phosphorylation of RaI at S194. First, in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing both activated Rif-CAAX and Aurora-A<sup>T288D</sup> proteins, expression of endogenous RaI protein was stably knocked down by shRNA, as assessed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 2B). Next, the loss of RaI expression was then complemented by a vector either carrying no transgene (negative control) or encoding an shRNA-resistant RaI protein in the wild-type (positive control) or S194A mutant (66) configuration, as assessed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 2B). As
expected (36), knockdown of RalA was found to reduce transformed cell growth in soft agar to the level of cells not transfected with activated RalGEF and Aurora-A. This loss of transformation was rescued, almost to the level of the positive control scramble control cells, by expression of shRNA-resistant wild-type RalA but not the S194A mutant of RalA (Fig. 2C). Lastly, the Aurora-A^T288D- enhanced transformation of Rif-CAA-X-expressing cells was not reduced upon knockdown of RalB (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), which lacks the Aurora-A phosphorylation site (66). Thus, Aurora-A^T288D- enhancement of RalGEF-mediated transformation is lost specifically upon mutation of the Aurora-A substrate S194 of RalA.

**RalA S194 is required for transformed growth of human pancreatic cancer cell lines.** RalA is frequently activated in pancreatic cancers and is essential for transformed growth of pancreatic cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo (38). Aurora-A protein is similarly overexpressed in this disease (35). Aurora-A and RalA mRNA overexpression is also associated with advanced human bladder cancer (58). Indeed, we detected elevated levels of this kinase in a panel of 10 KRAS mutation-positive pancreatic carcinoma cells, compared to the level for normal pancreatic cancer tissues, whereas the total protein levels of RalA did not differ between tumor cells and normal tissue (Fig. 3A). Thus, while RalA is upregulated at the level of protein expression (36, 38), Aurora-A is upregulated at the level of protein expression in pancreatic cancer cells. Given this correlation and the requirement of S194 of RalA for transformed or tumorigenic growth of canine (66), human HEK-TER (56), and HEK-TtH (Fig. 2C) cells, we tested whether S194 is also required for tumorigenic growth of human pancreatic cancers. Specifically, a panel of pancreatic cancer cell lines, including AsPC-1, HPAC, HPAF-II, Panc-1, SW1990, Capan-1, CFPac-1, MIA PaCa-2, and T3M4 cells, were stably infected with a retrovirus encoding RalA shRNA or a scramble control, after which the RalA knocked-down cell lines were complemented by infection with retroviruses encoding shRNA-resistant forms of either wild-type RalA or the RalA^S194A- mutant. Immunoblot analysis verified appropriate knockdown of endogenous RalA and subsequent reexpression of the shRNA-resistant forms of RalA at levels roughly similar to that of endogenous RalA in all nine cell lines (Fig. 3B). All 36 cell lines were then assayed for anchorage-independent growth.

Consistent with the known role of RalA in transformation (36, 38), knockdown of RalA expression significantly impaired the anchorage-independent growth of all nine parental cell lines, ranging from 55% to 90% decrease in colony numbers. This decrease in transformed growth was, in large part, overcome by ectopic expression of the shRNA-resistant wild-type RalA protein. However, expression of a protein that was exactly the same except that it harbored the single point mutation at S194A that renders RalA resistant to Aurora-A phosphorylation extinguished the ability of RalA to rescue the loss of endogenous RalA and restore transformed cell growth in each of the nine cell lines (Fig. 3C). Based on these data, S194 appears to be broadly required for RalA promotion of anchorage-independent proliferation of human pancreatic cancer cells.

**RalA S194 is required for tumorigenic growth of some human pancreatic cancer cell lines.** Since RalA is critical for tumorigenic growth of pancreatic cancer cells in vivo (38), we next determined whether S194 is also required for tumorigenesis of such cells. The CFPac-1, HPAC, and Capan-1 cell lines, which exhibit differing levels of RalA-GTP (Fig. 4A), were engineered to stably express scramble control shRNA, RalA shRNA, or a RalA protein resistant to RalA shRNA in either the wild-type or the S194A mutant configuration. These lines were injected subcutaneously into both flanks of immunocompromised mice. Compared to what was found for scramble control cells, loss of RalA prolonged the latency period of tumorigenesis at least twofold and impeded subsequent tumor growth in all three pancreatic cancer lines (Fig. 4B and C). In Capan-1 and HPAC cells, which have prominent RalA-GTP (Fig. 4A), RalA^S194A- was defective in restoring tumor growth to the same level as wild-type RalA (Fig. 4B and C), whereas in CFPac-1 cells, with weak RalA-GTP, wild-type and S194A RalA proteins were equally effective. The finding that RalA^S194A did not rescue soft agar growth (Fig. 3C) but did rescue tumor growth of CFPac-1 cells treated with RalA shRNA (Fig. 4B and C) suggests that RalA, but not Aurora-A, may be required for tumor growth. Whether there is an inverse correlation between the level of RalA-GTP (Fig. 4A) and the degree of tumor growth restoration by RalA^S194A- versus wild-type RalA (Fig. 4B and C) is this simply a coincidence remains to be determined. If the former is the case, this occurrence may reflect the possibility that cells with higher basal levels of RalA-GTP are more dependent for tumorigenicity on RalA function and are therefore more sensitive to perturbations in RalA modulation. If the latter is the case, the variability observed may simply mean that not all oncogenic Ras-driven cancer cells equally require Aurora-A phosphorylation of RalA to promote tumorigenesis.

**Aurora-A^T288D promotes the translocation of endogenous RalA from the plasma membrane.** The C-terminal hypervariable domain of RalA, but not RalB, contains the S194 Aurora-A phosphorylation site, and the hypervariable regions of both proteins are known to account for the partially overlapping but distinct subcellular membrane localization patterns of the two proteins (36, 57). Further, phosphorylation by protein kinase C (PKC) of a similarly situated C-terminal serine in K-Ras4B (S181) can induce translocation of K-Ras4B from the plasma membrane to internal organelles (6). Given these observations, we speculated that in addition to its role in activating RalA (66), Aurora-A may alter the subcellular location of RalA.

To address this possibility, we monitored the subcellular localization of endogenous RalA in both gain (ectopic Aurora-A)- and loss (Aurora-A shRNA)-of-function situations. In the gain-of-function approach, the subcellular distribution of endogenous RalA was first assessed by immunofluorescence in HEK-TtH cells. In cells that stably expressed either empty vector or kinase-inactive Aurora-A^K162R- endogenous RalA was found abundantly at the plasma membrane as well as throughout the cytoplasm and at internal membranes. However, in cells expressing kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D-, there was a clear loss of RalA at the plasma membrane and a concomitant increase in the internal pool of protein (Fig. 5A), and some RalA colocalized with Rab11 and EEA1, markers of recycling endosomes and early endosomes, respectively (not shown). This effect of Aurora-A kinase activity on accumula-
tion of RalA on internal membranes was borne out by biochemical fractionation. Specifically, in the presence of kinase-active Aurora-AT288D, RalA was greatly enriched in the isolated internal membrane fraction (defined by high levels of the ER-resident protein calnexin), compared to the amount seen in that fraction in the presence of kinase-inactive Aurora-AK162R (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, ectopic Aurora-A, whether in the kinase-active or -inactive mutant form, did not alter the levels of endogenous RalA (Fig. 5B).

In the loss-of-function analysis, we first tested whether reducing the level of endogenous Aurora-A affected the localization of endogenous RalA in the human pancreatic cancer cell line HPAC (46), chosen as an example of cells that harbor a mutated KRAS allele (38), exhibit elevated levels of Aurora-A protein (Fig. 3A), and are sensitive to Aurora-A phosphorylation of RalAS194 for transformation (Fig. 3C) and tumorigenicity (Fig. 4B and C). Because constitutive knockdown of endogenous Aurora-A expression leads to rapid G2/M arrest and subsequently to apoptosis (26), HPAC cells were engineered to express a doxycycline-sensitive TET repressor and Aurora-A shRNA (or the scramble sequence) driven by a TET-ON operator (37), such that Aurora-A protein levels...
could be inducibly reduced in the presence of doxycycline (Fig. 5C). As expected, in scramble control cells with or without doxycycline serve as loading controls. (B) Shown are tumor volumes (mm$^3$) ± standard deviations versus times (days) observed for the indicated cell lines stably expressing a Ras scramble sequence (□), RalA-shRNA (■), or RalA-shRNA complemented by expression of shRNA-resistant wild-type RalA (▲) or RalA$^{S194A}$ (●) injected into the flanks of immunocompromised mice. (C) Representative subcutaneous flank tumors observed in mice (top) and resected (bottom) from RalA shRNA-treated CFPac-1, HPAC, or Capan-1 cells transduced with shRNA-resistant wild-type RalA or the RalA$^{S194A}$ mutant at 42 days (CFPac-1) or 49 days (HPAC and Capan-1) after the cells were injected.

FIG. 4. RalA S194 is required for tumorigenesis of pancreatic cancer cell lines. (A) RalA-GTP levels as detected in the indicated pancreatic cancer cell lines. HEK-TtH cells expressing empty vector or Ras$^{G12V}$ serve as a negative or positive control, respectively. Total RalA and tubulin serve as loading controls. (B) Shown are tumor volumes (mm$^3$) ± standard deviations versus times (days) observed for the indicated cell lines stably expressing a RalA-GTP expression construct (□), RalA-shRNA (■), or RalA-shRNA complemented by expression of RalA$^{S194A}$ (●). (C) Representative subcutaneous flank tumors observed in mice (top) and resected (bottom) from RalA shRNA-treated CFPac-1, HPAC, or Capan-1 cells transduced with RalA$^{S194A}$ mutant at 42 days (CFPac-1) or 49 days (HPAC and Capan-1) after the cells were injected.

Phosphorylation of S194 by Aurora-A$^{T288D}$ is required for redistribution of RalA. To address whether S194 phosphorylation is required for redistribution of RalA in the presence of Aurora-A, we expressed GFP-tagged RalA$^{S194A}$ in which the
Aurora-A phosphorylation site was mutated in HEK-TtH cells ectopically expressing either kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D or no transgene (vector). Cells expressing kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D were smaller, possibly because constitutive activation of Aurora-A may promote more-rapid cell division (Fig. 6A). We found by immunofluorescence analysis that RalAS194A was retained in the plasma membrane, whether Aurora-A was activated or not. In contrast, GFP-RalAS194D, a putative phospho-mimetic version of RalA, was observed primarily at internal membranes, consistent with the localization of GFP-RalA in the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D (Fig. 6A). These observations were also supported by biochemical fractionation. In the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D, a higher proportion of the total wild-type RalA was found in the internal membrane fraction than in the S194A mutant, after controlling for different levels of exogenous RalA expression (Fig. 6B). We found by immunofluorescence analysis that RalA-S194A was retained in the plasma membrane, whereas Aurora-A was activated or not. In contrast, GFP-RalA-S194D, a putative phospho-mimetic version of RalA, was observed primarily at internal membranes, consistent with the localization of GFP-RalA in the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D (Fig. 6A). These observations were also supported by biochemical fractionation. In the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D, a higher proportion of the total wild-type RalA was found in the internal membrane fraction than in the S194A mutant, after controlling for different levels of exogenous RalA expression (Fig. 6B).

In support of a specific effect of Aurora-A on RalA expression, a kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D did not alter the subcellular localization of GFP-RalB (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material), which is highly similar to RalA but lacks the Aurora-A phosphorylation site (66), or of K-Ras4B (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), in which phosphorylation of a similar C-terminal serine residue by PKC displaces GFP-K-Ras from the plasma membrane (6). Lastly, we demonstrate that the last 20 amino acids of RalA are sufficient for Aurora-A-mediated relocalization of RalA. Specifically, a GFP fusion protein with the most-C-terminal 20 amino acids of RalA, comprising the hypervariable membrane targeting domain and containing the Aurora-A phosphorylation site, was relocalized from the plasma membrane upon expression of kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D. Moreover, this relocalization was blocked when S194 was mutated to alanine (Fig. 6C). Thus, relocalization of RalA in the presence of Aurora-A-T288D depends upon S194 of RalA.

The RalA effector Rab1B is translocated from the plasma membrane and activated in the presence of Aurora-A-T288D. To explore the relationship of RalA with its effectors in the context of Aurora-A-mediated phosphorylation, we determined whether Aurora-A kinase activity, which alters RalA subcellular localization, also alters that of a key RalA effector, Rab1B (10, 29, 50). We compared the localization of Rab1B to that of ectopic RalA in HEK-TtH cells expressing kinase-inactive Aurora-A-K162R versus kinase-active Aurora-A-T288D. In the presence of kinase-inactive Aurora-A-K162R, ectopic Rab1B colocalized with RalA in the cytoplasm, internal struc-
tures, and protrusions of the plasma membrane. In the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A T288D, the plasma membrane pools of both RalA and RalBP1 repartitioned internally (Fig. 7A). These data indicate that Aurora-A coordinately regulates RalA and RalBP1. Expression of the effector domain mutant RalA protein GFP-RalAD49N, which perturbs association of RalBP1 with RalA, did not result in changes in RalBP1 localization in the presence of kinase-inactive Aurora-AK162R versus kinase-active Aurora-AT288D (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material), indicating that Aurora-A-mediated internalization of RalBP1 is dependent on the association between RalA and RalBP1. Furthermore, ectopic Aurora-A expression, either in the kinase-active or in the inactive mutant form, did not alter the levels of endogenous RalBP1 (see Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). To determine biochemically if this coordinate relocalization enhanced the association of RalBP1 with RalA, vectors encoding RalA (the wild type or the putative phosphomimetic S194D mutant) or RalBP1 were cotransfected into 293T cells, and the amount of RalBP1 coimmunoprecipitating with RalA was assessed by immunoblot analysis. In the absence of serum, when the majority of RalA is in the inactive GDP-bound state, RalBP1 did not readily coimmunoprecipitate with wild-type RalAS194D. Addition of serum to activate RalA promoted its association with

FIG. 6. Aurora-A T288D-mediated internalization of RalA depends upon S194. (A) Distribution of GFP-RalA constructs in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing vector or HA-Aurora-A T288D in combination with GFP-RalA or GFP-RalAS194A, compared to the level for HEK-TtH cells expressing the phosphomimetic mutant RalA protein GFP-RalAS194D with vector alone. GFP-RalA localization to both the plasma membrane and the internal membrane (PM+IM), the plasma membrane only (PM), or the internal membrane only (IM) in 50 cells was quantitated in two independent experiments for each condition. Representative images with the primary location are displayed. Scale bar, 20 μm. (B) Immunoblot analysis of endogenous RalA, calnexin, and tubulin in a whole-cell extract and an internal membrane fraction isolated from HEK-TtH cells expressing HA-Aurora-A T288D with either wild-type or S194A Flag-RalA. Eightfold more RalAS194A than wild-type RalA was expressed in whole-cell extract. Therefore, wild-type Flag-RalA levels in the whole-cell extract and the internal membrane fraction were normalized 8:1 to S194A Flag-RalA levels. (C) Distribution of the last 20 amino acids of the RalA C terminus fused to GFP (GFP-RalA-C term) or, as indicated, GFP-RalA-C termS194A in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing either an empty vector, HA-Aurora-A K162R, or HA-Aurora-A T288D. GFP-RalA localization to both the plasma membrane and the internal membrane (PM+IM), the plasma membrane only (PM), or the internal membrane only (IM) in 50 cells was quantitated in two independent experiments for each condition. Representative images with the primary location are displayed. Scale bar, 20 μm.
RalBP1, and this interaction was enhanced nearly fivefold in the case of RalA S194D, compared to the level for wild-type RalA (Fig. 7B). However, Aurora-A activity did not affect all Ral effector interactions equally. Another validated Ral effector, Sec5, neither redistributed in the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A T288D nor preferentially bound RalA S194D (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). Compared to what was found for wild-type RalA, the association between RalA S194D and Sec5 was reduced by about one-third; however, the nature of this difference is unknown (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). Whether the selective influence of Aurora-A on effector association is due to the context of RalA activation, subcellular localization, or indirect effects, such as competition with RalB for the same effector, remains to be determined.

Given that compared to RalA, the RalA S194D mutant bound, if anything, more strongly to RalBP1 than to Sec5, we explored a possible relationship between RalBP1 and Aurora-A. Because RalBP1 has been shown to be a GTPase-activating protein (GAP) for two Rho family GTPases, Rac1 and Cdc42 (10, 29, 50), we investigated the signaling consequences of the observed increased association between RalA and RalBP1. Specifically, we examined the levels of endogenous activated GTP-

FIG. 7. Aurora-A promotes cytoplasmic translocation and activation of RalBP1. (A) Distribution of GFP-RalA and Myc-tagged RalBP1 (MycRalBP1), visualized by immunofluorescence using an anti-Myc antibody in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing either a vector control, kinase-inactive HA-Aurora-A A162R, or kinase-active HA-Aurora-A T288D. Arrows, plasma membrane. Scale bar, 20 μm. (B) Aurora-A fosters the association of RalA with RalBP1. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of the Flag-tagged WT or the phosphomimetic S194D (SD) mutant version of RalA, followed by immunoblot analysis (IB) for detection of Flag-tagged, immunoprecipitated RalA protein or the presence or absence of coimmunoprecipitated Myc-tagged RalBP1 in the presence or absence of serum for activation of the RalA protein, as assessed by the level of Flag RalA-GTP. Total MycRalBP1 and Flag RalA serve as loading controls. (C) Aurora-A decreases Cdc42 and Rac1 activation. Shown are GTP-Cdc42 and GTP-Rac1 levels detected in HEK-TtH cells in which endogenous RalA was either not activated (vector) or activated by expressing HA-Rlf-CAAX, as assessed by immunoblot analysis, of either kinase-active HA-Aurora-A T288D or kinase-inactive HA-Aurora-A A162R. Total Cdc42 and Rac1 serve as loading controls. Cdc42-GTP and Rac1-GTP levels are normalized to levels for total Cdc42 and Rac1, expressed as fold changes ± standard deviations for three independent experiments (P = 0.029). (D) Knockdown of RalBP1 activates Cdc42 and Rac1. Shown are GTP-Cdc42 and GTP-Rac1 levels in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing shRNA against either the vector control or RalBP1. Total Cdc42 and Rac1 serve as loading controls. Cdc42-GTP and Rac1-GTP levels are normalized to the levels for total Cdc42 and Rac1, expressed as fold changes ± standard deviations for three independent experiments. (E) Distribution of GFP-RalA or GFP-RalA S194D and actin organization, visualized by immunofluorescence using Texas Red-phalloidin in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing either a vector control or kinase-active HA-Aurora-A T288D. Formation of filopodia and lamellipodia in 50 cells was quantitated in two independent experiments for each condition. Representative images are displayed. Arrows, filopodia or lamellipodia. Scale bar, 20 μm. (F) Appropriate expression, as detected by immunoblot analysis, of HA-Aurora-A A162R (TD), HA-Rlf-CAAX, or a knockdown of endogenous RalBP1 in HEK-TtH cells stably infected with retroviruses carrying no transgene (v) or the indicated transgenes in the presence of shRNA specific to RalBP1 or a scrambled version (scram) of this sequence. Tubulin serves as a loading control. (G) Anchorage-independent growth in soft agar of the aforementioned polyclonal HEK-TtH cells, infected with retroviruses encoding the indicated shRNAs and carrying the indicated transgenes, expressed as average numbers of colonies formed ± SEM for six plates (two independent experiments conducted in triplicate). Significant P values (<0.001) are indicated by **. Tukey’s multiple-comparison test was used to determine significance between cell lines.
bound Cdc42 and Rac1 as readouts of RalBP1 GAP activity and assessed whether the expression of kinase-inactive Aurora-A^K162R or kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D altered RalBP1 GAP activity. To do this, we first activated endogenous RalA in HEK-TtH cells by expression of Rif-CAAX and measured the amounts of activated GTP-bound Cdc42 and Rac1 in the presence of either kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D or kinase-inactive Aurora-A^K162R. In cells expressing kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D, activation of RalA signaling by Rif-CAAX reduced the level of GTP-bound Cdc42 by one-half to one-third compared to the level for vector control cells (Fig. 7C). The nature of the selectivity toward decreased Cdc42-GTP levels compared to Rac1-GTP levels is unknown but, we speculate, could be due to differences in subcellular localization. As RalBP1 GAP activity is not altered upon binding activated RalA in vitro (50), recruitment of RalBP1 to specific subcellular sites may instead underlie the reduction in GTP-bound Cdc42. Furthermore, shRNA-mediated knockdown of RalBP1, as confirmed by immunoblot analysis, increased the levels of both activated GTP-bound Rac1 and Cdc42 both in the presence and in the absence of Aurora-A^T288D (Fig. 7D; see also Fig. S7 in the supplemental material). Such a result is not surprising if indeed RalBP1 acts as a GAP for Cdc42 and Rac1. These results are consistent with a model in which Aurora-A-mediated phosphorylation of RalA leads to an enhanced interaction with RalBP1, perhaps to alter its subcellular localization, resulting in a decrease in GTP-bound Cdc42.

We next explored the cellular consequences of the increased association between RalA and RalBP1 and the decrease in GTP-bound Cdc42 promoted by Aurora-A^T288D. Cdc42 activation causes formation of actin microspikes and filopodia, whereas Rac activation promotes concentration of actin at the leading edge of moving cells and the formation of lamellipodia. Therefore, we assayed for changes in cellular morphology in HEK-TtH cells transiently expressing GFP-RalA in the presence of either empty vector or kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D. We first confirmed that stable expression of kinase-inactive Aurora-A^K162R or kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D did not alter actin cytoskeleton organization in the absence of exogenous RalA (see Fig. S8 in the supplemental material). Consistent with the biochemical decreases in Cdc42- and Rac1-GTP levels in the presence of kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D (Fig. 7C), ~76% of HEK-TtH cells expressing GFP-RalA and empty vector displayed filopodia and lamellipodia, whereas ~30% of cells expressing both GFP-RalA and kinase-active Aurora-A^T288D displayed filopodia and lamellipodia, indicative of decreases in both Cdc42 and Rac1 activations (Fig. 7E). In agreement, HEK-TtH cells transiently expressing a phosphomimetic mutant of RalA, GFP-RalA^S194D, displayed an absence of filopodia and lamellipodia (Fig. 7E). Taken together, these data support the notion that Aurora-A^T288D expression increases the association between RalA and its effector RalBP1, leading to enhanced RalBP1 function, as measured by decreased Cdc42- and Rac1-GTP levels and decreased Rho family GTpase-driven morphology.

These studies demonstrate that Aurora-A has a biological impact on RalA activation of RalBP1, but it remains to be determined whether such activation of RalBP1, or even suppression of Cdc42, fosters transformation. On one hand, RalBP1 contributes positively to transformation. Specifically, knockdown of RalBP1 in HEK-TtH cells transformed by Rif-CAAX in the absence or presence of Aurora-A^T288D as confirmed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 7F), exhibited reduced anchorage-independent growth, compared to the level for the scramble control counterparts (Fig. 7G). The basis of this decreased transformation is unknown, but given that RalBP1 knockdown cells can be cultured extensively with no overt impact on passaging (not shown) and that mice homozygous for a gene trap mutation of RALBP1 (RIP1 and RIP1P76) are viable (3), the decrease in anchorage-independent growth may be related to a function RalBP1 plays in transformation, although this remains to be formally tested. Similarly, preventing RalA association with RalBP1 by introduction of the D49N effector domain mutation within a constitutively active RalA^G972V background slightly reduced transformation (33).

On the other hand, RalA also contributes to transformation by pathways aside from RalBP1. Specifically, knockdown of RalBP1 (Fig. 7G) did not reduce transformation to the same extent as knockdown of RalA (Fig. 2C). Similarly, a D49E mutation, which inhibits binding of Sec5 and Exo84, reduced the transforming activity of RalA^G972V to a greater extent than the D49N mutation (33). Since RalBP1 could potentially serve as a GAP for other untested Rho GTpases (29) and has additional activities (2, 30, 67), it also remains to be tested if Cdc42 is the relevant target for RalBP1 in transformation. Moreover, activation of Cdc42 has been reported to both promote and suppress transformation. While RNA interference (RNAi) suppression of a Cdc42GEF or dominant-negative Cdc42 enhanced soft agar growth of human colon carcinoma cells (44), activation of Cdc42 promoted transformation of rodent fibroblasts (51). Thus, while activation of RalBP1 and suppression of Cdc42 constitute one plausible mechanism for promoting transformation, others are certainly possible.

Differential effects of Aurora-A versus Aurora-A^T288D on RalA functions. Aurora-A is upregulated, rather than mutationally activated, in human cancers (22, 31); hence, we also explored the effect on RalA function in cells expressing wild-type versus constitutively active Aurora-A. It has been demonstrated that expression of either version of Aurora-A resulted in elevated levels of GTP-bound and thus active RalA and that mutation of S194, but not S183, reduced this effect (66). Similarly, knockdown of the PP2A phosphatase subunit aβ has been found to lead to elevated levels of both RalA S183 and S194 phosphorylation and GTP-bound RalA (56). These data support the notion that phosphorylation of S194 activates wild-type RalA. We thus measured the level of RalA-GTP in human cells expressing Aurora-A versus Aurora-A^T288D. Specifically, human 293 cells were transiently transfected with a vector carrying no transgene (as a negative control), encoding t-Ag (as a positive control, since t-Ag blocks the ability of RLIP76 to bind to and suppress transformation). As previously reported (56), t-Ag promoted robust activation of RalA, compared to the level for vector control cells. Similarly, wild-type Aurora-A was highly effective in activating RalA. Unexpectedly, expression of Aurora-A^T288D did not cause a
significant increase in RalA-GTP, compared to the level for vector control cells (Fig. 8B). Since Aurora-A 

T288D potentially Ral transforming activity (Fig. 2C; see also Fig. 9B, C, and D) yet does not robustly activate RalA (Fig. 8B), Aurora-A may foster Ral-mediated transformation by additional mechanisms independent of RalA-GTP formation.

Given this result, we tested whether phosphorylation and subcellular localization of RalA were differentially affected by Aurora-A versus Aurora-A 

T288D. 293 cells were therefore stably infected with retroviruses carrying no transgene, encoding Aurora-A, or encoding Aurora-A 

T288D. RalA phosphorylation levels were measured by immunoprecipitation of endogenous RalA, followed by immunoblotting with a phospho-specific serine antibody, and endogenous RalA-GTP and total RalA levels were determined by pull-downs and immunoblot analyses total cellular lysates versus lysates derived from fractionated internal membranes. As expected (66), RalA serine phosphorylation was elevated upon expression of Aurora-A, and this was further increased in cells expressing constitutively active Aurora-A 

T288D. In contrast to their differential effects on RalA phosphorylation and GTP loading, both versions of this kinase resulted in higher levels of total RalA in the internal mem-

FIG. 8. Differential effects of Aurora-A versus Aurora-A 

T288D on RalA functions. (A) Appropriate expression, as detected by immunoblot analysis, of t-Ag, wild-type HA-Aurora-A, kinase-active HA-Aurora-A 

T288D, endogenous RalA, and GTP-bound RalA levels (detected by GST pulldown of endogenous RalA) in 293 cells expressing the indicated transgenes. Total RalA serves as a loading control. (B) Immunoblot analysis of phosphorylated RalA (detected by immunoprecipitation of endogenous RalA followed by immunoblot analysis with an antiphosphoserine [α p-serine] antibody) and RalA GTP-levels (detected by GST pulldown of endogenous RalA) in a whole-cell extract and an internal membrane fraction isolated from 293 cells expressing the indicated transgenes. (C) Distribution of GFP-RalA or GFP-RalA 

S194A in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing vector, HA-Aurora-A 

WT, or HA-Aurora-A 

T288D. Arrows, plasma membrane localization. GFP-RalA localization to both the plasma membrane and the internal membrane (PM+IM), the plasma membrane only (PM), or the internal membrane only (IM) in 50 cells was quantitated in two independent experiments for each condition. Representative images with the primary location are displayed. Arrows, plasma membrane localization. Scale bar, 20 μm. (E) Immunoblot analysis of RalA-GTP levels in HEK-TtH cells stably expressing HA-Rlf-CAAX and wild-type RalA (WT), RalA 

S194A (SA), or RalA 

S194D (SD). Tubulin serves as a loading control.
brane fraction, although a greater proportion of the internal pool was phosphorylated in cells expressing Aurora-A T288D (Fig. 8B). This result was independently validated by immunofluorescence; GFP-RalA accumulation at internal membranes increased in cells expressing either version of Aurora-A (Fig. 8C), and in both cases, mutating S194A in RalA reduced this translocation (Fig. 8D). The reason for the increase in RalA phosphorylation with no corresponding increase in GTP loading in the presence of Aurora-A T288D is unclear. One possibility is that perhaps increased phosphorylation of RalA by Aurora-A T288D promotes translocation of phosphorylated RalA away from the plasma membrane, where RalGEF proteins associate with activated Ras to then reduce RalGEF-mediated RalA activation. In support of this model, RalA S194T, a putative phosphomimetic version of RalA, was enriched at internal membranes, compared to the level for wild-type RalA (Fig. 6A), but was less active (lower GTP levels), when coexpressed with an activated, plasma membrane-targeted RalGEF protein, than the wild-type or even the S194A mutant of RalA (Fig. 8E). Nevertheless, it still remains to be resolved why robust phosphorylation of RalA by Aurora-A T288D does not coincide with elevated RalA-GTP levels.

Wild-type versus activated-Aurora-A-mediated transformation. Wild-type Aurora-A and Aurora-A T288D both increased RalA phosphorylation and promoted RalA translocation, whereas wild-type but not constitutively active Aurora-A T288D potently increased RalA-GTP levels. Capitalizing on the ability of these two forms of Aurora-A to differentially alter RalA functions, we tested whether elevated RalA-GTP or endomembrane translocation was associated with Aurora-A-mediated transformation in cells with activated Ral signaling. HEK-TtH cells in which the Ras-RalGEF pathway was activated at different levels by expression of oncogenic Ras (RasG12V), an oncogenic Ras mutant that preferentially activates RalGEF proteins (RasG12V,E37G) or an activated RalGEF protein (Rlf-CAAX), were stably infected with retroviruses either carrying no transgene or encoding kinase-inactive Aurora-A K162R (as a negative control), Aurora-A, or Aurora-A T288D. Expression was assessed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 9A), and cells were assayed for anchorage-independent growth. As noted previously (Fig. 1), Aurora-A T288D, but not negative-control kinase-inactive Aurora-A K162R, cooperated to various degrees with all three activators of Ral to promote transformation. Wild-type Aurora-A enhanced this transformation only marginally better than Aurora-A T288D in RasG12V and RasG12V,E37G backgrounds (~1.1-fold) (Fig. 9B and C) but approximately twofold in the Rlf-CAAX background (Fig. 9D). Given that only Aurora-A robustly activates Rala-GTP, whereas both Aurora-A and Aurora-A T288D promote translocation of RalA and growth transformation, their ability to enhance transformation was attributed more to endomembrane translocation than to formation of RalA-GTP in these experiments. Conversely, however, the ability of constitutively activated Rala (Rala G72L) to promote anchorage-independent growth of HEK-TtH cells was not altered upon introduction of either the S194A mutation or the S194D mutation (see Fig. 9 in the supplemental material). Thus, in the context of constitutively active Rala, the loss of S194 phosphorylation has no effect on RalA transforming activity.

Summary. Aurora-A normally functions as a mitotic kinase to ensure proper chromosome separation and cytokinesis (42). This kinase is frequently overexpressed in various human cancers and can become mislocalized to the cytoplasm, where it

FIG. 9. Wild-type versus kinase-active Aurora-A-mediated potentiation of Ras-induced transformation through the RalGEF pathway. (A) Appropriate expression, as detected by immunoblot analysis, of empty vector (v), wild-type HA-Aurora-A (WT), kinase-active HA-Aurora-A T288D (TD), kinase-inactive HA-Aurora-A K162R (KR), constitutively activated HA-Rlf-CAAX, or ectopic and endogenous Ras (Pan-Ras). Actin serves as a loading control. (B, C, D) Anchorage-independent growth in soft agar of polyclonal HEK-TtH cells stably expressing the indicated transgenes, expressed as average numbers of colonies formed ± SEM for six plates (two independent experiments conducted in triplicate). The same vector (v) (with or without HA-Aurora-A [WT], HA-Aurora-A T288D [TD], or HA-Aurora-A K162R [KR]) was used as a control in all of these experiments. Significant P values (<0.001) are indicated by **. Tukey’s multiple-comparison test was used to determine significance between cell lines.
may phosphorylate inappropriate substrates, leading to both genomic instability and altered signaling, promoting cancerous development (22, 31, 32). We find that activated Aurora-A cooperates with the RalGEF-Ral effector signaling arm of oncogenic Ras to promote transformation in human model cells (Fig. 1 and 2) and, further, that transformation (Fig. 3) and in some cases also tumor growth (Fig. 4) of pancreatic cancer cells characterized by oncogenic Ras mutations depend upon S194 of RalA, the site phosphorylated by Aurora-A (66).

In contrast to RalA, the nearly identical RalB protein cannot support anchorage-independent growth transformation or tumorigenicity of human cells (36, 38) and is neither phosphorylated (66) nor required for Aurora-A to promote RalGEF transformation of HEK-TtH cells (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Thus, in addition to its effect on chromosome stability, aberrant overexpression or cell cycle-independent expression of Aurora-A in cancer may also foster transformation and tumorigenesis through phosphorylation of RalA, a key substrate of the oncogenic Ras-RalGEF effector pathway (66).

Indeed, the finding (9) of Aurora-A overexpression in a cell cycle-independent fashion and its localization throughout tumor cells, as opposed to its restriction to the nuclei of normal cells, suggests a nonmitotic role for this kinase when it is aberrantly expressed. Overexpression of Aurora-A is not, however, the only mode of fostering the tumorigenic activity of RalA through phosphorylation. Phosphatase PP2A Aβ also affects both the phosphorylation status and the tumorigenicity of RalA in HEK cells (56). Thus, RalA activation through RalGEF stimulation by oncogenic Ras in cooperation with phosphorylation by kinase activation or phosphatase inactivation promotes tumorigenesis.

We also report that phosphorylation of RalA by Aurora-A leads to internalization of RalA and to elevated RalBP1 GAP activity (Fig. 5, 6, and 7). The significance of differential subcellular localization and effector utilization upon Aurora-A-mediated phosphorylation of RalA remains to be determined. We speculate that Aurora-A phosphorylation of RalA at S194 promotes internalization of RalA, and increases in the association of RalA with RalBP1 may spatially restrict the activation of Cdc42 and Rac1, thereby leading to changes in actin dynamics. It is not clear if these changes underlie the above-mentioned requirement for RalA phosphorylation in transformation and tumorigenesis. Increased RalA translocation upon expression of kinase-active Aurora-A T288D is transforming and depends upon S194 phosphorylation, even in the absence of robust additional activation of RalA-GTP. In contrast, mutating the S194 Aurora-A phosphorylation site did not alter the ability of constitutively activated RalA to transform cells (see Fig. S9 in the supplemental material). Whether this reflects pleiotropic effects of Aurora-A T288D or the ability of an oncogenic activated mutation in RalA to overcome the need for phosphorylation for transformation is unclear. Thus, while certainly the increased GTP loading of RalA in the presence of activated Aurora-A promotes transformation, it is unclear if the same holds true for internalization of RalA and, if so, whether this reflects suppression of Cdc42 activity or another effect.

Although our studies relate only to the situation in cancer cells, it is possible that regulation of RalA by Aurora-A in normal cells may also play a role in normal entry and exit from mitosis, as Aurora-A is required for chromosome separation and cytokinesis (1, 18, 41, 43, 68). RalA is also required for proper cytokinesis (11–13), and the RalBP1 homolog cytostatin is involved in proper centrosome duplication and segregation (32). In normal cells, Aurora-A-mediated phosphorylation of RalA may promote the activation of the RalA-RalBP1 complex in a spatially restricted manner to promote the switching off of endocytosis during mitosis to ensure proper mitotic entry (13, 55). Even so, as both kinase-active and -inactive versions of Aurora-A can impair mitosis (43), yet only the kinase-active mutant transforms rodent cell lines (5) and, as we demonstrate here, human cells in cooperation with oncogenic Ras, the effect of Aurora-A on cell transformation may not be solely through chromosome instability. Phosphorylation of RalA either by a decrease in phosphatase PP2A Aβ expression (56) or by ectopic expression of Aurora-A (66) has been found to increase the level of RalA-GTP.

In summary, first, we and others (56, 66) report that the concurrent activations of two seemingly disparate proteins, Ras and Aurora-A, converge through a common protein, RalA, to promote tumorigenesis. Aurora kinase inhibitors are currently under clinical evaluation for cancer treatment (32, 39). Thus, RalA may be a potential target for some of the antitumor activity of these inhibitors. In turn, RAS mutations may be a genetic determinant for patient response to these inhibitors and establish RalA phosphorylation at S194 as an important biomarker for their antitumor efficacy. Second, we found that Aurora-A promotes both internalization of RalA in an S194-dependent fashion and activation of RalBP1, as measured by reduced Cdc42-GTP levels. Whether this internalization of RalA plays a role in transformation or instead reflects another function of the protein remains to be determined.
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