Termination-Reinitiation Occurs in the Translation of Mammalian Cell mRNAs
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Many examples of internal translation initiation in eucaryotes have accumulated in recent years. In many cases terminators of upstream reading frames precede the internal initiation site, suggesting that translational reinitiation may be a mechanism for initiation at internal AUGs. To test this idea, a series of recombinants was constructed in the mammalian expression vector pSV2. Each contained a dicistronic transcription unit comprising the coding sequence for mouse dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) followed by the gene for xanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (XGPR1) from Escherichia coli. Various versions of this pSV2dhfr-gpt recombinant plasmid altered the location at which the DHFR reading frame was terminated relative to the XGPR1 initiation codon and demonstrated that this is a critical factor for the expression of XGPR1 activity in transfected Cos-1 cells. Thus, when the DHFR frame terminated upstream or a very short distance downstream of the XGPR1 initiator AUG, substantial levels of XGPR1 activity were observed. When the DHFR frame terminated 50 nucleotides beyond the XGPR1 initiator, activity was reduced about twofold. However, when the DHFR and XGPR1 sequences were fused in-frame so that ribosomes which initiated at the DHFR AUG did not terminate until they encountered the XGPR1 terminator, production of XGPR1 activity was abolished. This dependence of internal translation initiation on the position of terminators of the upstream reading frame is consistent with the hypothesis that mammalian ribosomes are capable of translational reinitiation.

The mechanisms that eucaryotic ribosomes use to select the correct AUG for translation initiation are still unknown. Although the basic machinery of translation is similar in proaryotic and eucaryotic, analysis of the structure of a large number of eucaryotic mRNAs indicates that the initiation process must differ from its procaryotic counterpart in significant details (13). In bacteria, recognition of the initiation codon is facilitated by the so-called Shine-Dalgarno sequence located a narrowly variable number of nucleotides upstream of the initiator AUG. This sequence is complementary to a region near the 3′ end of the 16S rRNA and ostensibly directs ribosome binding to the correct initiator codon. Bacteria are capable of initiation at several sites on a single polycistronic mRNA, presumably because initiation can occur by the ribosome’s binding directly to an internal site on the mRNA. In contrast, eucaryotic mRNAs are nearly always monocistronic, and translation is initiated most frequently at the AUG nearest the 5′ end. There is no indication that an eucaryotic equivalent of the procaryotic Shine-Dalgarno sequence is required.

To account for the differences in translation initiation with procaryotic and eucaryotic mRNAs, Kozak proposed that eucaryotes use a "scanning" mechanism for translation initiation (20). Accordingly, the 40S ribosomal subunit binds the mRNA molecule at or near the 5′ cap structure and migrates along the mRNA until it encounters an AUG triplet; then the 60S subunit associates with the small subunit to form the complete polymerizing complex, and synthesis of the polypeptide chain ensues. As originally formulated, the scanning hypothesis maintained that the first AUG would always serve as the initiator and that no other sequence or structural features were required. Biochemical evidence indicating that a free 5′ capped end is required (21) and that 40S subunits can migrate along the mRNA (22–24, 27) support the model (26). The Kozak scanning model is also consistent with the results of an extensive genetic analysis of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae gene for iso-1-cytochrome c, which suggests that initiation occurs only at the first AUG and that the position of the first AUG can be altered with no apparent effect on translation efficiency (49).

Recently, however, an increasing number of exceptions to the first AUG rule have been discovered, prompting a reevaluation of the scanning hypothesis. Many naturally occurring cellular (14, 44, 55, 58) and viral mRNAs (5, 7, 10, 11, 17, 19, 30, 31, 53, 57) are now known to initiate translation at internal AUGs. Moreover, internal initiation has been demonstrated in molecules constructed artificially by recombinant DNA methods (29, 38, 50, 52).

Three different models may be proposed to account for the apparent exceptions to the first AUG rule. (i) Direct binding of ribosomes to an internal initiation site: for reasons mentioned above, this is unlikely but cannot be ruled out categorically as occurring in some cases. (ii) Termination-reinitiation: after termination of translation of an upstream reading frame, ribosomes might remain associated with the mRNA and reinitiate at a nearby internal AUG. (iii) Relaxed scanning: some AUGs may be recognized poorly as initiation codons, permitting ribosomes to scan past them and initiate at internal sites. Relaxed scanning implies that structural features other than the AUG itself contribute to initiation codon recognition, an idea that deserves closer examination because the sequence of nucleotides around initiator AUGs is nonrandom (25, 26).

In this work we have tested some predictions of these models by constructing multicistronic transcription units in the pSV2 plasmid vector (2) and examining the structural requirements for translation of the downstream coding re-
regation in cultured monkey cells. The results are consistent with the view that eucaryotic ribosomes are capable of termination-reinitiation and that such a mechanism may account for many examples of internal initiation of translation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and DNA transfection. The care and maintenance of simian virus 40 (SV40)-transformed CV1 cells (COS cells) have been described previously (12). Twenty-four hours after about 2 x 10^6 cells were seeded in a 100-mm plate, the cultures were transfected with 10 μg of a test plasmid and 5 μg of pCH110 by the method of Graham and Van der Eb (14) as modified by Parker and Stark (42). The plasmid pCH110 (provided by F. Lee, DNAX Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology) is a derivative of pSV2 which contains the coding sequence of E. coli β-galactosidase transcribed from the SV40 early promoter (16). Assays of β-galactosidase activity in the transfected cell extracts provide a convenient means for normalizing the efficiency of different transfections.

Enzymes and chemicals. Sall endonuclease was obtained from K. Burtis (Stanford University), and E. coli DNA polymerase I and T4 DNA ligase were provided by S. Scherer (Stanford University). S1 nuclease and calf intestine alkaline phosphatase were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim. Bal31 nuclease was from Bethesda Research Laboratories, and other enzymes were from New England Biolabs. Oligonucleotides containing the Sall restriction site were from Collaborative Research, Inc.

Construction of recombinant plasmids. The general methods for ligation, recovery of DNA fragments from agarose gels, transformation of E. coli HB101, and rapid screening of clones by restriction enzyme analysis have been described elsewhere (9). Plasmids were prepared on a large scale by an alkaline lysis method (4) followed by two successive equilibrium sedimentations in CsCl-ethidium bromide gradients.

The construction of pSV2dhfr26 (52) and pSV2gpt (37) have been described previously. The plasmid pSV2dhfr-gpt1 was constructed by excising the gpt sequence from pBRgpt (37) as a BamHI-BglII fragment and inserting it into the BglII site of pSV2dhfr6 (Fig. 1). Clones which contained the gpt gene in the correct orientation were easily identified since they reconstructed the BglIII restriction site at the junction of the dhfr and gpt sequences. The conjunction of sequences in this region created two short open reading frames between the dhfr and gpt coding regions. To delete sequences in this region, pSV2dhfr-gpt1 was cleaved at the single BglII restriction site and treated with Bal31 nuclease. Sall linkers were ligated to the resected blunt ends, and after digestion with an excess of Sall endonuclease the plasmid DNA was separated from the linkers by electrophoresis in an agarose gel. The recovered DNA was recircularized by ligation and used to transform E. coli. The deletions were mapped by restriction analysis, and the exact end points of suitable candidates were determined by nucleotide sequence analysis with the method of Maxam and Gilbert (32). Since no single molecule had the desired deletions at both ends, it was necessary to recombine different mutants at the Sall restriction site in vitro to obtain the desired arrangement of reading frames. Thus, to construct pSV2dhfr-gpt6 and -8, plasmids containing the appropriate right- or left-hand deletion end points were digested with Sall and EcoRI endonucleases, and the fragments were isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Different halves of the molecules were ligated together and introduced into E. coli to obtain the appropriate recombinants.

The plasmid pSV2dhfr-gpt13 was derived from pSV2dhfr-gpt8 by insertion of 4 base pairs (bp) at the Sall restriction site. This was accomplished by treating the Sall-cut plasmid with DNA polymerase I in the presence of the four deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates to create blunt ends, which were then joined together by ligation with T4 DNA ligase. After a second digestion with Sall endonuclease to eliminate molecules which had retained the site, the DNA was introduced into E. coli. The exact duplication of the 4-base overhang of the Sall restriction site created a PvuI cleavage site, providing a convenient means of verifying that the clones had acquired the correct sequence.

The plasmid pSV2dhfr-gpt14 was constructed by deletion of 4 bp at the Sall site of pSV2dhfr-gpt8 by the following scheme. Five micrograms of pSV2dhfr-gpt8 was digested to completion with Sall endonuclease, ethanol precipitated, and redissolved in S1 nuclease buffer (0.25 M NaCl, 0.03 M sodium acetate, pH 4.6, 0.001 M ZnCl2). The DNA was then divided into five equal portions and treated with different amounts of S1 nuclease (ranging from 50 to 5,000 U) for 30 min at 12°C. The reactions were terminated by phenol-chloroform extraction followed by ether extraction and ethanol precipitation. After recircularization by overnight ligation at 12°C, the plasmids were digested again with Sall to eliminate sites that had not been destroyed by the S1 nuclease treatment. Each of the five portions was used separately to transform E. coli. When clones from the plates

![FIG. 1. Construction of the dicistronic transcription unit in pSV2dhfr-gpt1 and the derivation of the deletion mutants as described in the text.](http://mcb.asm.org/Download/1.jpg)
with the highest numbers of transformants were analyzed, about 90% of the molecules had undergone exact deletion of the 4-base overhang of the Sall site. Under the conditions described here, maximization for transformation efficiency also optimizes blunt-end formation. This was generally achieved when the linear plasmids were treated with about 300 U of S1 nuclease per µg of DNA.

Characterization of RNA. Total cytoplasmic RNA was extracted from cells 48 h after transfection by methods already described (39) and analyzed by the Weaver and Weissman modification (56) of the S1 nuclease protection technique of Berk and Sharp (3). In all the constructions described in this paper, the BamHI site at the 3' end of the gpr gene was joined to a BglII site in the vector so that both restriction sites were destroyed. To generate probes for S1 nuclease analysis, special derivatives were constructed which retained the BamHI site in this position (D. Peabody, unpublished data). These plasmids were digested with BamHI, and their 5' ends were labeled with [gamma-32P]ATP and polynucleotide kinase (32). After digestion with PstI endonuclease, the appropriate fragments were isolated by electrophoresis in agarose gels. Probes labeled in this position can be used to assay for intactness of the mRNA along the entire length of the coding regions. DNA fragments protected from S1 nuclease digestion were analyzed by electrophoresis in alkaline agarose gels (33).

Transcripts were also analyzed by a blotting analysis of polyadenylated RNA (1). Samples were denatured by glyoxalation, electrophoresed in agarose gels, and blotted to nitrocellulose essentially as described by Thomas (54). Probes were prepared by nick translation (46) of isolated dhrf or gpt fragments and hybridized to the immobilized RNA by established methods (54).

Analysis of protein products. Protein was extracted from approximately 107 cells by methods previously described (52). The production of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) was measured by the blotting technique (45) described by Burnette (5). Proteins separated on 15% polyacrylamide gels in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate (28) were transferred to nitrocellulose, and DHFR was detected by incubation with antiserum to mouse DHFR (a gift of R. Schimke, Stanford University) and subsequent treatment with 125I-labeled protein A (provided by S. Schmid, Stanford University). The filter was exposed to Kodak XAR-5 film with enhancement by a Dupont Cronex Lightning-Plus screen.

β-Galactosidase activity was determined as previously described (36) and was used to determine how much of each extract was assayed for xanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transfersase (XGPR). Thus, each sample was normalized for variations in transfection efficiency. XGPR activity was measured by a modification of the in situ gel assay described previously (37). Extracts were fractionated on 7.5% polyacrylamide gel slabs in the Davis Tris-glycine system (7). After the electrophoresis, the gel was removed from the glass plates, soaked for 10 min in 50 mM Tris hydrochloride, pH 7.5, and then placed on a prewetted sheet of polyethyleneimine (Polygram CEL 300; Brinkmann Instruments, Inc.). A 1.5-ml assay mixture containing 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate, 100 mM Tris hydrochloride, pH 7.5, and 150 µCi of 3H]guanine (20 Ci/mmol) was spread on the surface of the gel and incubated at 37°C for 60 min on a level surface. During this time the reaction products diffused through the gel to the polyethyleneimine sheet. After removal of the gel, the sheet was washed in water, dried, and fluorographed. XGPR activities were quantitated by scanning the fluorogram with a densitometer.

RESULTS

Identification of the XGPR initiator AUG. As originally cloned in pSV2gpt (37, 38), the gpt sequence contains two AUGs in a single reading frame, 22 codons long, upstream of the XGPR coding region (Fig. 2). Deletion of 120 nucleotides of upstream sequence in pSV2gpt1 (referred to as pSV2gpt BglII del in reference 37) resulted in a twofold increase in XGPR production (Fig. 3). This increase in activity could be due either to removal of the two upstream AUGs or to the decreased length of the mRNA leader. The open reading frame that encodes XGPR contained three

FIG. 2. Predicted structures of the mRNAs produced by recombinants used to locate the XGPR initiator AUG. Boxes indicate open reading frames, and the letters S and T denote, respectively, the translation initiation and termination codons at their boundaries. The large box includes the XGPR open reading frame. The relative amounts of XGPR produced after transfection of COS cells with these recombinants is indicated in the column at the right; the activity obtained with pSV2 gpt1 is arbitrarily defined as 100.

FIG. 3. Electrophoretic assays of XGPRT in extracts of cells transfected with the recombinants illustrated in Fig. 2. Each track contains extract from about 106 cells, the exact amount having been determined by reference to β-galactosidase activity as described in the text.
FIG. 4. Predicted structures of the mRNAs produced by pSV2dhfr and the dicistronic pSV2dhfr-gpt derivatives. Boxes indicate coding regions, and the letters S and T refer to translation initiation and termination codons as for Fig. 2. The solid black box denotes the DHFR coding region, whereas the open box illustrates the XGPT reading frame. The stippled and hatched regions are the short reading frames which result from the conjunction of sequence in the 3' untranslated portion of dhfr and the 5' flanking sequences of the gpt gene. The overlapping coding regions of pSV2dhfr-gpt13 and 14 are indicated by the overlapping boxes. Relative amounts of XGPT are listed on the right, with the activity produced by pSV2gpt1 defined as 100.

Potential translation initiation sites (Fig. 2) that could encode polypeptides of 152, 142, or 129 amino acids. For the present work, it was important to determine which of these three AUGs served as the initiation codon for XGPT synthesis in animal cells. The plasmids pSV2gpt2 and -3 were constructed to delete the first two AUGs in the XGPT reading frame (Fig. 2). Each of these deletions completely abolished the capacity to synthesize active XGPT (Fig. 3), leading us to conclude that the first AUG in pSV2gpt1 is the bona fide initiation codon. If truncated forms of XGPT were produced by pSV2gpt2 and -3, they lacked enzymatic activity and were therefore undetected.

Structures of the mRNAs. The principle objective of this work was to test the hypothesis that initiation of translation at internal AUGs can occur by a termination-reinitiation mechanism. Accordingly, a series of plasmids was constructed to test the effects of deleting termination codons on reading frames upstream of the coding region for XGPT. The structure of the pSV2 vectors and their use in the expression of foreign genes in COS cells has been described in detail elsewhere (2). Briefly, the plasmids used in this study use the SV40 early promoter and RNA processing signals to produce mRNAs whose predicted structures are shown in Fig. 2 and 4. To verify that these mRNAs were indeed produced, transcripts were analyzed by both the blotting procedure for mRNAs and the S1 nuclease protection method. Polyadenylated RNA from transfected cells was denatured by glyoxalation, electrophoresed in a 1.5% agarose gel, transferred to nitrocellulose, and annealed with 32P-labeled dhfr- and gpt-specific probes. pSVdhfr26, pSV2gpt1, and pSV2dhfr-gpt1 produced mRNA species migrating in positions consistent with their expected sizes of approximately 1,700, 1,850, and 2,600 nucleotides, respectively (Fig. 5). It is important that no significant quantities of species other than the 2,600-nucleotide RNA were produced by the pSV2dhfr-gpt1 recombinant.

S1 nuclease analyses were performed with RNAs from cells transfected with pSV2gpt, pSV2gpt1, pSV2dhfr-gpt1, pSV2dhfr-gpt6, and pSV2dhfr-gpt8. Probes were labeled at their 5' ends with 32P as illustrated in Fig. 6A. After hybridization and S1 nuclease digestion, the protected fragments were separated by electrophoresis in an alkaline agarose gel (Fig. 6B). The results confirm that each recombinant produced an mRNA species that was contiguous with the probe from the labeled site at the 3' end of the gpt sequence all the way to the start point of transcription near the BglII site in the SV40 early promoter. With pSV2dhfr-gpt1, -6, and -8 this was the only abundant species produced. The pSV2gpt and pSV2gpt1 species, however, produced an additional component of about 0.7 kilobases. The discontinuity of this RNA with the probe mapped to a site well within the XGPT coding sequence and presumably cannot direct the synthesis of functional enzyme. This species indicates the existence of an S1 nuclease-sensitive site within the XGPT coding sequence, as was noted by Mulligan and Berg (38) for plasmids containing the gpt sequence in the SV40 late region. Since no known splice donors were present upstream from this site in the pSV2
electrophoresed in agarose gels. The positions of size markers are shown on the left.

Fig. 5. Blot analysis of gpt- and dhfr-specific RNAs produced in transfected cells. The pSV2dhfr26 lane was probed with an isolated DNA fragment containing only dhfr sequences labeled by nick translation. Similarly, the pSV2gpt1 and pSV2dhfr-gpt1 samples were probed with sequences specific for gpt. The leftmost lane contained size markers.

Fig. 6. (A) S1 nuclease analysis of RNAs from transfected cells. The specific end-labeled probes hybridized to the respective RNA samples are indicated with solid lines for vector sequences and boxes (coded as in Fig. 2) to indicate the location of the coding regions. The distances (in nucleotides) between some restriction endonuclease cleavage sites are indicated, as are the lengths of protected fragments. The asterisks indicate the label at the 5' end. (B) After hybridization of the RNA to the DNA probe, samples were treated with S1 nuclease and electrophoresed in alkaline agarose gels. The positions of size markers are shown on the left.
reinitiation hypothesis is that deletion of the translation termination codon upstream of the XGPRT coding region should prevent initiation at the authentic XGPRT initiation codon. To test this prediction, sequences upstream of the XGPRT coding region were deleted to produce the recombinants shown in Fig. 4. The XGPRT activity produced by each of these plasmids after transfection into COS cells is shown in Fig. 8A, and the results are summarized in numerical form in Fig. 4. The plasmid pSV2dhfr-gptl contained the entire coding region for mouse DHFR upstream of the XGPRT coding sequence. In addition, the conjunction of sequences from the 3' untranslated region of dhfr and the 5' region of gpt created two short reading frames of 9 and 28 codons in the region between the coding regions for DHFR and XGPRT. Cells transfected with this plasmid produced only 10 to 20% as much XGPRT as cells transfected with monocistronic pSV2gptl DNA. Deletion of these two short reading frames (in pSV2dhfr-gpt6) did not substantially alter the amount of XGPRT synthesis compared with that for pSV2dhfr-gptl. However, when 11 more nucleotides of the dhfr 3' sequence, including the dhfr termination codon, were deleted, the dhfr coding region was placed in frame with the downstream gpt gene in pSV2dhfr-gpt8. Under these circumstances the production of XGPRT was completely abolished. In this case, the open reading frame beginning at the dhfr initiation codon extended to the gpt termination codon, 457 nucleotides downstream of the AUG triplet that initiates the XGPRT coding sequence.

FIG. 8. Electrophoretic assays of XGPRT in extracts of cells transfected with the recombinants whose structures are illustrated in Fig. 4, along with pSV2gpt and pSV2gptl for comparison (see Fig. 2). Each track contains extract from about 10^6 cells, the exact amount being determined by reference to β-galactosidase activity as described in Materials and Methods.
To evaluate the effect of termination of DHFR translation at varying distances downstream from the XGPRT initiator, pSV2dhfr-gpt13 and -14 were constructed by the insertion and deletion, respectively, of 4 bp at the Sall site that marks the boundary between the dhfr and gpt sequences in pSV2dhfr-gpt8. The frameshift mutations created by these manipulations caused translation that initiated at the dhfr AUG to terminate at a UAA triplet 50 nucleotides downstream of the gpt AUG in pSV2dhfr-gpt13 or at a UGA triplet that overlapped the gpt AUG in pSV2dhfr-gpt14 (Fig. 4). The results of assays for the ability of these recombinants to produce XGPRT are shown in Fig. 8, and the data are summarized numerically in Fig. 4. Although pSV2dhfr-gpt8 produced no detectable XGPRT activity, pSV2dhfr-gpt13, which contained a termination codon in the dhfr reading frame 50 nucleotide downstream of the gpt initiation codon, produced XGPRT at about 50% of the level produced by pSV2dhfr-gpt6. When the termination and initiation triplets actually overlapped, as in pSV2dhfr-gpt14, XGPRT was produced at about the same level as by pSV2dhfr-gpt6. Since functional XGPRT was not produced when initiation occurred at the AUG codon downstream of the true XGPRT initiator, it is unlikely that the activity resulted from reinitiation at these sites (see earlier data, Fig. 2 and 3). Thus, the synthesis of functional XGPRT in pSV2dhfr-gpt13 and -14 suggests that ribosomes can “reach back” after translation terminates to reinitiate translation at a site at least 50 nucleotides upstream.

DISCUSSION

The results presented here add to the growing list of examples of translation initiation at internal AUGs. In cells transfected with pSV2dhfr-gpt1, for example, XGPRT synthesis was initiated at an AUG that was about 900 nucleotides from the 5’ end of the mRNA molecule and was preceded by 11 AUG triplets. Our analysis of the species of mRNA made from the recombinant plasmids described here indicates that XGPRT is not made from a smaller mRNA in which the XGPRT AUG initiator codon is the most 5’ proximal. The very small structural differences between plasmids which produced XGPRT and those which did not also make this an extremely unlikely possibility. Plasmid pSV2dhfr-gpt8 did not promote the synthesis of XGPRT, although it differed from pSV2dhfr-gpt6 by a deletion of only 11 bp from the 3’ end of the dhfr sequence. Moreover, XGPRT synthesis was restored with both pSV2dhfr-gpt13 and -14, which were derived from pSV2dhfr-gpt8 by the insertion or deletion of 4 bp at the Sall site that joins the dhfr and gpt sequences. These results are difficult to reconcile with an explanation that relies on the existence of an unusual mRNA species. One would have to maintain that a cryptic promoter or RNA processing signal is disrupted by the 11-bp deletion in pSV2dhfr-gpt8 and then reconstructed by a 4-bp insertion in pSV2dhfr-gpt13 and by a 4-bp deletion in pSV2dhfr-gpt14. This argument can also be invoked against the possibility that the failure of pSV2dhfr-gpt8 to produce XGPRT is due to the disruption of a sequence that mediates the direct binding of ribosomes to an internal initiation site.

Another possible explanation of our data is that internal initiation involves direct binding of ribosomes at an internal site but that such binding is inhibited by active translation from an upstream sequence through the internal initiation site. In this view, the role of upstream terminators is to relieve this inhibition by causing the ribosomes to dissociate from the mRNA upstream of the internal initiation site. This explanation seems unlikely since quite efficient initiation of XGPRT synthesis occurred even when the two coding regions of the dicistronic mRNA overlapped by 50 nucleotides.

The termination-reinitiation model we propose embodies the basic features of the scanning hypothesis but maintains that after termination the ribosome remains associated with the mRNA long enough to reinitiate at a nearby AUG. According to the scanning hypothesis (Fig. 9), the 40S ribosomal subunit initially binds the 5’ end of the mRNA molecule in a reaction that is facilitated by the presence of a 5’ cap structure. The 40S subunit then migrates along the mRNA until it encounters an AUG triplet, at which point the 60S ribosomal subunit becomes associated and protein synthesis begins.

Termination-reinitiation is one mechanism by which initiation at internal AUGs might occur. The experiments described here confirm a major prediction of the model, that deletion of the terminator of an upstream coding region can abolish initiation at a downstream site. Thus, when the dhfr termination codon is deleted (pSV2dhfr-gpt8), the capacity for initiation at the gpt AUG is eliminated. Results with
pSV2dhfr-gpt13 and -14, however, indicate that the reinitiation process does not necessarily require that the termination event occur upstream of the reinitiation site, only that it not occur too far downstream. Our data do not permit a determination of the maximum distance a ribosome may reach back to reinitiate, only that the process is about 50% efficient over a distance of 50 nucleotides and undetectable at 457 nucleotides. This is consistent with other results (43) which show efficient reach-back reinitiation over a distance of 13 but not 137 nucleotides. These results suggest that ribosomes may migrate bidirectionally on the mRNA molecule.

Although mammalian cells apparently possess the ability to initiate translation at internal AUG codons, they rarely use that ability. A recent comparison of the sequences of 180 different naturally occurring mRNAs revealed that only 18 of them had one or more AUGs in their 5' leader sequences (26). An even smaller number have been shown to actually use an upstream AUG for initiation. A possible reason for the apparent selection against the appearance of upstream AUGs is that they decrease the efficiency of translation of the downstream sequence. The dicistronic plasmid pSV2dhfr-gpt6, for example, produced XGPRT at a level only 10 to 20% of that observed for the monocistronic pSV2gpt1. This tendency to reduce translation efficiency has been observed in some other cases as well but is not universally true, since we have encountered at least one example of reinitiation that seems to be 100% efficient (43).

It should be noted that the model we have proposed does not exclude the possibility that other mechanisms may be involved in some cases. Indeed, termination-reinitiation cannot explain all the known examples of internal initiation, since some mRNAs contain upstream AUGs with no in-frame terminator before or soon after the bona fide initiation codon. Although many of the known examples of mRNAs that initiate translation at internal AUGs conform to the requirements of the termination-reinitiation model, some do not, and in these cases the relaxed scanning or direct internal binding models must be invoked. The experiments described here and in the accompanying paper (43) suggest, however, that termination-reinitiation is an important mechanism of internal initiation of translation in many cases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant R01-GM12325 from the National Institutes of Health. D.S.P. was a postdoctoral fellow of the Jane Coffin Childs Fund for Medical Research.

LITERATURE CITED


