Epstein-Barr Virus Latent Membrane Protein 1 Induces Synthesis of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1α
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Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) is a heterodimeric basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor composed of HIF-1α and HIF-1β that is the central regulator of responses to hypoxia. The specific binding of HIF-1 to the hypoxia-responsive element (HRE) induces the transcription of genes that respond to hypoxic conditions, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Here we report that expression of HIF-1α is increased in diverse Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-infected type II and III cell lines, which express EBV latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1), the principal EBV oncoprotein, as well as other latency proteins, but not in the parental EBV-negative cell lines. We show first that transfection of an LMP1 expression plasmid into Ad-AH cells, an EBV-negative nasopharyngeal epithelial cell line, induces synthesis of HIF-1α protein without increasing its stability or mRNA level. The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) kinase inhibitor PD98059 markedly reduces induction of HIF-1α by LMP1. Catalase, an H2O2 scavenger, strongly suppresses LMP1-induced production of H2O2, which results in a decrease in the expression of HIF-1α induced by LMP1. Inhibition of the NF-κB, c-jun N-terminal kinase, p38 MAPK, and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathways did not affect HIF-1α expression. Moreover, LMP1 induces HIF-1 DNA binding activity and upregulates HRE and VEGF promoter transcriptional activity. Finally, LMP1 increases the appearance of VEGF protein in extracellular fluids; induction of VEGF is suppressed by PD98059 or catalase. These results suggest that LMP1 increases HIF-1 activity through induction of HIF-1α protein expression, which is controlled by p42/p44 MAPK activity and H2O2. The ability of EBV, and specifically its major oncoprotein, LMP1, to induce HIF-1α along with other invasiveness and angiogenic factors reported previously discloses additional oncogenic properties of this tumor virus.

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a human herpesvirus that is associated with several types of malignancies, such as Burkitt’s lymphoma, lymphoproliferative disorders, T-cell lymphomas, Hodgkin’s disease, invasive breast cancer, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) (4, 43). In all of the tumors, EBV infection is predominantly latent. In latent infection, the EBV genes expressed are restricted to six EBV nuclear antigens (EBNA1, -2A, -2B, -3A, -3B, and -LP), three latent membrane proteins (LMP1, -2A, and -2B), and two small nonpolyadenylated RNAs (EBER1 and -2). On the basis of the pattern of expression of the genes that encode these proteins, latency is classified into three types. In type I latency, exemplified by Burkitt’s lymphoma, only EBNA1, EBERs, and sometimes LMP2A are expressed. In type II latency, exemplified by Hodgkin’s disease and NPC, EBNA1, EBERs, and the three LMPs are expressed. In type III latency, exemplified by EBV lymphoproliferative diseases, the full set of EBNAs and LMPs, as well as EBERs, is expressed (43).

LMP1 is considered an oncogenic protein because it has transforming properties in continuous rodent fibroblast cell lines and it is essential for immortalization of B lymphocytes in culture (61). LMP1 is an integral membrane protein consisting of 386 amino acids. Six transmembrane-spanning domains connect a short N-terminal cytoplasmic domain with a long C-terminal cytoplasmic tail (14). The C-terminal region of LMP1 contains two functional domains: C-terminal activation region 1 (CTAR1) and CTAR2. CTAR1, the proximal domain, interacts with tumor necrosis factor-associated factors and activates NF-κB (39). CTAR2, the distal domain, interacts with tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated death domain protein and also activates NF-κB (19). In addition, LMP1 activates the c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) cascade through CTAR2 and also stimulates p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK) through both CTAR1 and CTAR2 (12, 29). LMP1 also activates the p42/p44 MAPK pathway in Rat-1 fibroblasts (46).

We have shown that LMP1 induces the expression of an array of cellular invasion and metastasis factors, including matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9), which plays a critical role in tumor invasion (66). In addition, LMP1 induces vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) through induction of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) (40). Furthermore, LMP1 induces and causes release of fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF-2) into extracellular fluid (60). Several of the tumors in which LMP1 is expressed are invasive; NPC, for example, is highly invasive and is characterized by new-vessel formation. These observations suggest that a tumor virus may alter tumor cell phenotype such that invasiveness and angiogenesis are promoted. With EBV, LMP1 plays the central role in this complex process.
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Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) is a heterodimeric basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor composed of HIF-1α and HIF-1β subunits (62). HIF-1α is induced exponentially in response to a decrease in the cellular O2 concentration, but HIF-1β is not regulated by cellular oxygen tension (23). The specific binding of HIF-1 to the hypoxic response element (HRE) activates the transcription of genes whose products are required for tumor progression, including VEGF, glucose transporters, and insulin-like growth factor 2 (48). HIF-1α (HRE) activates the transcription of genes whose products are involved in the modulation of HIF-1α turnover (52). HIF-1α, an oxygen-dependent turnover of HIF-1α protein is regulated by prolyl 4-hydroxylases that modify HIF-1α at two conserved proline residues (Pro-402 and Pro-564) located in the oxygen-dependent degradation domain of the protein (5, 51). Under normal oxygen conditions, HIF-1α is modified by prolyl hydroxylation, which permits binding of von Hippel-Lindau protein (pVHL), a recognition component of the E3 ligase complex. This binding promotes the ubiquitination and degradation of HIF-1α. Because proline hydroxylases require molecular oxygen and iron for their enzymatic activity, exposure to hypoxia or treatment with iron chelators results in HIF-1α stabilization (20, 67). HIF-1 target genes encode proteins that increase O2 delivery and mediate adaptive responses to O2 deprivation. Thus, a single protein, HIF-1α, appears to determine the responses to hypoxic conditions of at least 40 genes (50, 52). HIF-1α activity is increased not only by intratumoral hypoxia but also by genetic alterations, including PTEN and pVHL, as well as gain-of-function mutations in oncogenes that activate the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), SRC, and MAPK signal transduction pathways under normoxic conditions (22, 35, 45, 70, 71).

So far, only general stimuli have been identified that affect HIF-1α function. In this report, we show that a specific onco- genic viral protein, LMP1, induces synthesis of HIF-1α and induces HIF-1 activity as a transcriptional factor. VEGF is induced, at least in part, through this pathway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell cultures. KR-4 is an EBV-positive type III lymphoblastoid cell line (LCL). The KH-1 and KH-2 lines are EBV-positive type II cell lines derived from fusion of KR-4 and HeLa cells (human cervical carcinoma) (kind gifts of Maria Mansuelli and Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden) (69). Ad-AH cells, kindly provided by Albert Baldwin (University of North Carolina). IEBs (S32A/S36A) is a generous gift from Roger J. Davis (11). The PGL3-based pGL-HRE plasmid is a generous gift from Irene Silvio Gutkind (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.) (see Fig. 5B) (54). The human VEGF gene luciferase reporter constructs (~1176/+54 and ~27/+54), which were cloned in the pGL2 basic vector, were kindly provided by Jacques Pouysseguer and Gilles Pages (University of Nice, Nice, France) (36).

Western blot analysis. Whole-cell lysates were extracted in 500 μl of radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.50% sodium deoxycholate, 0.10% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.1 M NaF, 5 μg of fluoride per ml). Nuclear or cytoplasmic extracts were prepared by the use of NE-Per (Pierce) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. Protein concentration was determined by Bio-Rad protein assay. Protein (50 to 100 μg) was boiled in SDS sample buffer for 5 min, electrophoresed on 6 to 10% Tris-glycine gels, and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Osmontes). Non-specific reactivity was blocked by incubation for 30 min in a Tris-buffered saline solution containing 0.1% Tween 20 and 0.1% nonfat dried milk. The membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with (i) mouse LMP1 monoclonal antibody (DAKO), (ii) mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), (iv) mouse GRP78 monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), (v) mouse HIF-1β monoclonal antibody (Transduction Laboratories), (vi) mouse HIF-1α monoclonal antibody (Transduction Laboratories), (vi) mouse monoclonal antibody (Sigma), (vii) rabbit phospho-p42/p44 MAPK polyclonal antibody (New England Biolabs), (viii) rabbit phospho-p38 MAPK polyclonal antibody (New England Biolabs), or (ix) rabbit phospho-Akt polyclonal antibody (New England Biolabs). The membrane was washed with Tris-buffered saline solution containing 0.1% Tween 20, incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated mouse or rabbit secondary antibody (Amersham) at room temperature for 1 h, and washed three times for 15 min with Tris-buffered saline–0.1% Tween 20. Peroxidase activity was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham).

RPA. The human HIF-1α expression vector pCEP4/HIF-1α3217 is a generous gift from Gregg L. Semenza (57). PCR was performed with HotStart Taq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN) as follows: 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 59°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. The antisense primer was 5'-GGCGGATCCAGCCGCTGGAGAACAAATC-3', and the sense primer was 5'-CCCGAATTCCTCAGCGTGGACACAAATC-3'. The PCR product was doubly digested with BamHI and EcoRI and subcloned into vector pCDNA3. When linearized with KpnI and transcribed with SP6 RNA polymerase, the [35S]UTP-labeled riboprobe protects 197 nucleotides of HIF-1α mRNA. A plasmid to generate a glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase riboprobe was supplied by United States Biochemicals, Inc. The RNase protection assay (RPA) for detection of HIF-1α mRNA was performed with total RNA and RNase protection kit II (Ambion) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions and was described previously (60). Signal intensities were calculated with a Molecular Dynamics Phosphorimager (Sunnyvale) and ImageQuant software.

Determination of the half-life of HIF-1α. Ad-AH cells were transfected with or without pcLMP1 as described above. To determine the half-life of HIF-1α protein, cells were treated with 100 μM cycloheximide to block protein synthesis. Cells were harvested after being incubated with cycloheximide for 0 to 4 min. Expression of HIF-1α was detected at each time point by Western blot analysis.

Metabolic labeling experiments. Ad-AH cells (10 × 10⁶) were plated in a 10-cm diameter dish, and 24 h later the cells were transfected with 2 μg of plasmids: 48 h later, the cells were serum starved for 20 h. The cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline PBS and pulse-labeled for 30 min with 0.3 M Ci of [35S]Met-Cys per ml in Met- and Cys-free DMEM. Whole-cell extracts were prepared with RIPA buffer. Extract (500 μg) was preclarified with 30 μl of protein A/G beads (Santa Cruz) for 1 h. Ten microliters of HIF-1α antibody and 30 μl of protein A/G beads were added to the supernatant fluid, rotated overnight at 4°C, and washed six times with 1 ml of RIPA buffer. An equal volume of 2× SDS loading buffer was added, and the samples were boiled and fractionated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The gel was dried, and signal
intensities were calculated with a Molecular Dynamics Phosphorimager (Sunnyvale) and ImageQuant software.

**Proteasome inhibition assay.** To determine whether LMP1-induced HIF-1α was caused by lowered degradation, we incubated Ad-AH cells with or without LMP1-expressing Ad-AH/pcLMP1 (benzofuran derivatives such as 1-[N-(1,2,3-benzotriazol-1-yl)3-methyl-5-sulfonylamino]-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]methyl]-2H-chromene-2-carboxylate) for 5 min (30). To investigate whether a similar mechanism is activated by LMP1, the half-life of HIF-1α mRNA detected by RPA was increased by neither LMP1 nor 12,13-phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) compared with that of Ad-AH cells transfected with control vector pcDNA3 (Fig. 2A). Hypoxia increases the level of HIF-1α through an increase in protein stability as a result of decreased ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation (56). In cells exposed to hypoxic conditions, the HIF-1α level remains constant over 60 min despite the lack of ongoing synthesis of the protein in cells exposed to cycloheximide (32). Under normoxic conditions, the half-life of HIF-1α is less than 5 min (30). To investigate whether a similar mechanism is activated by LMP1, the kinetics of HIF-1α decay in Ad-AH cells treated with cycloheximide were determined (Fig. 2B). Regardless of whether cells were transfected with pcDNA3 or pcLMP1, the half-life of HIF-1α protein remained essentially the same at 4 min. These results indicate that LMP1 neither stimulates HIF-1α mRNA expression nor inhibits HIF-1α protein degradation.

To analyze the rate of HIF-1α protein synthesis, serum-starved Ad-AH cells were pulse-labeled with [35S]Met-Cys for 30 min, followed by immunoprecipitation of HIF-1α. In contrast to the HIF-1α level in pcDNA3-transfected Ad-AH cells, the 35S-labeled HIF-1α level in the LMP1-expressing cells was

**RESULTS**

The level of HIF-1α protein is increased in latently infected type II and III cells. KR-4 is a typical type III LCL. The KH-1 and KH-2 lines were derived by fusion of KR-4 and HeLa cells (69). The level of HIF-1α protein is significantly higher in KR-4, KH-1, and KH-2 cells, which express LMP1, than in HeLa cells. The level of HIF-1α protein appeared to correspond to the level of LMP1 in the different cell lines (Fig. 1A). MDA-MB-231 is an EBV-negative breast cancer cell line. C4A3, C1D12, C2G6, and C3B4 are EBV-infected clones derived from the MDA-MB-231 parental cell line. C1D12, C2G6, and C3B4 express LMP1. As shown in Fig. 1B, the HIF-1α protein level is exaggerated in LMP1-positive clones. These results prompted us to examine the role of LMP1 on the induction of HIF-1α protein.

**LMP1 induces expression of HIF-1α protein.** Ad-AH is an EBV-negative nasopharyngeal epithelial cell line. Stable or transient transfectants of Ad-AH cells expressing LMP1 and control Ad-AH cells were incubated under normoxic conditions. In the whole-cell lysates, the expression of HIF-1α protein, but not HIF-1β protein, was significantly higher in LMP1-expressing cells (Fig. 1C and D). Similarly, induction of HIF-1α by LMP1 was detected in human embryonic kidney 293 cells (data not shown).

Since both Ad-AH/pcDNA3 and Ad-AH/pcLMP1 cells are tumorigenic in athymic nude mice, we were able to determine levels of HIF-1α in LMP1-expressing tumors growing in mice. Western blotting showed that there was enhanced expression of HIF-1α in tumors derived from Ad-AH/pcLMP1 cells compared with Ad-AH/pcDNA3-derived tumors (Fig. 1E). These results indicate that LMP1 induces HIF-1α expression in epithelial cells both in vitro and in vivo. In addition to the increase in HIF-1α, HIF-1 needs to be accumulated in the nucleus to act as a transcriptional factor. To determine the localization of HIF-1α, both the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were analyzed. As shown in Fig. 1F, HIF-1α protein was restricted to the nucleus, and its level was significantly higher in LMP1-expressing cells in control cells. This result suggests that induction by LMP1 of HIF-1α may contribute to its activation.

**LMP1 does not affect the mRNA level or stability of HIF-1α but stimulates HIF-1α protein synthesis.** To test whether LMP1 can induce the expression of HIF-1α mRNA, Ad-AH cells were transiently transfected with an LMP1 expression plasmid. The level of HIF-1α mRNA detected by RPA was increased by neither LMP1 nor 12,13-phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) compared with that of Ad-AH cells transfected with control vector pcDNA3 (Fig. 2A). Hypoxia increases the level of HIF-1α through an increase in protein stability as a result of decreased ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation (56). In cells exposed to hypoxic conditions, the HIF-1α level remains constant over 60 min despite the lack of ongoing synthesis of the protein in cells exposed to cycloheximide (32). Under normoxic conditions, the half-life of HIF-1α is less than 5 min (30). To investigate whether a similar mechanism is activated by LMP1, the kinetics of HIF-1α decay in Ad-AH cells treated with cycloheximide were determined (Fig. 2B). Regardless of whether cells were transfected with pcDNA3 or pcLMP1, the half-life of HIF-1α protein remained essentially the same at 4 min. These results indicate that LMP1 neither stimulates HIF-1α mRNA expression nor inhibits HIF-1α protein degradation.
FIG. 1. (A) Levels of HIF-1α protein are increased in type II and III latently EBV-infected cells. KR-4, type III, is an LCL. The KH-1 and KH-2, type II, cell lines are derived by fusion of KR-4 and HeLa cells (69). Levels of HIF-1α correspond to the level of LMP1 protein. (B) MDA-MB-231 is an EBV-negative breast cancer cell line. C4A3, C1D12, C2G6, and C3B4 are EBV-infected clones derived from the MDA-MB-231 parental cell line. C1D12, C2G6, and C3B4 express LMP1. HIF-1α protein levels are increased depending on LMP1 expression. (C, D, E, and F) LMP1 induces expression of HIF-1α protein in Ad-AH cells, an EBV-negative nasopharyngeal epithelial cell line. Stable (C) or transient (D and F) transfectants of Ad-AH cells, expressing or not expressing LMP1, were incubated under normoxic conditions. (E) HIF-1α levels are increased in LMP1-expressing tumors grown in athymic nude mice. In the whole-cell lysates, the expression of HIF-1α was significantly greater in LMP1-expressing Ad-AH cells detected by Western blotting (C, D, and E). The HIF-1β protein level was not affected by LMP1 (C and D). HIF-1α protein was restricted to the nucleus, and its level was significantly higher in LMP1-expressing cells (F). Histone 1 and GRP78 are nuclear and cytoplasmic markers, respectively.
FIG. 2. LMP1 does not affect the mRNA level or stability of HIF-1α but stimulates HIF-1α protein synthesis. (A) HIF-1α mRNA was detected by RPA. HIF-1α mRNA was induced by neither LMP1 nor PMA. (B) Ad-AH cells were treated with cycloheximide to block protein synthesis. The half-life of HIF-1α protein was constant at 4 min in both LMP1-expressing and control cells. (C) To analyze the rate of HIF-1α protein synthesis, serum-starved Ad-AH cells were pulse-labeled with [35S]Met-Cys for 30 min, followed by immunoprecipitation of HIF-1α protein. 35S-labeled HIF-1α protein was increased in LMP1-expressing cells compared with that in pcDNA3-transfected Ad-AH cells by more than threefold. For the positive control, pcDNA3-transfected Ad-AH cells were treated with PMA and pulse-labeled, followed by immunoprecipitation of HIF-1α protein. For the negative control, LMP1-expressing Ad-AH cells were pulse-labeled, followed by immunoprecipitation by normal mouse immunoglobulin G. N.C., negative control. (D) To determine whether the high level of HIF-1α protein detected in LMP1-positive cells was caused by reduced proteasomal degradation, cells were incubated with MG132 and Western blot assays were performed at the indicated time points. At all time points, levels of HIF-1α protein were significantly higher in LMP1-positive cells than in LMP1-negative cells regardless of the presence of MG132. Data shown are representative of those obtained in three separate experiments.
increased more than threefold (Fig. 2C). For controls, serum-starved Ad-AH cells were pretreated with PMA, pulse-labeled, and then immunoprecipitated by HIF-1α antibody for the positive control or by normal mouse immunoglobulin G for the negative control. PMA is known to induce synthesis of HIF-1α protein without increasing its stability (44).

To determine whether decreased proteasomal degradation produced the high level of HIF-1α protein detected in LMP1-positive cells, we incubated cells with MG132, a potent proteasome inhibitor, and then performed Western blot analysis and immunoprecipitation at different time points. At all of the time points, levels of HIF-1α protein were significantly higher in LMP1-positive cells than in LMP1-negative cells regardless of the presence of MG132 (Fig. 2D). Therefore, the difference in HIF-1α protein levels between LMP1-positive and LMP1-negative cells is attributable not to differential degradation but to differential expression. Thus, LMP1 increases the level of HIF-1α protein not by induction of its transcription or its stabilization but by increased synthesis of the protein.

The p42/p44 MAPK pathway is involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression. p42/p44 MAPK consists of two serine/threonine protein kinases that modulate the activity of a number of activation factors (10). Richard et al. showed that activation of the p42/p44 MAPK pathway induced the phosphorylation of HIF-1α, which was abolished in the presence of the MAP/ERK (MEK) inhibitor PD98059. They also demonstrated that p42/p44 MAPK activation is sufficient to promote the transcriptional capacity of HIF-1α (45). Hur et al. have also shown that PD98059 changes neither the stabilization nor the DNA-binding ability of HIF-1α, but it inhibits the ability of HIF-1α to transactivate responsive promoters (17a). These data suggest that the p42/p44 MAPK pathway is involved in HIF-1α activity but not in HIF-1α induction. In contrast, Agani and Semenza showed that mersalyl, a thiorreactive organomercurial compound, induced the expression of HIF-1α and that PD98059 markedly reduced induction of HIF-1α by mersalyl, but not by hypoxia (1). The role of the p42/p44 MAPK signaling pathway that influences HIF-1α overexpression and HIF-1α transcriptional activity remains somewhat confusing and controversial. To test whether the p42/p44 MAPK pathway is involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression, we treated LMP1-transfected Ad-AH cells with PD98059. The expression of LMP1-induced HIF-1α protein detected by Western blotting was suppressed by PD98059 in a dose-dependent manner. However, the effect of PD98059 on inhibition of HIF-1α phosphorylation was not clear (Fig. 3A). Although SB203580, a selective p38 MAPK pathway inhibitor, suppressed the LMP1-induced p38 MAPK activity to the basal level (12, 29), the drug did not affect the expression of HIF-1α in our system (Fig. 3A). Thus, p42/p44 MAPK signaling is involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression but the p38 MAPK pathway is not.

LMP1-induced H2O2 stimulates the expression of HIF-1α protein. ROS have been proposed to participate in the signal transduction process mediating the stabilization and translation of HIF-1α (8, 44). We tested the effect of LMP1 on ROS generation by measuring the fluorescence response by flow cytometry and DCFH-DA and found that LMP1 increased ROS production. Catalase, a hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) scavenger, decreased the generation of ROS to the basal level (Fig. 3B). These results suggest that ROS generated by LMP1 is mostly H2O2. Next, we decided to investigate whether an increase in H2O2 levels was responsible for the induction of HIF-1α mediated by LMP1. When the cells were treated with catalase, HIF-1α induction by LMP1 was completely blocked (Fig. 3C). These results strongly suggest that HIF-1α induction by LMP1 is mediated through H2O2 production.

The PI3K signaling pathway is not involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression. Previous reports suggest that PI3K and Akt are central in the regulation of HIF-1α activity (24, 44, 71). First, we checked whether LMP1 induces PI3K activity by detection of phospho-Akt by Western blotting. Akt is activated by PI3K and lies between PI3K and FKBP-rapamycin-associated protein in this signaling pathway (28). As shown in Fig. 4A, LMP1 does not increase the amount of phospho-Akt, which suggests that LMP1 does not stimulate the PI3K signaling pathway. Next, to determine whether PI3K pathway activity is required for LMP1-induced expression of HIF-1α, cells were exposed to wortmannin, an inhibitor of PI3K. Although wortmannin modestly suppressed phospho-Akt expression in a dose-dependent manner, wortmannin did not affect the level of HIF-1α. Therefore, we conclude that PI3K signaling is not involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression.

NF-κB and JNK signaling are not involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression. Recently, several reports have indicated a role for NF-κB signaling in HIF-1α induction (15, 26). Jung et al. showed that microtubule-depolymerizing agents induce HIF-1α at the transcriptional level, which depends on activation of NF-κB, in the AS49 human lung cancer cell line (26). Figueroa et al. showed that NF-κB plays a key role in HIF-1α protein expression and HIF-1α activation (15). We checked the effect of NF-κB signaling on HIF-1α expression by cotransfecting LMP1 expression plasmid and srIkBa. Despite the importance of NF-κB in LMP1 signaling pathways, its suppression by srIkBa did not affect the LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression (Fig. 4B). Suppression of JNK signaling also did not affect the expression of HIF-1α protein (Fig. 4B).

LMP1-induced HIF-1α has binding activity on the VEGF promoter. Since LMP1 induces HIF-1α protein expression localized in the nucleus (Fig. 1E), we next checked whether LMP1-induced HIF-1α could bind to the HRE of the VEGF promoter. When a 21-bp oligonucleotide probe containing the HIF-1-binding site from the VEGF promoter, WT VEGF (57), was incubated with nuclear extract from Ad-AH cells with or without LMP1 expression, complexes containing HIF-1α and a constitutively expressed factor(s) were detected in LMP1-expressing cells (Fig. 5A, lane 3). An excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide WT VEGF competed with the probe for binding of HIF-1α (Fig. 5A, lane 5), whereas an oligonucleotide containing a 3-bp substitution in the HIF-1-binding site (MT VEGF) did not compete for binding (Fig. 5A, lane 7). These results indicate that LMP1-induced HIF-1α is active and can bind to the VEGF promoter.

LMP1-induced HIF-1α enhances HRE and VEGF luciferase reporter activity. As shown in Fig. 5C and D, LMP1 upregulates both HRE and VEGF luciferase reporter activities more than twofold. Low-grade upregulation is a common feature of VEGF luciferase reporter constructs (37). Having shown that the p42/p44 MAPK pathway and H2O2 activity are involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression (Fig. 3A and C), we next treated cells with PD98059, an MEK inhibitor, or catalase, an
H$_2$O$_2$ scavenger, to determine the role of these pathways in induction of VEGF by LMP1. PD98059 suppressed LMP1-induced HRE reporter activity by 70% and completely abolished VEGF reporter activity. In addition, catalase suppressed both the HRE and VEGF reporter activities almost to the basal level. These results indicate that LMP1-induced HIF-1$\alpha$ contributes to the transcriptional activation of the gene for VEGF through HIF-1 activation, which depends on p42/p44 MAPK and H$_2$O$_2$ activities. We also checked the secretion of VEGF in the culture medium. As noted before (40), LMP1 increased the level of VEGF protein in extracellular fluid (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, LMP1-induced VEGF was also suppressed both by PD98059 and catalase.

**DISCUSSION**

In addition to the classical hypoxia-cobalt-desferrioxamine-mediated induction of HIF-1$\alpha$, a number of agonists such as insulin, insulin-like growth factor 1, angiotensin II, and the organomercurial compound mersalyl also induce the expression of this transcription factor (1, 13, 16, 27, 59). These studies suggest that while hypoxia remains the undisputed ubiquitous inducer of HIF-1, other factors can also modulate increases in HIF-1$\alpha$ protein levels under normoxic conditions. The significant findings from the present work can be briefly summarized as follows: (i) LMP1, the principal EBV oncprotein, induces HIF-1$\alpha$ protein by increasing its synthesis without increasing
(i) activation of the p42/p44 MAPK pathway and synthesis of H$_2$O$_2$ are related to HIF-1 induction by LMP1; (ii) LMP1 induces expression of VEGF at the transcriptional level, at least in part by activating HIF-1.

Akt, a proto-oncogene, is a major downstream effector of growth factor signaling and has a wide array of progrowth and antiapoptotic effects when activated by growth factors through PI3K (28). Previous reports suggest that the PI3K/Akt pathway is central in the regulation of HIF-1 activity (24, 44, 71). Laughner et al. showed that overexpression of HER2 increases the rate of HIF-1 protein synthesis that is dependent on the activity of PI3K, Akt, and the downstream kinase, FKBP-rapamycin-associated protein, at the posttranscriptional level in mouse 3T3 cells (32). Zundel et al. demonstrated that the stabilization of the HIF-1 protein by hypoxia was prevented by overexpression of PTEN, whereas the activation of Akt was sufficient to promote HIF-1 stabilization under normoxia (71). In contrast, several reports demonstrated that the activation of PI3K/Akt by hypoxia is cell type specific and that the activity of PI3K is not sufficient for the activation of HIF-1, nor is it essential for its induction by hypoxia (2, 3). The role of the PI3K signaling pathway in influencing HIF-1 overexpression remains somewhat confusing and controversial. In our system, LMP1 did not induce PI3K activity. LMP1-induced HIF-1 expression was not affected by wortmannin, a pharmacological inhibitor of PI3K. These results suggest that the PI3K pathway is not involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1 induction.

Recent findings indicate that the p42/p44 MAPK pathway is involved in HIF-1 activation (38, 45). Richard et al. demonstrated that HIF-1 is phosphorylated by p42/p44 MAPK in vitro, not by p38 MAPK or JNK. They also indicated that activation of the p42/p44 MAPK pathway is sufficient to promote the transcriptional activity of HIF-1, which was abolished by the MEK inhibitor PD98059 (45). By use of an in vitro kinase assay, the C-terminal domain of HIF-1, which contains the transactivation regions of the protein, was demonstrated to be phosphorylated directly by p44 MAPK (38). Moreover, one of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) genes encoded by open reading frame 74, G protein-coupled receptor, upregulates HIF-1 activity through the p42/p44 and p38 MAPK pathways, which results in VEGF expression in normoxia (54). In these reports, there is no increase in the HIF-1 protein level, which indicates a mechanism different from induction of HIF-1 by LMP1, which induces both expression of HIF-1 protein and HIF-1 activity at least in part in a p42/p44 MAPK pathway-dependent manner. Here we showed that PD98059 only partially suppressed LMP1-induced HRE reporter activity, whereas the drug completely blocked both LMP1-induced VEGF reporter activity and VEGF secretion. These results suggest that p42/p44 MAPK signaling plays a central role in VEGF induction by LMP1 and that LMP1-induced HIF-1 activity is partially involved in VEGF induction by LMP1. Previously, we showed that LMP1 induces VEGF production in part by COX-2 expression, as shown by treatment of cells with NS398, a selective COX-2 inhibitor. However, residual VEGF production was detected despite this treatment (40). Possibly, HIF-1 activity and COX-2 contribute in an additive fashion to induction of VEGF by LMP1.

LMP1 interacts with tumor necrosis factor-associated factors and activates NF-κB signaling (39). Previously, we reported that LMP1 induced COX-2, which resulted in production of prostaglandin E$_2$ (PGE$_2$) via NF-κB signaling (40). Recently, involvement of NF-κB signaling in HIF-1α induction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIG. 4</th>
<th>LMP1 does not increase phospho-Akt. To determine whether the PI3K pathway is involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression, cells were exposed to wortmannin (Wort.), an inhibitor of PI3K. Wortmannin does not suppress LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression. (B) Effect of NF-κB and JNK signaling on LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression. Cotransfection of neither srIκBα nor JNK1-DN suppresses the induction of HIF-1α by LMP1, as shown by Western blotting. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.</th>
</tr>
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has been proposed (15, 25, 26). Microtubule-depolymerizing agents use an NF-κB-dependent pathway to stabilize HIF-1α protein (26). In contrast, Figueroa et al. proposed a novel role for NF-κB in the induction of HIF-1α at the transcriptional level under hypoxic conditions and reported that the PI3K pathway was involved in NF-κB transactivation (15). Fukuda et al. showed that in HCT116 cells, PGE₂ induced HIF-1α protein synthesis dependent on p42/p44 MAPK, PI3K, and C-SRC activity (17). Liu et al. demonstrated that addition of PGE₂ to PC-3ML human prostate cancer cells induced HIF-1α stabili-
zation, which results from the promotion of HIF-1α translation from the cytosol to the nucleus. The effects of PGE2 on HIF-1α were specifically inhibited by PD98059 (34). Jung et al. demonstrated that interleukin-1β (IL-1β)-mediated activation of NF-κB increases COX-2 protein in a lung epithelial cell line and a colon cell line (25). IL-1β-induced NF-κB activation is dependent on the upstream PI3K signaling pathway. PGE2, a major physiological product of COX-2, is also able to increase levels of HIF-1α protein, as a result of its increased stability (25).

It is not clear whether NF-κB and/or PGE2 enhance HIF-1α protein levels by promoting its stabilization or its synthesis. Although NF-κB signaling is involved in LMP1-induced COX-2 expression, the pathway is not involved in HIF-1α induction in our system because cotransfection of srIκBα did not affect the level of HIF-1α. Although involvement of COX-2 in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression is not excluded, NF-κB signaling might be only partially involved in LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression in Ad-AH cells. In our system, PI3K signaling was not induced by LMP1. It has been reported that tumor necrosis factor alpha signaling requires phosphor-

ROS, such as H₂O₂, O₂⁻, and OH⁺, are regulated in cells by several pathways. Electron transport through the mitochondrial respiratory chain is extraordinarily efficient, and normally most of the O₂ is consumed. However, 1 to 2% of the electrons are leaked to generate O₂⁻ in reactions mediated by coenzyme Q and ubiquinone and its complex. Thus, mitochondria are believed to be a major site of ROS production in vivo (21). Another site of electron transport is the endoplasmic reticulum, where O₂⁻ is generated by the leakage of electrons from NADPH cytochrome P450 reductase. O₂⁻ is also generated by hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase, lipooxygenase, or COX (21). Superoxide dismutase converts O₂⁻ into H₂O₂, and then the H₂O₂ generated is degraded to H₂O by several cellular enzymes. ROS have also been implicated in HIF-1 activity (7, 49). Chandel et al. showed that hypoxia increases mitochondrial ROS generation at complex III and causes stabilization of HIF-1α protein and that nonmitochondrial ROS generation is involved in the response to CoCl₂ (8). Angiotensin II increases HIF-1α translation by ROS-dependent activation of the PI3 kinase pathway that acts on the 5′ untranslated region of HIF-1α mRNA in vascular smooth muscle cells (44). Thioredoxin 1, a redox protein that undergoes reversible NADPH-dependent reduction, increases HIF-1α protein expression (63).

We showed through flow cytometry by the use of DCFH-DA that LMP1 increases ROS production. Also, catalase can completely inhibit the production of ROS by LMP1. Catalase is an antioxidant that acts as an H₂O₂ scavenger. These results suggest that ROS generated by LMP1 is mostly H₂O₂. Interestingly, induction of HIF-1α by LMP1 was dependent on H₂O₂ generation, since catalase completely inhibited induction of HIF-1α by LMP1. Catalase could suppress both HRE and VEGF reporter activities and finally modestly decrease induction of VEGF by LMP1. These results suggest that H₂O₂ induced by LMP1 plays an important role in LMP1-induced VEGF expression. The mechanism of LMP1-induced H₂O₂ generation needs investigation.

The mechanism of LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression needs further elucidation. As described above, LMP1-induced HIF-1α expression is p42/p44 MAPK and ROS dependent and PI3K independent. The effects of H₂O₂ on p42/p44 MAPK are controversial, with some reports showing inhibition and others demonstrating stimulation. Platelet-derived growth factor-induced H₂O₂ activates the p42/p44 MAPK pathway, which is inhibited by incubation with catalase (55). In neonatal rat ventricular myocytes and pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells, the p42/p44 MAPK pathway is activated by H₂O₂ (9, 68). H₂O₂ activates p42/p44 MAPK in many cell types, although this activation appears to be cell type specific. Catalase almost completely blocked angiotensin II-induced H₂O₂ generation, which was not accompanied by inhibition of p42/p44 MAPK activation in vascular smooth muscle cells (59). Furthermore, it was also reported that H₂O₂ downregulated p42/p44 MAPK in human umbilical endothelial cells (33). In our system, LMP1-induced p42/p44 MAPK activation was not H₂O₂ dependent, because catalase, an H₂O₂-specific scavenger, did not affect p42/p44 MAPK activity. This result suggests that p42/p44 MAPK and H₂O₂ stimulate HIF-1α expression through two converging pathways in LMP1-transfected Ad-AH cells.

These results add to the emerging picture of the ability of the oncoprotein of a tumor virus to dictate phenotypic changes in tumor cells in addition to transforming cells (Fig. 6). Furthermore, these and other results suggest the biologic signifi-
cance of our findings. Previously, we have shown that LMP1 induces expression of MMP-9 and in vitro invasiveness, which are suppressed by aspirin, an IκB kinase β inhibitor (41, 66). Furthermore, we have shown that LMP1 induces several an-
giogenic factors, FGF-2, IL-8, and VEGF (40, 60, 65). NF-κB signaling is involved in the induction of all of these factors by LMP1. Interestingly, we now find that VEGF is also induced by LMP1 through synthesis of HIF-1α, which uses a pathway distinct from NF-κB signaling. Moreover, we have demonstrated a significant correlation between expression of LMP1 and microvessel counts in NPC tissue (65). All of these findings indicate that LMP1 is likely to play a crucial role in tumor invasiveness and angiogenesis and in resultant metastasis. LMP1 may produce these effects on tumor cells in later stages of oncogenesis independently of an etiologic relation between LMP1 and HIF-1α signaling. Moreover, we have demonstrated that expression of LMP1 is correlated with NF-κB activity via the c-Jun N-terminal kinase cascade. EMBO J. 16:6478–6485.
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