A Conserved Negative Regulatory Region in αPAK: Inhibition of PAK Kinases Reveals Their Morphological Roles Downstream of Cdc42 and Rac1
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αPAK in a constitutively active form can exert morphological effects (E. Manser, H.-Y. Huang, T.-H. Loo, X.-Q. Chen, J.-M. Dong, T. Leung, and L. Lim, Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:1129–1143, 1997) resembling those of Cdc42G12V. PAK family kinases, conserved from yeasts to humans, are directly activated by Cdc42 or Rac1 through interaction with a conserved N-terminal motif (corresponding to residues 71 to 137 in αPAK). αPAK mutants with substitutions in this motif that resulted in severely reduced Cdc42 binding can be recruited normally to Cdc42G12V-driven focal complexes. Mutation of residues in the C-terminal portion of the motif (residues 101 to 137), though not affecting Cdc42 binding, produced a constitutively active kinase, suggesting this to be a negative regulatory region. Indeed, a 67-residue polypeptide encoding αPAK83–149, potentially inhibited GTPγS-bound Cdc42-mediated kinase activation of both αPAK and βPAK. Coexpression of this PAK inhibitor with Cdc42G12V prevented the formation of peripheral actin microspikes and associated loss of stress fibers normally induced by the p21. Coexpression of PAK inhibitor with Rac1G12V also prevented loss of stress fibers but not ruffling induced by the p21. Coexpression of αPAK83–149 completely blocked the phenotypic effects of hyperactive αPAK1–107F in promoting dissolution of focal adhesions and actin stress fibers. These results, coupled with previous observations with constitutively active PAK, demonstrate that these kinases play an important role downstream of Cdc42 and Rac1 in cytoskeletal reorganization.

The small GTP-binding proteins of the Rho subfamily, in particular the ubiquitous Rho, Rac, and Cdc42 proteins, act through a variety of downstream targets which bind to the GTP forms of these p21s (reviewed in references 27 and 54). RhoA signalling is required for maintenance of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions in cultured mammalian cells (46), these activities being mediated by Rho-associated kinases (ROKs) (13, 24, 25, 37). Rac activation produces lamellipodia or membrane ruffles and associated peripheral focal complexes (FCs) perhaps by binding POR1 (47, 56). Cdc42 promotes formation of peripheral actin microspikes, which are structural components of filopodia and retraction fibers, followed by its activation of Rac (19, 39). Cdc42 can antagonize Rho (20, 34), while Rac can promote leukotriene-mediated activation of Rho (43). In addition to their roles in cell morphology, Cdc42, Rac, and Rho participate in regulating transcription both through JNK/stress-activated protein kinase (SAPK)- and p38 MAP kinase pathways (8, 38). Activated forms of these p21s can also stimulate cell cycle progression to promote DNA synthesis in fibroblasts (41). In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Cdc42p is required for the control of cell polarity during budding and pseudohyphal growth (28, 62) but plays a role in transcriptional activation via the mating-response MAP kinase pathway (51, 61). Both budding and pheromone-induced signalling in yeast require participation of the PAK-like kinases Cla4p and Ste20p (22, 23).

Mammalian targets of Cdc42 and Rac1 include a number of recently identified proteins, of which the best characterized are PAK kinases (27, 49). Upon binding to either GTP-bound Cdc42 (GTP-Cdc42) or GTP-Rac, PAK undergoes autophosphorylation on multiple sites and is activated (32, 34, 36). PAK function in vivo probably includes activation of JNK/SAPK and p38 MAP kinase pathways (2, 45). Since Rho-p21s are closely linked to cytoskeletal reorganization, the ubiquitously expressed PAKs (32, 36) have been candidate effectors in mediating certain aspects of morphology regulated by Cdc42 and Rac. Expression of constitutively active forms of αPAK causes drastic loss of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions with retraction of the cell periphery, consistent with PAK acting downstream of Cdc42 and Rac (34). Microinjected PAK1 protein has also been shown to promote FC formation and membrane ruffling through N-terminal (non kinase) interactions (50). The control of the cellular activities of PAK containing various functional domains appears to be complex; p21 activation of PAK is not the only mode of regulation, as capsase-mediated cleavage of PAK2 can generate a catalytically active fragment which regulates morphological changes associated with apoptosis (48).

Comparison of the primary sequences of divergent PAKs revealed two highly conserved functional domains: the serine/threonine kinase domain at the C terminus and a region that includes the p21-binding domain toward the N terminus of the kinase. In this study, we used site-directed mutagenesis to determine that while the N-terminal half of this region binds p21, the C-terminal half is involved in negatively regulating kinase activity. A PAK inhibitor sequence was derived from the conserved region by deletion analysis and then used to analyze the morphological activities of endogenous PAK. Cytoskeletal reorganization in cells expressing Cdc42G12V or RacG12V are markedly affected when the PAK inhibitor is
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coexpressed, demonstrating that endogenous PAKs can indeed play defined morphological roles downstream of these p21s.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PAK subcloning and site-directed mutagenesis. Mutations to the pPAK N-terminal region were constructed by a PCR-based mutagenesis procedure. The 0.75-kb DNA fragment encoding aPAK was amplified in two parts with desired mutations introduced at the junction from one PCR primer. The two primers at the central position (−300 bp from BamHI) were phosphorylated prior to the PCR to facilitate ligation. The two PCR products were ligated with T4 DNA ligase, and the complete mutant 0.75-kb DNA fragment was reamplified by PCR using pPAK complementary primers at the 5′ end (ATGGATCCC GGATCCATGTCAAATAACGGC; BamHI site underlined) and at the 3′ end, corresponding to the position of an internal BglII site (CCGCTCAGCT CAGACCACTTCTACGCA; BglII and XhoI sites underlined). PCR products were subcloned into the BamHI and XhoI sites of the Bluescript SK(+) vector and sequenced.

The polypeptides encoding aPAK residues 83 to 131, 83 to 149, 89 to 131, and 89 to 149 were expressed from cDNAs amplified by PCR and introduced into pGEX-4T-1 (Pharmacia). The full-length PAK mutants were then constructed by adding the BglII-XhoI cDNA fragment encoding aPAK into the BamHI and XhoI sites in the mammalian pXJ hemagglutinin (HA)-epitope-tagged vectors (34). The primers used to generate PAK mutants and truncation sites are listed in Table 1.

Bacterial expression and purification of recombinant PAK proteins. The plasmid expressing the glutathione S-transferase (GST)/PAK1-250 fusion proteins of each mutant as well as the wild type were constructed by cloning the 750-bp BamHI-XhoI fragments into pGEX-4T-1 (Pharmacia). The full-length PAK mutants were then constructed by adding the BglII-XhoI cDNA fragment encoding aPAK into the GST/PAK1-250 mutant plasmids, pGEX plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 for protein expression. Recombinant GST fusion proteins from 200-ml cultures were purified by glutathione-Sepharose affinity chromatography (Pharmacia) in a 300-μl column of glutathione-Sepharose. Eluted proteins were stored with 5% glycerol at −70°C.

Expression and purification of GST/aPAK from COS-7 cells. COS-7 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. The pXJ-GST mammalian cell expression vector was introduced into COS-7 cells by calcium chloride transfection. After 18 h, the cells were harvested and lysed in buffer containing 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1% Nonidet P-40, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaF, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.75 mM glutathione and 5% glycerol (pH 8.0) for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatant fractions were collected by centrifugation and the supernatants were dialyzed against 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 0.1% Triton X-100 prior to p21 overlay analysis. Cdc42-labeling with [γ-32P]GTP and Western overlays were carried out as described previously (30). The [γ-32P]GTP-

d2154 ZHAO ET AL. MOL. CELL. BIOL. Western overlays were carried out as described previously (30). The [γ-32P]GTP-CD42 signals on the filter were detected at −20°C and quantified by Phosphoimager (Molecular Dynamics) analysis.

Results

Flanking sequences influence the p21-binding CRIB motif of aPAK. PAK sequences contain two functional domains, the C-terminal kinase domain and the p21-binding domain near the N terminus whose binding of Cdc42/Rac1 markedly stimulates autophosphorylation (32). In aPAK, a region encompassing residues 67 to 149 (the conserved PAK amino-terminal [PAN] motif) was first found to be functional as the Cdc42/Rac binding domain (32). Burbelo et al. (7) subsequently showed that among a larger family of Cdc42 and Rac1 binders, only a region (the core Cdc42-Rac interaction and binding [CRIB] motif) corresponding to aPAK34-95 shows significant conservation of amino acid residues. In spite of this, a aPAK39-90 construct containing all of these conserved residues (equivalent to aPAK34-95) exhibited extremely weak p21 binding (7).

Flanking sequences influence the p21-binding CRIB motif of aPAK. PAK sequences contain two functional domains, the C-terminal kinase domain and the p21-binding domain near the N terminus whose binding of Cdc42/Rac1 markedly stimulates autophosphorylation (32). In aPAK, a region encompassing residues 67 to 149 (the conserved PAK amino-terminal [PAN] motif) was first found to be functional as the Cdc42/Rac binding domain (32). Burbelo et al. (7) subsequently showed that among a larger family of Cdc42 and Rac1 binders, only a region (the core Cdc42-Rac interaction and binding [CRIB] motif) corresponding to aPAK34-95 shows significant conservation of amino acid residues. In spite of this, a aPAK39-90 construct containing all of these conserved residues (equivalent to aPAK34-95) exhibited extremely weak p21 binding (7).

In light of these observations, we investigated further the requirement for efficient p21 binding by performing [γ-32P]GTP-Cdc42 overlays on six deletion constructs containing portions of the N-terminal region of aPAK (Fig. 1A). For this purpose, cDNA constructs were ordered in the C-terminal regions of aPAK DNA (32). These E. coli-expressed polypeptides were purified as GST fusion proteins (Fig. 1B, left) and analyzed by using 10 pmol of proteins (Fig. 1B, right), which is within the range for a linear p21-binding response (55). All of these deletion constructs (but not GST) bound Cdc42 with lower affinity than full-length GST/aPAK (whose breakdown fragments also showed robust binding activity [Fig. 1B]), Deletion construct 1 (aPAK1-149) retained 70% of the Cdc42-binding activity of full-length aPAK (Fig. 1C, lane 2). Construct 2 (aPAK75-149) extending the C-terminal limits of the weakly binding construct described by Burbelo et al. (7), still bound GTP-Cdc42 threefold more weakly than aPAK1-149.

RESULTS

BCR

TABLE 1. Mutagenesis primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence*</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>5′AAGGAAATCTCGTGCAGCCCTGC3′</td>
<td>I→N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>5′AAGGAGGATCTCGTGCAGCCCTGC3′</td>
<td>S→P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>5′GGCTTACATTTTGAGCATAC3′</td>
<td>P→A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>5′CTCTAGATTTGAGCTACATTCGTCGCCGG3′</td>
<td>HH→LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>5′AACGGGCCACTCCTGTCAGACCATCCAGTAAC3′</td>
<td>G→V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>5′AACGGGCCACTCCTGTCAGACCATCCAGTAAC3′</td>
<td>M→K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>5′TTTTTTCTCTTCGTCAGTTGG3′</td>
<td>EQ→KK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>5′TTeCCTTTCTCGTCTGACTTG3′</td>
<td>KN→GG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td>5′CCCCACGGCCTTCTCGTgTGTT3′</td>
<td>D→R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10</td>
<td>5′CCCCACGGCCTTCTCGTgTGTTG3′</td>
<td>QA→LD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11</td>
<td>5′CCCCACGGCCTTCTGATGTTGGTAACAAgtCATAAACTCC3′</td>
<td>FY→NH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iljz21</td>
<td>5′CTCTCAGTTTTTGGACATAC3′</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iljz24</td>
<td>5′AAGGAAATCTCGTCGGCCCTGC3′</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iljz29</td>
<td>5′TTTTTTCTCTCGTCTGACTTG3′</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mutated bases are in lowercase.
void of p21-binding activity (Fig. 4E), indicating that these flanking sequences have no intrinsic binding activity. Comparison of the N-terminal sequences in PAK-like kinases from mammals, invertebrates, and yeast showed that in the region corresponding to αPAK71-135F, residues are uniformly conserved (Fig. 2A). This encompasses the CRIB motif and flanking C-terminal sequences; there is no apparent bias of conservation in the N-terminal CRIB motif. The C-terminal sequences are not found in other classes of Cdc42/Rac1 binding proteins, such as ACK, WASP, and MLK3 (1, 7, 31).

Amino acid substitutions that affect p21 binding do not prevent PAK recruitment to Cdc42-driven FCs. To investigate the function of the conserved residues in the PAN motif, we introduced amino acid substitutions at beginning, middle, and end positions indicated in Fig. 2A. To analyze their p21-binding activities, residues 1 to 250 of each mutant were purified and subjected to Western overlay with [γ-32P]GTP-labeled Cdc42 (Fig. 2B); mutants M10 and M11 could not be recovered as stable GST fusion proteins. As shown in Fig. 2C, substitutions of conserved residues in the N-terminal portion of the PAN motif, M1 (I75N), M2 (S76P), M3 (P78A), and M4 (H83/86L), caused drastic loss of p21 binding; M6 (M100K) also showed reduced binding, but the C-terminal mutants had normal p21 binding. These amino acid substitution results concur with previously published data (7) showing that the N-terminal portion of the PAN motif functions as the core p21-binding region.

Recent reports that Cdc42-binding-deficient Ste20p mutants are functionally competent (23, 44) led us to consider whether the cellular activities of PAK could occur without its directly binding Cdc42. The normally cytoplasmic wild-type kinase is translocated to FCs by activated Cdc42 or Rac1 (34). We therefore tested whether Cdc42G12V could relocalize the p21-binding-deficient PAK mutants described above. αPAK mutant M4 was not investigated due to its partially activated state (see Fig. 4 and references 48 and 50). As shown in Fig. 2D, wild-type αPAK and the αPAK mutants M2 (S76P) and M3 (P78A), which were distributed throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus, became associated with peripheral FCs in a manner indistinguishable from that of wild-type αPAK when coexpressed with Cdc42G12V. These kinases were not activated by Cdc42G4V when coexpressed in COS-7 cells (data not shown) or by GTPγS-Cdc42 when tested in vitro (Fig. 3). Thus, PAK recruitment to FCs can be independent of direct association with Cdc42.

The C-terminal portion of the PAN motif may negatively regulate the kinase. The wild-type αPAK is toxic in E. coli, probably due to its constitutive kinase activity in this bacterium (34). We were able to obtain, by serendipitous in vivo selection, an attenuated form of αPAK where leucine 404 is replaced by serine; this mutant exhibited low intrinsic kinase activity when purified as a GST fusion protein and was activated in vitro by GTP-bound Cdc42 or Rac1, albeit with slower than wild-type activation kinetics (33). A comparison of purified recombinant wild-type αPAK with αPAKL404S is shown in Fig. 3A. This αPAKL404S enabled us to assess the effects of additional mutations on kinase activation.

Those mutants that could be expressed as full-length GST/αPAKL404S fusion proteins were purified and analyzed on SDS–8% polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 3B). Mutants M1, M2, M3, and M5 showed the same electrophoretic migration as the inactive αPAKL404S (lane 1); part of the M4 protein appeared as a higher band (lane 5). By contrast, M6, M7, and M9, with mutations in the C-terminal portion of the PAN motif, exhibited a retarded electrophoretic mobility indistinguishable from that of constitutively active wild-type (autophosphory-
FIG. 2. Mutations in the N terminus of the PAN motif abolish p21 binding. (A) Sequence alignment of PAN motifs of PAK-related proteins from rat (α-, β-, and γ-PAK), Drosophila (DPAK), Caenorhabditis elegans (Ce-PAK), S. cerevisiae (Ste20p, Cla4p, and Sc-PAK), and Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Shk1p). Accession numbers are given elsewhere (27). The conserved residues are boxed in black, and a consensus of these is shown below. Amino acid substitutions corresponding to each of the mutant constructs are shown. (B) The first 250 amino acids of each αPAK mutant and wild-type (Wt) construct were purified as GST fusion proteins, and 1 μg of each protein was resolved by SDS-PAGE (11% gel) and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (top); p21 binding to bands containing 0.4 μg of each protein was determined by overlays with [γ-32P]GTP-Cdc42 (bottom). (C) The Cdc42 binding signals in panel B (bottom) were quantified on a PhosphorImager; the means of two independent experiments are shown. (D) Expression constructs encoding HA-αPAK mutants as indicated were transfected into HeLa cells alone or with FLAG-Cdc42G12V. Typical cells stained for αPAK are shown; in all cases, the cells were also stained with antipaxillin to confirm that peripherally located PAK was in FCs. Bar, 10 μm.
Constructing a minimal PAK-inhibitory polypeptide. We then determined whether the N-terminal half of the kinase harbored inhibitory sequences by testing GST/αPAK\(^{1-250}\) (S76P), deficient in Cdc42 binding (Fig. 2C), for its ability to inhibit PAK activation in vitro. Increasing amounts of GST/αPAK\(^{1-250}\) (S76P) were added into the kinase assay reactions in the presence of excess GTP-Cdc42 (Fig. 4A, lanes 2 to 5). Both autophosphorylation and kinase activities were inhibited (Fig. 4A and B). Additional GTP-Cdc42 did not overcome this inhibition (Fig. 4B, lanes 6 to 8). Thus, αPAK activity can be directly inhibited by an excess of N-terminal regulatory sequences. To localize the autoinhibitory region, four smaller fusion proteins covering different lengths of the C-terminal region of the PAN motif were similarly tested (Fig. 4C and D). αPAK\(^{1-404}\) activation by GTP/S-Cdc42 was clearly prevented by GST/αPAK\(^{83-149}\) and GST/αPAK\(^{83-131}\), but not by the smaller GST/αPAK\(^{99-149}\) and GST/αPAK\(^{99-131}\). GST/αPAK\(^{83-149}\) did not bind p21 (Fig. 4E) since it lacks critical residues 71 to 82.

To test whether this inhibitory domain could suppress wild-type αPAK activation, we used purified wild-type αPAK and βPAK expressed in COS-7 cells, which are recovered in forms exhibiting basal kinase activity (34). Excess GST/αPAK\(^{83-149}\) was highly effective in inhibiting αPAK and βPAK activation in vitro by GTP-Cdc42, whereas GST/αPAK\(^{83-131}\) was not (Fig. 5A). However, GST/αPAK\(^{83-149}\) did not inhibit kinase activity of E. coli-derived active wild-type (autophosphorylated) GST/αPAK or GST/βPAK (Fig. 5B). This finding suggests that the inhibitory domain functions primarily by preventing auto- and consequent activation of the kinase. Replacing D126 with R resulted in substantial loss of the inhibitory function of αPAK\(^{83-149}\) (Fig. 5C; compare lanes 4 and 5). The inhibitor was highly potent in vitro, with an apparent K\(_i\) of 90 nM (Fig. 5D). When a cDNA encoding GST/αPAK\(^{83-149}\) was cotransfected with αPAK and Cdc42\(^{G12V}\) into COS-7 cells, it completely blocked PAK activation (Fig. 5E; compare lanes 3 and 4), while the equivalent D126R mutant was ineffective (lane 5). This was evident in terms of both αPAK mobility and its activity toward MBP (as quantified at the bottom of Fig. 5E). The GST/inhibitor construct was detected as a single 35-kDa band, indicating its stability under in vivo expression conditions.

PAK inhibitor prevents certain cytoskeletal rearrangements induced by Cdc42 and Rac1. In HeLa cells, expression of Cdc42\(^{G12V}\) induces formation of filopodia and cell retraction (34); stress fibers and Rho-dependent focal adhesions disappear with production of new peripheral FCs. Rac1\(^{G12V}\), expressing cells, which are characterized by cell flattening, membrane ruffling, and formation of bead-like FCs at the cell periphery, also lose stress fibers (39). In (human) HeLa cells, γPAK represents the predominant form corresponding to hPAK65 (36). Injection of a plasmid expressing the PAK\(^{83-149}\) inhibitor sequence had no effect on the morphology of HeLa cells; the inhibitor was cytosolic, and its disposition was apparently unaffected by Cdc42 or Rac (data not shown). However, its expression dramatically affects cytoskeletal reorganization elicited by Cdc42 and Rac1 (Fig. 6). In each field, we show a typical p21-injected cell, a cell doubly injected with p21 plus PAK inhibitor, and uninjected control cells. Compared with HA-Cdc42\(^{G12V}\) injection alone (Fig. 6a and b), cells coinjected with plasmid encoding αPAK\(^{83-149}\) did not exhibit a clear reorganization of actin to the periphery 2 h after injection. Peripheral actin microspikes were always absent in the doubly injected cells. Typically both Cdc42\(^{G12V}\)- and Rac1\(^{G12V}\)-injected cells coexpressing αPAK\(^{83-149}\) (Fig. 6c and d) retained...
internal Rho-type FCs while forming peripherally located FCs. With Cdc42G12V plus αPAK83-149, these peripheral complexes were clearly larger than with Cdc42 G12V alone (Fig. 6c). The formation of these new FCs indicates that the p21s are functional in the presence of the kinase inhibitor and that PAK kinase activity is not required for FC formation. We monitored the behavior of cells to 4 h postinjection (Fig. 6e to h); over this time period, coexpression of αPAK83-149 still prevented the production of peripheral actin microspikes in Cdc42 G12V-expressing cells (Fig. 6e and f) but did not block membrane ruffles due to RacG12V (Fig. 6g and h). This lack of effect on ruffles, seen here as a stained and wavy outline, was also observed on phase-contrast microscopy (data not shown). PAK inhibition also prevented the loss of actin stress fibers in both Cdc42G12V- and Rac1 G12V-expressing cells (Fig. 6f and h).

Expression of αPAK83-149 blocks PAK function in vivo. We then tested whether αPAK83-149 could block the morphological effects of αPAK itself. Certain mutant forms of αPAK require no binding of Cdc42 to be fully activated in cultured cells, and their expression results in loss of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions and in the concomitant retraction of peripheral membranes (34). We found that αPAKL107F (6) has greater activity when recovered from COS-7 cells than HA-αPAKEQ116/117KK (M7) and HA-αPAK D126R (M9) proteins (data not shown) or the chimeric PAK-CC that we reported previously (34). Injection of a plasmid encoding αPAKL107F elicited rapid changes in cell morphology, in line with our previous observations. HeLa cells injected with plasmid encoding αPAKL107F (Fig. 7) behaved very differently from those coinjected with an αPAK83-149 plasmid and with a plasmid encoding the inhibitory αPAK83-149. Notably, the coinjected cells did not undergo the characteristic retraction (Fig. 7a), nor was there any evident loss of stress fibers or focal adhesions (Fig. 7b and c), although both cells clearly expressed αPAKL107F (Fig. 7a). Injection with plasmid encoding HA-αPAK83-149 showed that expression of the inhibitor by itself led to no distinct changes in actin or vinculin staining (data not shown). These results show that inhibitory αPAK83-149 is able

**FIG. 4.** The C terminus of the PAN motif inhibits PAK activation by GTP-Cdc42. (A) The PAK N-terminal fusion protein GST/αPAK1-250(S76P) inhibits PAK activation by GTP-Cdc42. The kinase activity of bacterially expressed GST/αPAK1-250(S76P) was assayed in the absence (lane 1) or presence (lane 2 to 8) of the indicated amounts of GTP-Cdc42. The autoradiograph shows inhibition due to the indicated amounts of GST/αPAK1-250(S76P) added during the kinase reactions. Signals of αPAK autophosphorylation and MBP phosphorylation are indicated by arrows. (B) Quantification of the MBP phosphorylation shown in panel A. (C) Schematic diagram of four peptides in the PAN motif region. The corresponding amino acid sequence is shown at the top. These peptides were expressed as GST fusion proteins. SDS-PAGE analysis of 1 μg of each purified protein showed single appropriately sized bands (not shown). (D) One microgram of bacterially expressed GST/αPAK1-404S was assayed for kinase activity to MBP in the presence of excess GTP-Cdc42 (4 μg) and 4 μg of each inhibitory peptide. The MBP phosphorylation signals were quantified on a PhosphorImager. (E) One microgram each of GST/αPAK and GST proteins was resolved by SDS-PAGE (11% gel) and blotted onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The proteins were stained with Coomassie blue (left), and their p21 binding was analyzed by overlay with [γ-32P]GTP-Cdc42 (right). Asterisks indicate positions of protein bands.
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FIG. 5. Specific inhibition of αPAK and βPAK activation by the GST/αPAK83-149 polypeptide. (A) In each assay, 0.2 μg of αPAK or βPAK purified from COS-7 cells was assayed for kinase activity toward MBP in the absence (lane 1) or presence of 2 μg of GTPγS-Cdc42 (lanes 2 to 6). GST was used as a control (lane 3). Kinase reactions were carried out with 10 μM [γ-33P]ATP and 10 μg of MBP in a final volume of 30 μl; half of the reaction was analyzed on 12% polyacrylamide gels. The MBP region of the autoradiograph is shown. (B) Bacterially expressed (active) GST/αPAK (1 μg) and GST/βPAK (1 μg) were assayed for MBP kinase activity (as described above) in the absence (lanes 1 and 3) or presence (lanes 2 and 4) of 5 μg of GST/αPAK83-149. (C) A mutation (D126R) in GST/αPAK83-149 interferes with its inhibitory activity. Top, Coomassie blue-stained gel (12%); bottom, corresponding autoradiograph of the MBP region. Each reaction was carried out with 0.2 μg of αPAK which was activated in the presence of 2 μg of Cdc42-GTPγS. Lanes 4 and 5 contained 1.5 μg of GST/αPAK83-149 or GST/αPAK83-149(D126R), a level ~15-fold higher than the calculated Kd of the wild-type inhibitor (D). GST alone has no effect on activation (the larger GST form contains attached polylinker-derived sequence). Kinase reactions were as for panel A (D). Concentration dependence of αPAK inhibition was determined by using 50 ng of kinase (~18 nM) under activation conditions as for panel A while varying the GST/αPAK83-149 concentration. MBP phosphorylation was quantified on a PhosphorImager. For reference, the data of MBP phosphorylation is shown in the inset. (E) Inhibition of αPAK activation by coexpression of GST/αPAK83-149 in COS-7 cells. Cells (in 100-mm-diameter dishes) were transfected with 3 μg of plasmid DNA per dish as indicated. Expression of GST, GST/αPAK83-149, or the mutant (D126R) version (6 μg of plasmid per dish) was detected in the total extract by using anti-GST antibodies. The activity of the anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates, recovering equivalent amounts of the kinase (top), was determined by phosphorylation of MBP (counts quantified at the bottom).

to block αPAK activity in vivo. The inhibitor presumably interferes with intracellular activation of kinase, since αPAK1L107F recovered from COS-7 cells displayed the retardation in mobility characteristic of hyperphosphorylated and activated αPAK only when the inhibitor was not coexpressed (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Activation of PAK. PAK kinases can be directly and potently activated by the GTP-bound forms of Cdc42 and Rac1, unlike Rho-binding kinases such as ROK or PKN, which are activated only weakly on binding to Rho-GTP (13, 25, 37, 59). Our results indicate that the PAK N-terminal PAN motif integrates two functions, p21 binding and kinase inhibition. Binding of Cdc42/Rac initiates release of a negative constraint embodied in sequences immediately C terminal of the binding site to allow autophosphorylation and kinase activation. The autoinhibitory sequence, like the kinase domain, is highly conserved among PAKs from yeasts to humans but is absent from other Rho-binding kinases such as ROK or PKN, which are activated only weakly on binding to Rho-GTP (13, 25, 37, 59). Rho-binding kinases such as ROK or PKN, which are activated by the GTP-bound forms of Cdc42 and Rac1, unlike αPAK, are only weakly activated by Cdc42-Rac1 in vitro (34), revealed that amino acid substitutions in the autoinhibitory sequence produce activated kinases (Fig. 3). PAK1L107F (6) and mPAK3, containing mutations equivalent to positions F96, G98, and P100 in Cdc42 (lanes 2 to 6) and 2 μg of MBP in a final volume of 30 μl; half of the reaction was analyzed on 12% polyacrylamide gels. The MBP region of the autoradiograph is shown. (B) Bacterially expressed (active) GST/αPAK (1 μg) and GST/βPAK (1 μg) were assayed for MBP kinase activity (as described above) in the absence (lanes 1 and 3) or presence (lanes 2 and 4) of 5 μg of GST/αPAK83-149. (C) A mutation (D126R) in GST/αPAK83-149 interferes with its inhibitory activity. Top, Coomassie blue-stained gel (12%); bottom, corresponding autoradiograph of the MBP region. Each reaction was carried out with 0.2 μg of αPAK which was activated in the presence of 2 μg of Cdc42-GTPγS. Lanes 4 and 5 contained 1.5 μg of GST/αPAK83-149 or GST/αPAK83-149(D126R), a level ~15-fold higher than the calculated Kd of the wild-type inhibitor (D). GST alone has no effect on activation (the larger GST form contains attached polylinker-derived sequence). Kinase reactions were as for panel A. (D) Concentration dependence of αPAK inhibition was determined by using 50 ng of kinase (~18 nM) under activation conditions as for panel A while varying the GST/αPAK83-149 concentration. MBP phosphorylation was quantified on a PhosphorImager. For reference, the data of MBP phosphorylation is shown in the inset. (E) Inhibition of αPAK activation by coexpression of GST/αPAK83-149 in COS-7 cells. Cells (in 100-mm-diameter dishes) were transfected with 3 μg of FLAG-αPAK (lanes 2 to 5) with or without 3 μg of HA-Cdc42G12V expression plasmid as indicated. Expression of GST, GST/αPAK83-149, or the mutant (D126R) version (6 μg of plasmid per dish) was detected in the total extract by using anti-GST antibodies. The activity of the anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates, recovering equivalent amounts of the kinase (top), was determined by phosphorylation of MBP (counts quantified at the bottom).

wild-type PAKs are constitutively active when expressed in E. coli (34). This precludes use of recombinant protein for regulatory studies unless a suitable derivative such as αPAK1L107F is employed. Use of this attenuated kinase, which undergoes autophosphorylation identical to that of the wild type upon Cdc42 activation in vitro (34), revealed that amino acid substitutions in the autoinhibitory sequence produce activated kinases (Fig. 3). PAK1L107F (6) and mPAK3, containing mutations equivalent to positions F96, G98, and P100 in αPAK (2), are similarly active. The effects of mutations dispersed throughout αPAK83-131 suggest that they act by altering the conformation of the inhibitory region. The behavior of the H83/86L mutant may reflect overlapping of p21-binding and inhibitory domains. The data suggest a model in which the inhibitory region forms a complex with the kinase domain, rendering it inactive, but does not do so when the domain is already activated (with phosphorylated T422).

Other studies have pointed to a role for N-terminal regions of PAK-related proteins in negatively regulating kinase activity. Expression of PAK1 (αPAK) lacking the first 231 amino acids, but not of full-length PAK1, yielded active kinase (45). S6/H4 (γPAK-like) kinase can be proteolytically
cleaved in vitro to yield an activated catalytic fragment (~40 kDa) (4). Caspase-mediated cleavage of PAK2 (γPAK) at position 212 during apoptosis activates the kinase (49) and may play an important part in the morphological changes seen during cell death. By specifically inhibiting PAK, it should be possible to dissect the role of PAK in apoptosis.

Many protein kinases are regulated by autoinhibition in which substrate-like sequences complex with the catalytic site to block substrate binding and in some cases autophosphorylation. Binding of an allosteric activator can disrupt conformation in the autoinhibitory domain (16, 52). Residues 580 to 595 in myosin light-chain kinase resemble a consensus substrate site and constitute an autoinhibitory domain (10, 14); a corresponding synthetic peptide inactivates the proteolytically activated kinase (17). The N-terminally located autoinhibitory domain of protein kinase C (PKC) is well documented (9, 12, 42). In these cases, the pseudosubstrate inhibitory domain primarily blocks phosphorylation of exogenous substrates. In contrast, the aPAK inhibitor sequence (83 to 149) cannot inhibit active (autophosphorylated) forms of aPAK and βPAK (Fig. 5C). Its mechanism of action therefore probably involves blocking autophosphorylation events. If a pseudosubstrate-like peptide exists within PAK83-149, its conformation must be stabilized by flanking sequences since we cannot derive a smaller inhibitory peptide (Fig. 3). The PAK autoinhibitory domain may partially overlap and be stabilized by the p21-binding domain (Fig. 4), resembling yeast PKC1, where the Rho1-binding domain lies within a pseudosubstrate sequence (40).

FIG. 6. Morphological effects of Cdc42 and Rac are blocked by inhibitory PAK83-149. HeLa cells were examined 2 h (a to d) or 4 h (e to h) after plasmid microinjection. In each case, a constitutively active mutant (G12V) form of Cdc42 or Rac1 was used. The top panels show that coinjection of the PAK inhibitor (arrowheads) blocks the reorganization of actin stress fibers normally seen with Cdc42 (arrows). Analysis of FCs by paxillin staining (c and d) shows production of peripherally located FCs to occur in the presence of the PAK inhibitor (arrowheads), but the resultant structures are thicker and there is no apparent loss of the existing internal focal adhesions. Even after 4 h, Cdc42G12V-expressing cells (e) do not form filopodia or retraction fibers when injected with the PAK inhibitor; with both Cdc42G12V and RacG12V, PAK inhibition leads to an overall increase in the intensity of stress fiber staining (f and h).
**Morphological roles for PAK downstream of Cdc42 and Rac.** The finding that PAK^{83-149} can potently inhibit PAK kinase activity has allowed us to assess PAK function without overexpressing the kinase itself or mutant forms. Larger N-terminal regions of PAK or kinase-dead full-length PAK have been used as dominant negatives in cotransfection experiments (2, 60). Use of these larger constructs is compromised by their potential to sequester Cdc42/Rac and by the PAK N-terminal protein itself acting as an adapter potentially recruiting proteins such as Nck (3, 5, 11, 29). Expression of the PAK inhibitor in Cdc42^{G12V}-expressing HeLa cells blocked both cell retraction and rounding and the induction of peripheral actin microspikes (Fig. 6) normally seen in epithelial, fibroblastic, and neuroblastoma cells (20, 34, 39). Similar effects of the PAK inhibitor were observed with Swiss 3T3 cells (data not shown) in terms of both reorganization of FCs and production of peripheral actin microspikes. Since coexpression of αPAK^{83-149} completely blocks Cdc42-mediated activation of cotransfected PAK (Fig. 5), endogenous PAK must be similarly affected. The ability of the inhibitor to block the phenotypic effects of αPAK^{L107F} further confirms this conclusion.

Although PAK is clearly involved in Cdc42- and Rac-type morphological changes (34, 50), studies using effector mutants of Rac1 and Cdc42 that fail to interact with PAK (primarily substitutions at Y40) have been interpreted as showing that PAK is not necessary for Rac-driven membrane ruffling (15, 57) or for Cdc42 to form filopodia (21). From our results, we suggest the PAK activity is required to generate filopodia. One can reconcile these apparently contradictory observations because under some circumstances (Fig. 2), direct binding to Cdc42 is not essential for PAK recruitment to membrane-apposed Cdc42-driven focal complexes. This process does require its interaction with the focal complex protein PIX (35). Upon translocation to the plasma membrane, there is consequential activation of PAK (29). This model is supported by our data showing that PIX, the ubiquitous Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factor tightly associated with PAK, can mediate kinase activation by Cdc42(Y40C), which does not directly bind the kinase (35). The identification of this PAK-associated guanine nucleotide exchange factor might also explain the Rac-like phenotypes seen with microinjected PAK (50).

We previously showed that expression of constitutionally active PAK can antagonize Rho function. In this study, we demonstrate that PAK does indeed play such a role downstream of Cdc42 (Fig. 8). The recent identification of a ROK-like kinase lying downstream of Cdc42 termed MRCK (26) provides a Cdc42 target that promotes focal complex formation. MRCK and PAK apparently play synergistic roles in

---

**FIG. 7.** The PAK inhibitor can block effects of constitutively active PAK in vivo. The effects of microinjected αPAK^{L107F} on HeLa cells (arrows) include cell retraction (a) seen in cells stained for expressed PAK, loss of actin stress fibers (b), and dissolution of paxillin-stained focal adhesions (c). All three effects could be blocked by coinjection of an expression construct encoding αPAK^{83-149} (arrowheads).

**FIG. 8.** A model of PAK function in relation to known effectors of Rho-p21s. The targets of Cdc42, Rac, and Rho which have known morphological roles are shown. MRCK is the myotonic kinase-related Cdc42-binding kinase (26); p140mDia is the mammalian homolog of *Drosophila* diaphanous (58); the other Rho-binding kinase, PKN (59), has no known morphological function. PAK acts downstream of both Cdc42 and Rac to break down actin cytoskeletal structures and focal adhesions (FAs). This function could be particularly important in remodeling the cytoskeleton to allow dynamic events such as filopodial extension and subsequent formation of lamellipodia, ultimately leading to cell migration or growth cone extension (20). MLC, myosin light chain; MLCK, myosin light-chain kinase.
promoting filopodia and dynamic FC structures. Cdc42G12V-expressing HELa cells form peripheral actin microspikes and become devoid of intracellular stress fibers (Fig. 6b and f), but cells coexpressing the PAK inhibitor show no such formation of microspikes and display an increased stress fiber content. In the case of RacG12V expression, it is clear that blocking PAK prevents the loss of stress fibers, but otherwise we do not observe any perturbation to the Rac-induced phenotype (cell spreading and ruffling). Thus, we conclude that the primary morphological role of PAK is to facilitate turnover of actin stress fibers and dissolve focal adhesions and that Cdc42 requires PAK kinase activity for production of filopodia and for cell rounding and retraction (Fig. 8).
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