

1

2

3

## Type I Interferon Signaling Pathway

4

## Is A Target For Glucocorticoid Inhibition

5

6 Jamie R. Flammer<sup>1,2</sup>, Jana Dobrovolna<sup>2</sup>, Megan A. Kennedy<sup>2</sup>, Yurii Chinenov<sup>2</sup>,

7 Christopher K. Glass<sup>3</sup>, Lionel B. Ivashkiv<sup>1,2</sup> and Inez Rogatsky<sup>1,2\*</sup>

8

9 <sup>1</sup>*Graduate Program in Immunology and Microbial Pathogenesis, Weill Cornell Graduate School*

10 *of Medical Sciences, 1300 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA*

11 <sup>2</sup>*Hospital for Special Surgery Research Division, 535 East 70<sup>th</sup> Street, New York, NY, 10021,*

12 *USA*

13 <sup>3</sup>*Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine and Howard Hughes Medical Institute,*

14 *University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA*

15

16 **\*corresponding author:**

17 [rogatskyi@hss.edu](mailto:rogatskyi@hss.edu)

18 Tel: 212-606-1462

19 Fax: 212-774-2560

20 **Running Title:** Glucocorticoid Regulation of Interferon Signaling

21 Words in Materials and Methods: 865

22 Words in Intro+Results+Discussion: 4821

23 **ABSTRACT**

24 Type I Interferon (IFN) is essential for host defenses against viruses; however, dysregulated IFN  
25 signaling is causally linked to autoimmunity, particularly systemic lupus erythematosus.

26 Autoimmune disease treatments rely on glucocorticoids (GCs), which act via the GC receptor  
27 (GR) to repress proinflammatory cytokine gene transcription. Conversely, cytokine signaling  
28 through cognate Jak/STAT pathways is reportedly unaffected or even stimulated by GR.

29 Unexpectedly, we found that GR dramatically inhibited IFN-stimulated gene (ISG) expression in  
30 macrophages. The target of inhibition, the heterotrimeric STAT1:STAT2:IRF9 (ISGF3)

31 transcription complex, utilized the GR cofactor GRIP1/TIF2 as a coactivator. Consequently,

32 GRIP1 knockdown, genetic ablation or depletion by GC-activated GR attenuated ISGF3

33 promoter occupancy, preinitiation complex assembly and ISG expression. Furthermore, this

34 regulatory loop was restricted to cell types such as macrophages expressing GRIP1 protein at

35 extremely low levels, and pharmacological disruption of the GR:GRIP1 interaction or transient

36 introduction of GRIP1 restored RNA polymerase recruitment to target ISGs and the subsequent

37 IFN response. Thus, type I IFN is a cytokine uniquely controlled by GR at the levels of not only

38 production but also signaling, through antagonism with ISGF3 effector function, revealing a

39 novel facet of the immunosuppressive properties of GCs.

## 40 INTRODUCTION

41 Glucocorticoids (GCs) are a class of broadly immunosuppressive steroid molecules that are  
42 utilized as combative medicine for numerous inflammatory and autoimmune disorders including  
43 asthma, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and many others. The  
44 therapeutic effects of GCs are largely attributed to their ability to suppress the production of  
45 important cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) $\alpha$  (12, 18) and Type I interferon  
46 (IFN) (19, 48, 52), which are proposed to be the primary mediators of RA and SLE pathogenesis,  
47 respectively. In the case of SLE, for example, the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)  
48 display a massive overexpression of conventional Type I IFN target genes (“IFN signature”)  
49 which appears to correlate with disease activity and severity more than any other marker and is  
50 eradicated by administration of GCs (5-7, 29, 60).

51 GCs convey their actions by diffusing through the cell membrane and binding their cognate  
52 GC receptor (GR), a member of the nuclear receptor (NR) superfamily, which at steady state is  
53 maintained in a permissive conformation by molecular chaperones such as hsp70 and hsp90 (47).  
54 Ligand binding facilitates the translocation of the cytosolic receptor to the nucleus, where  
55 liganded GR associates with specific DNA sequences known as GC response elements (GREs)  
56 and regulates transcription of target genes. In some cases, GR binds directly, usually as a  
57 homodimer, to specific palindromic DNA sequences (“simple” GREs). Conversely, at  
58 “tethering” GREs, GR does not itself bind DNA but is instead recruited by other DNA-bound  
59 transcription factors such as nuclear factor (NF)- $\kappa$ B and activator protein (AP)1 (35). In contrast  
60 to simple GREs, which are commonly associated with transcriptional activation, GR occupancy  
61 of tethering GREs typically results in repression of target genes. The divergent ability of GR to  
62 activate or repress transcription depends upon many variables including cell type, the DNA

63 sequence to which GR is recruited, and the composition of available cofactors, which transduce  
64 signaling information from the activated GR to basal transcription machinery and chromatin. Of  
65 the latter, three members of the p160 family (SRC-1, GRIP1/TIF2/NCOA2/SRC-2 and  
66 RAC3/p/CIP/ACTR/AIBI/TRAM-1/SRC-3) are of critical importance in NR transcriptional  
67 regulation (59). Interestingly, while all three members of the p160 family are able to mediate  
68 transcriptional activation, GRIP1 alone has been implicated in corepression: with GR at tethering  
69 GREs (17, 50, 51), with estrogen receptor  $\alpha$  at a tethering TNF $\alpha$ -RE (4), and with the myogenic  
70 regulatory factor MyoD (57).

71 Type I IFNs are produced by macrophages (M $\Phi$ ) and other myeloid cells as an integral  
72 component of the host response to viral infection (27), and their production is suppressed by GCs  
73 (23, 45). Viral components bind Toll-like receptors (TLRs) to initiate a signaling cascade  
74 culminating in the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B and Interferon Regulatory Factor (IRF)3, which then  
75 work in concert to induce the transcription and subsequent secretion of Type I IFNs, specifically  
76 IFN $\beta$  and IFN $\alpha$ 4 (38). These IFN subsets initiate an amplification loop by binding the IFN $\alpha$ / $\beta$   
77 receptor, which induces activation of the constitutively associated tyrosine kinases Tyk2 and  
78 Jak1 and the subsequent recruitment and phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2 (37). A third  
79 transcription factor, IRF9, associates with the STAT1/2 heterodimer through interactions with  
80 STAT2, and the resultant trimeric complex, ISGF3, then binds to its cognate IFN-stimulated  
81 response elements (ISREs) on the DNA and activates transcription of the Type I IFN-stimulated  
82 genes (ISGs). Treatment of M $\Phi$  with a synthetic GC, Dexamethasone (Dex), antagonizes the  
83 activity of the NF- $\kappa$ B and IRF3 complexes induced by TLRs (46, 49); thus, it is possible that  
84 GC-mediated inhibition of ISG expression and eradication of the IFN signature is in part  
85 secondary to the suppression of Type I IFN gene transcription. Interestingly, while a wealth of

86 evidence points to GC-mediated inhibition of cytokine production, much less is known about the  
87 effects of GR on the signal transduction pathways initiated by cytokines at the cell surface. It has  
88 been shown that GR synergizes with prolactin-activated STAT5 and with IL6-activated STAT3  
89 (34, 36, 54) however, the mechanisms of synergy are unclear. Unexpectedly, we found that ISG  
90 expression in murine M $\Phi$  induced by exogenously provided Type I IFN was strongly attenuated  
91 by co-treatment with Dex, suggesting that the IFN signaling pathway itself is under GC control.  
92 Here, we assessed the effects of GCs on Type I IFN-Jak/STAT signaling and dissected the  
93 transcriptional regulatory mechanism responsible for the GC sensitivity of the IFN-dependent  
94 gene expression. Our findings reveal previously unexplored functional interactions between the  
95 GR and IFN pathways, which may underlie the immunosuppressive properties of GCs.

96

## 97 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

98 **Plasmids.** IP10 promoter-luciferase constructs (-533-Luc, -533-Luc.mt (ISREmt) and p31x2-Luc  
99 (2xISRE)),  $\beta$ actin-LacZ, pET-GRIP1 3-RD, pCDNA3-GRIP1 and pCDNA3-GRIP1 N1007 were  
100 previously described (49-51). pGEX.IRF9 was generated by excising IRF9 from pCDNA3 with  
101 BamHI/NotI and subcloning it into the BamHI/NotI sites of pGEX4T-1 (Amersham-Pharmacia).  
102 pGEX.IRF9.N145 was generated from pGEX.IRF9 by incorporating an internal NotI site by site-  
103 directed mutagenesis (QuickChange, Stratagene) using mutagenic primers  
104 F:5'-CAGCACAGTTCTGCGGCCGCTGAGAGGAAGGAGG-3' and  
105 R: 5'-CCTCCTTCCTCTCAGCGGCCGCAGAACTGTGCTG-3'. The C-terminal IRF9  
106 fragment was excised by digestion with NotI and the plasmid was re-ligated.  
107 pGEX.IRF9.127C was generated from pGEX.IRF9 by incorporating an internal BamHI site by  
108 site-directed mutagenesis using mutagenic primers

109 F: 5'-CGTCTCTGGCCAGCCAGGGATCCAGAAAGTACCATCAAAGC-3' and  
 110 R: 5'-GCTTTGATGGTACTTTCTGGATCCCTGGCTGGCCAGAGACG-3'. The N-terminal  
 111 IRF9 fragment was excised by digestion with BamHI and the plasmid was re-ligated.  
 112 pGEX.IRF9.213C was generated from pGEX.IRF9 by incorporating an internal XbaI site by  
 113 site-directed mutagenesis using mutagenic primers  
 114 F: 5'-CTGGAGTTTCTGCTTCCTCTAGAGCCAGACTACTCACTG-3' and  
 115 R: 5'-CAGTGAGTAGTCTGGCTCTAGAGGAAGCAGAACTCCAG-3'. The N-terminal  
 116 IRF9 fragment was excised by digestion with XbaI and the plasmid was re-ligated.  
 117 pGEX.IRF9.127-208 was generated from pGEX.IRF9.127C by incorporating an internal XhoI  
 118 site by site-directed mutagenesis using mutagenic primers  
 119 F: 5'-GGAATTCCTCCGGTTCGACTGAGTTTCTGCTTCC-3'  
 120 R: 5'-GGAAGCAGAACTCAGTCGACCCGGAATTCC-3'. The IRF9 fragment was excised  
 121 by digestion with BamHI/XhoI and subcloned into the BamHI/XhoI sites of pGEX4T-1.  
 122 To generate -533-Luc-κB1, -533-Luc-κB2, and -533-Luc-AP1, the κB1, κB2 or AP1 sites were  
 123 disrupted by site-directed mutagenesis with the primers  
 124 κB1-F: 5'-GCCCTCGGTTTACGGGAAGCTTCCCTCGGGTTGCG-3' and  
 125 κB1-R: 5'-CGCAACCCGAGGGAAGCTTCCCGTAAACCGAGGGC-3';  
 126 κB2-F: 5'-GGAGCACAAGAGGGGAGAGCCGAATTCCAAGTTCATGGG-3' and  
 127 κB2-R: 5'-CCCATGAACTTGGAATTCGGCTCTCCCCTCTTGTGCTCC-3'; and  
 128 AP1-F: F:5'-GGTTGCGGAGCCTTGCGCAGTCACCTCCAAAGTC-3' and  
 129 AP1-R: 5'-GACTTTGGAGGTGACTGCGCAAGGCTCCGCAACC-3', respectively.  
 130

131 **Cell culture and transfections.** CV-1 green monkey kidney fibroblasts and murine RAW267.4  
132 MΦ-like cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing  
133 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Mouse 3T3 fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM-10% FBS,  
134 supplemented with MEM non-essential amino acids and 1.75 μM 2-mercaptoethanol. BMMΦ  
135 were prepared from 8-wk old C57BL/6 mice as in (49) except L929 cell conditioned medium  
136 (LCCM) was used for the 6-day MΦ expansion; cells were then scraped and re-seeded into  
137 DMEM + 20% FBS for 24 h prior to treatment.

138 For siRNA,  $1.8 \times 10^7$  RAW267.4 cells were transfected with 200 μmol Control or GRIP1  
139 siRNA (Qiagen) using the Cell Line Nucleofector Kit V (Amaxa VCA-1003) as per the  
140 manufacturer's instructions. Cells were allowed to recover for 18 h before treatment.

141 RAW264.7 cells were transfected in 6-well plates ( $5 \times 10^5$  cells/well) using GenePORTER  
142 3000 system (Genlantis) using 275 μl GP3K-Diluent and 28 μl GP3K-Reagent per well in FBS-  
143 free DMEM, as per the manufacturer's instructions, and re-fed with DMEM-10% FBS 5 h post-  
144 transfection. Cells were allowed to recover for 24 h before treatment.

145 CV-1 cells were transfected in 24-well plates in FBS-free media using 1 μL lipofectamine and  
146 2 μL PLUS (Invitrogen) per well and re-fed with DMEM + 10% FBS 3 h later. The following  
147 day, cells were treated (see Fig. Legends) and harvested for luciferase and β-galactosidase assays  
148 6 h later (51).

149

150 **Protein purification and in vitro binding assays.** GST- and HIS-tagged proteins were  
151 generated in *E. coli*. as described (49), except the expression was induced with 0.2 mM IPTG for  
152 5 h at 25°C. GRIP1 derivatives and GR were produced using the coupled *in vitro*

153 transcription/translation system (Promega) in the presence of <sup>35</sup>S-methionine and (for GR) 1 μM  
154 Dex, and binding assays performed in the presence of 0.05% NP-40, as described (49).

155

156 **Western blotting.** For immunoprecipitations, 3T3 fibroblasts or RAW264.7 cells were cultured  
157 in 150-mm dishes, treated as indicated in Fig. Legends, and protein extracts were prepared as in  
158 (49). 20% of each clarified extract was boiled in 2x SDS sample buffer to generate whole cell  
159 extracts (WCE), while the rest was incubated with 4 μg of anti-GRIP1 C-terminal antibody  
160 (Santa Cruz sc-6976) at 4°C overnight, after which 100 μl of a 50% slurry of protein A/G PLUS  
161 agarose were added and incubation continued for 1 h at 4°C. Immunoprecipitates were collected  
162 and immunoblotting was performed as in (49). Blotting antibodies used were STAT1 (Santa  
163 Cruz sc-346), STAT2 (Santa Cruz sc-22816), pY701-STAT1 (Cell Signaling 9171), pY689-  
164 STAT2 (Millipore 07-224), pS727-STAT1 (Cell Signaling 9177), STAT3 (Santa Cruz sc-482),  
165 ERK (Santa Cruz sc-94), TIF2 (BD Transduction Laboratories 610985), GRIP1 (Abcam 10491),  
166 IRF9 (Santa Cruz sc-10793) and GST (Thermo Scientific, 3001). Primary antibodies were  
167 detected using HRP-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (Promega).

168

169 **Chromatin immunoprecipitations.** ChIP assays with BMMΦ, RAW264.7 cells and 3T3 mouse  
170 fibroblasts were performed as described (2). Antibodies used were STAT1, STAT2, Pol2, GRIP1  
171 (Santa Cruz sc-346, sc-22816, sc-899 and sc-6976, respectively), AcH3 (Millipore 06-599), GR  
172 N499 (51) or normal IgG (Santa Cruz sc-2027). Primer pairs for target genes are listed in Table  
173 S1.

174



175 **RNA isolation and real-time PCR.** Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit  
176 (Qiagen). Random-primed cDNA synthesis, qPCR and  $\delta\delta\text{Ct}$  analysis were performed as  
177 described (49), equalizing total RNA input. GAPDH or  $\beta\text{Actin}$  were used as a normalization  
178 control. Primer pairs for target genes are listed in Table S1.

179

180 **Adenovirus-mediated GRIPI KD.** BMM $\Phi$  from GRIPI<sup>flx/flx</sup> mice were cultured as described  
181 above. On Day 6,  $1.5 \times 10^6$  cells were infected with 1:1000 M.O.I. Ad5-CMV-GFP (Ad-GFP) or  
182 Ad5-CMV-Cre (Ad-Cre) (Vector Development Labs) in DMEM + 0.5% FBS for 14 h, re-fed  
183 with DMEM + 20% FBS and allowed to recover for 30 h prior to treatment.

184 **Results**

185 **Type I IFN target gene expression is directly inhibited by Dex.** Unlike cytokine gene  
186 expression, cytokine signaling is reportedly unaffected and in some cases even potentiated by  
187 GR (36, 54, 55). Treatment of murine bone marrow-derived M $\Phi$  (BMM $\Phi$ ) with Type I IFN  
188 induced, as expected, the expression of a series of established ISGs (IP10, CXCL9, ISG56,  
189 Rantes, CXCL11, ISG15, ISG54, IL6, OASL1 and Mx1). To our surprise, this induction was  
190 markedly attenuated by concurrent administration of Dex (Fig. 1A). As expected, the level of  
191 induction of different genes depended on the IFN dose and duration of treatment (Supplementary  
192 Fig. S1A and S1B). Similarly, downregulation by Dex varied in magnitude and duration, but was  
193 nonetheless observed for each ISG analyzed. This suppression of gene induction was specific to  
194 Type I IFN targets, as IFN $\gamma$ -dependent induction of IRF1 was Dex- resistant (Supplementary Fig.  
195 S1C).

196 A similar dramatic inhibition by Dex was observed when nascent unprocessed ISG transcripts  
197 were analyzed using intronic primers, ruling out the effects on downstream steps such as mRNA  
198 processing or stability (Supplementary Fig. S2A). In some hematopoietic cells, GCs indirectly  
199 obstruct the activation of STAT proteins through the induction of intermediary genes, such as the  
200 suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS1), which interferes with the function of STATs (14).  
201 Thus, the sensitivity of ISGs to Dex treatment could result from the induction of a putative IFN  
202 signaling inhibitor by the activated GR. Additionally, in T cells, GCs were proposed to alter the  
203 expression of upstream signaling components, ultimately affecting STAT4/5 activation (10, 24).  
204 However, concurrent treatment with cycloheximide under conditions previously shown to block  
205 *de novo* protein synthesis in macrophages (30, 40), did not relieve Dex-dependent inhibition

206 (Supplementary Fig. S2B), indicating a direct effect of GR on the pre-existing components of the  
207 IFN signaling pathway.

208

209 **Jak/STAT pathway activation is unaffected by Dex treatment.** In principle, GR can modulate  
210 a given signal transduction pathway by directly altering the activities of kinases or phosphatases.  
211 To determine whether GR affects Jak/STAT pathway activation, we assessed STAT1/2 tyrosine  
212 phosphorylation (Y701 and Y690, respectively) in response to IFN *vs.* IFN+Dex. STAT proteins  
213 were rapidly phosphorylated in response to IFN in both RAW264.7 cells and primary  
214 BMM $\Phi$  (Fig. 1B and 1C), and this activation mark was unaffected by Dex for up to 2 h of  
215 treatment. Similarly, IFN-dependent phosphorylation of STAT1 S727, proposed to be important  
216 for full STAT1 activation (21), was also Dex-resistant (Fig. 1C). Thus, activation of the  
217 Jak/STAT pathway by Type I IFN appears to be refractory to GC treatment. In addition, as  
218 ISGF3 nuclear localization is controlled by IFN-dependent STAT1/2 phosphorylation (33), we  
219 assayed the subcellular distribution of STAT1/2 in BMM $\Phi$ s. Consistent with the results of  
220 immunoblotting, indirect immunofluorescence revealed a similar pattern in both IFN- and  
221 IFN+Dex-treated cells (not shown).

222

223 **IFN induction and Dex inhibition of the ISGF3 function is mediated by ISREs.** Many ISGs  
224 contain binding elements for and are regulated by multiple transcription factors. Because  
225 STAT1/2 activation was unaffected by Dex, we questioned whether stimulation and inhibition of  
226 ISG expression is specifically mediated by the ISGF3 binding sites, ISREs. We generated a  
227 series of IP10-derived luciferase (Luc) reporter constructs and tested their responses to IFN and  
228 Dex in a cell-based reporter assay in IFN-responsive CV-1 cells (Fig. 2A). As expected, IFN

229 treatment induced WT reporter activity, while mutation of the ISRE abrogated IFN-mediated  
230 induction (Fig. 2B). Although the basal activity of this ISREmt reporter was also significantly  
231 reduced relative to WT, phorbol ester PMA, a strong activator of AP1 and NF- $\kappa$ B, considerably  
232 induced Luc activity (data not shown), suggesting that the lack of IFN responsiveness was not  
233 due to the global disruption of the reporter. In contrast, constructs with an intact ISRE but  
234 mutated AP1 and NF- $\kappa$ B elements, either individually (Fig. 2C) or in combination (3°mt, Fig.  
235 2B), were induced by IFN and inhibited by Dex similar to WT. Furthermore, a simplified  
236 reporter containing only a dimerized, IFN $\beta$ -derived ISRE controlling Luc expression was  
237 strongly activated by IFN, and Dex co-treatment abrogated the response (Fig. 2B). Thus, the  
238 ISGF3-binding ISRE element was necessary and sufficient for the IFN induction and GC  
239 inhibition of ISG-derived reporters.

240

241 **Dex inhibits IFN-induced ISGF3 transcription complex assembly at ISG promoters in**  
242 **M $\Phi$ .** The results of the cell-based reporter assays suggested that ligand-activated GR could be  
243 targeting transcription complex assembly or function at ISG promoter elements. We therefore  
244 examined by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) whether Dex treatment affects the  
245 occupancy of the ISGF3 complex at ISREs of IFN-regulated genes in primary BMM $\Phi$ . In  
246 response to IFN, STAT1 occupancy of ISREs of model ISGs, including IP10, ISG15, ISG56 and  
247 CXCL9, increased dramatically, and co-treatment with Dex attenuated this increase for all genes  
248 tested (Fig. 3A). A similar pattern was observed for STAT2 (Supplementary Fig. S3A) although  
249 overall ChIP signals for STAT2 were modest (relative to the IgG control), likely due to the  
250 quality of STAT2 antisera available. It is also possible that the IFN-inducible recruitment of  
251 STAT1 and STAT2 to ISG promoters is non-stoichiometric.

252 Next, we assessed whether the changes in ISGF3 occupancy at ISREs in BMM $\Phi$  correlated  
253 with alterations in markers of transcriptional activation, such as acetylation of lysines 9 and 14 of  
254 histone H3 at ISG regulatory regions. As shown in Fig. 3B, the basal levels of H3AcK9/14 in  
255 BMM $\Phi$  were highly variable between the individual ISGs at transcription start sites (TSS).  
256 Indeed, basal H3 acetylation at the IP10 promoter was only moderately above background of  
257 normal IgGs, whereas H3 at ISG15 and ISG56 promoters was strongly acetylated (45- and 30-  
258 fold over background, respectively) in untreated cells. Nonetheless, in all cases, some additional  
259 acetylation occurred in conjunction with IFN treatment and was diminished by Dex co-treatment  
260 (Fig. 3B). No change in the total level of histone H3 was observed in response to either IFN or  
261 IFN+Dex at any of the genes tested (not shown).

262 The definitive mark of transcription initiation is the assembly of basal transcriptional  
263 machinery, including RNA Polymerase II (Pol2), into the preinitiation complex at TSS near  
264 target promoters. Our ChIP assays revealed that Pol2 occupancy was robustly induced by IFN at  
265 the ISG TSS regions. This increase was largely blocked by Dex (Fig. 3C), indicating that GR  
266 activation attenuates transcription initiation of these genes.

267 GR is known to interfere with transcriptional activation by tethering to other DNA-bound  
268 regulators and sterically blocking their transactivation domains or preventing the recruitment or  
269 activation of the preinitiation complex (22, 35). However, consistent with previous observations  
270 (3), we failed to detect a physical interaction *in vitro* between GR and any components of the  
271 ISGF3 (not shown), indicating that a tethering mechanism of inhibition is unlikely to operate at  
272 the ISREs. Furthermore, no apparent GR occupancy was observed at ISG promoters in IFN- or  
273 IFN+Dex-treated RAW264.7 cells; as expected, GR was recruited to its established target gene,  
274 GILZ, in a Dex-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. S3B).

275

276 **IRF9 and GRIP1 interact *in vitro*.** Because ISGF3 nuclear localization was similar in IFN- and  
277 IFN+Dex-treated cells, we reasoned that a reduction in apparent ISGF3 occupancy at ISREs may  
278 reflect a shorter residence time of the complex on the DNA, perhaps due to Dex-dependent  
279 destabilization or loss of associated cofactors. Indeed, activated GR has been proposed to  
280 displace essential coregulators from other transcription factors (31). Studies in our lab have  
281 shown that GR antagonized IRF3 activity induced by the TLR3 agonists through depleting the  
282 p160 family member GRIP1, which is required for IRF3-dependent ISG transcription (49).  
283 Because the IRF Association Domain (IAD) of IRF3, responsible for binding GRIP1, is 21%  
284 identical (35% similar) to that of IRF9, we investigated the possibility of a protein:protein  
285 interaction between IRF9 and GRIP1. We produced *in vitro* a series of GRIP1 derivatives  
286 centered across its IRF3-binding repression domain (RD): the NR-interacting domain (NID,  
287 aa585-765), 2-RD (aa648-1007, containing NR boxes 2, 3 and the RD), 3-RD (aa715-1007,  
288 containing NR box 3 and the RD) and RD alone (aa765-1007) (Fig. 4A) and tested them for their  
289 ability to bind purified recombinant full-length GST-IRF9. We found that all but the NID  
290 interacted with GST-IRF9, but not GST control; as in our earlier studies with IRF3 or Suv4-20h1  
291 (16, 49), RD bound IRF9 less well than 3-RD (Fig. 4B). Given secondary structure predictions  
292 for isolated RD and the fact that the N-terminal 50 aa upstream of RD (which differentiate it  
293 from 3-RD) do not enable NID:IRF9 interaction, our results suggest that RD is the major surface  
294 of GRIP1 interacting with IRF9 and that the N-terminal 50 aa extension serves to stabilize the  
295 RD conformation.

296 To identify the IRF9 domain(s) responsible for GRIP1 binding, we generated a series of GST-  
297 IRF9 deletion mutants and tested their ability to bind GRIP1 2-RD *in vitro*. These included:

298 N145 (aa1-145, containing the N-terminal DBD and a portion of the linker region), 127C (aa127-  
299 393, containing the linker region and the C-terminal IAD), 213C (aa213-393 containing the IAD  
300 only), or 127-208 (containing the linker region with a small segment of the IAD) (Fig. 4C). N145  
301 did not bind 2-RD (Fig. 4D), suggesting that, similar to IRF3, the IRF9 DBD is not sufficient for  
302 the GRIP1:IRF9 interaction. Conversely, 127C and 213C, but not 127-208, were both able to  
303 bind 2-RD. Hence, the GRIP1-interacting region encompasses the IRF9 IAD (aa213–393) and  
304 excludes the N-terminal DNA binding and linker domains.

305 GR binds GRIP1 via NR box 3 (20) immediately adjacent to the IRF9-binding RD, suggesting  
306 that GR binding may sterically hinder the formation of the GRIP1:IRF9 complex. To assess  
307 whether the GRIP1:IRF9 interaction was affected by GR, we utilized 3-RD, the minimal GRIP1  
308 construct able to bind both IRF9 and GR. As expected, in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M Dex, *in vitro*  
309 transcribed/translated GR bound recombinant HIS-tagged GRIP1 3-RD immobilized on metal  
310 affinity resin (Fig. 4E, lanes 1 and 2). Consistent with observations in Fig. 4D, IRF9 127C but  
311 not IRF9 N145 bound GRIP1 (Fig. 4E, top panel lane 4 vs. 6); furthermore, the GRIP1 3-  
312 RD:IRF9 127C interaction was potently inhibited in the presence of GR (Fig. 4E, top panel, lane  
313 4 vs. 3). Thus, agonist-activated GR directly disrupts the GRIP1:IRF9 complex.

314

315 **GRIP1 functions as an ISGF3 coactivator in M $\Phi$ .** Transcription initiation is a stepwise  
316 process involving the sequential recruitment of multiple coregulators which perform diverse  
317 functions including covalent modifications of histones and chromatin, recruitment of basal  
318 machinery and Pol2, as well as stabilization of the DNA-bound regulator complex itself by  
319 facilitating intermolecular interactions and/or preventing its proteosomal degradation. Given the  
320 physical interaction between GRIP1 and IRF9, we speculated that GRIP1 may serve as an ISGF3

321 coactivator, in which case disruption of the GRIP1:IRF9 interaction could alter ISGF3  
322 transcriptional activity by disabling any of the above mechanisms. To test this hypothesis, we  
323 used the GRIP1.N1007 derivative (50), which retains the IRF9-binding RD but lacks the AD1/2  
324 responsible for recruiting the secondary coactivators CBP/p300 and CARM1 (42). When  
325 co-transfected into CV-1 cells along with the minimal ISRE-driven Luc reporter, GRIP1.N1007  
326 inhibited IFN-induced reporter activity in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A), presumably by  
327 binding to IRF9 and displacing endogenous full-length GRIP1 from the ISGF3 complex.  
328 Because a dominant-negative approach may suffer from non-specific effects of overexpression,  
329 we investigated whether knockdown of endogenous GRIP1 with siRNA would affect ISG  
330 expression in RAW264.7 MΦ-like cells. We found that relative to cells transfected with  
331 scrambled siRNA (siC), depletion of GRIP1 protein (siG) potently attenuated IFN induction of  
332 all ISGs tested (Fig. 5B).

333 To determine the role of GRIP1 in primary cells, we utilized an *ex vivo* knockdown approach  
334 in BMMΦ derived from mice bearing a floxed GRIP1 allele (graciously provided by Pierre  
335 Chambon (25)). GRIP1<sup>flox/flox</sup> BMMΦ were infected in culture with an adenovirus expressing  
336 either Cre recombinase (Ad-Cre) or control GFP (Ad-GFP). Indeed, Ad-Cre infection  
337 significantly attenuated GRIP1 expression compared to that in Ad-GFP-infected BMMΦ (Fig.  
338 5C, right). Strikingly, the induction of a panel of Type I IFN target genes was nearly abrogated in  
339 GRIP1-depleted MΦ (Fig. 5C, left). Furthermore, ISG expression in primary BMMΦ was  
340 considerably more sensitive to the loss of GRIP1 than in RAW264.7 cells, likely due to very low  
341 levels of GRIP1 protein present in these cells. Combined, these results establish a critical role for  
342 endogenous GRIP1 in MΦ in the transcriptional activation of ISGs via the IFN-Jak/STAT  
343 pathway.



344

345 **Pharmacological antagonism of GR:GRIP1 binding restores IFN-dependent gene**

346 **expression in MΦ.** The p160 family members, including GRIP1, interact with GR in  
347 conjunction with ligand binding. Specifically, GR agonists such as Dex induce a conformational  
348 change in the receptor ligand binding domain (LBD), promoting the formation of the activation  
349 function (AF)2 surface, which then recruits GRIP1. In contrast to full agonists, the partial  
350 antagonist RU486 precludes the formation of AF2 and thus, p160 recruitment (11). If inhibition  
351 of ISGF3 activity by Dex occurs due to the sequestration of GRIP1 from IRF9 by the agonist-  
352 bound GR, then competitive antagonism by excess RU486 will displace Dex from the GR LBD,  
353 allowing for GRIP1 release and interaction with IRF9, thereby restoring ISG expression. Fig. 6A  
354 demonstrates that, on its own, RU486 treatment of BMMΦ did not affect the IFN induction of  
355 any ISG tested, and lifted Dex-imposed inhibition in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, we  
356 observed a remarkable correlation between the mRNA expression data and the effects of GR  
357 ligands on preinitiation complex assembly at ISGs. As assessed by ChIP, IFN-induced Pol2  
358 occupancy of ISG TSS was largely unaffected by RU486, while the Dex-dependent reduction in  
359 occupancy was reversed in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these data  
360 suggest a scenario in which Dex-mediated inhibition of IFN-induced gene expression is caused  
361 by sequestration of GRIP1 by activated GR from its duties as an ISGF3 coactivator.

362

363 **Glucocorticoid regulation of IFN signaling is cell-type specific.** To examine whether GC-  
364 dependent regulation of IFN signaling is a common feature of different cell types we assessed  
365 the IFN response in murine 3T3 fibroblasts, which express all components of the GR and IFN  
366 pathways endogenously. IFN treatment resulted in a potent time-dependent induction of a panel

367 of ISGs at 0.5, 1 and 2 h (Fig. 7A and not shown); surprisingly, however, the induction appeared  
368 completely Dex-resistant. The lack of Dex response did not reflect a disruption of GC pathway,  
369 as GR is well-expressed in mouse fibroblasts (Fig. 7B, middle panel) and, as expected, is able to  
370 activate GC-responsive genes GILZ and IGFBP1 (Fig. 7A, right).

371 Interestingly, the level of GRIP1 protein was strikingly different between the murine cell types  
372 examined, with fibroblasts expressing a significantly greater amount of GRIP1 relative to  
373 BMM $\Phi$  or even RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 7B, top panel). We speculated that perhaps the higher  
374 GRIP1 expression in 3T3 cells allows for its utilization by both GR and ISGF3, thereby relieving  
375 the inhibitory effect of GR on ISG expression. Furthermore, this elevated expression should  
376 enable us to employ ChIP at IFN target genes to visualize GRIP1, which in M $\Phi$  was below the  
377 level of detection. Indeed, a C-terminal antibody to GRIP1 revealed an IFN-dependent increase  
378 in GRIP1 occupancy at ISREs of several target ISGs, which was largely unaffected by Dex (Fig.  
379 7C, left). Similarly (and in stark contrast to our observations in M $\Phi$ , Fig. 3B), a robust IFN-  
380 induced Pol2 recruitment to TSS of these genes was also refractory to Dex treatment (Fig. 7C,  
381 right). Overall, we did not expect a dramatic increase in the apparent GRIP1 occupancy in  
382 response to IFN in 3T3 cells, as GRIP1 is constitutively nuclear and IRF9 is largely nuclear even  
383 in the absence of IFN treatment; consistently, endogenous GRIP1 co-immunoprecipitated IRF9  
384 from mouse fibroblasts prior to IFN stimulation, and this complex was Dex-resistant  
385 (Supplementary Fig. S4). It should be noted that the GRIP1 C-terminal antibody used for coIP  
386 and ChIP is far less effective for GRIP1 IP than other commercial antibodies raised to GRIP1  
387 epitopes overlapping the IRF9-interacting RD (not shown); importantly, however, this was the  
388 only antibody capable of *co-precipitating* the GRIP1:IRF9 complex and detecting GRIP1 at  
389 ISREs by ChIP.

390 Further corroborating our model, we were able to co-immunoprecipitate the GRIP1:IRF9  
391 complex from RAW264.7 cells with the same antibody and, in contrast to fibroblasts, Dex  
392 treatment resulted in the loss of IRF9 from GRIP1 (Fig. 7D), replicating the ISG expression  
393 pattern in BMM $\Phi$  and RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 1A and S1A-B) as well as ISGF3 and Pol II  
394 occupancy data in BMM $\Phi$  (Fig. 3). We reasoned that if a limiting quantity of GRIP1 is at least in  
395 part responsible for the GC sensitivity of ISGF3-dependent gene transcription in M $\Phi$ , then  
396 exogenously provided GRIP1 may partially or fully restore ISG induction by IFN. Indeed,  
397 transiently introduced GRIP1 rescued IP10 and OASL1 expression in RAW264.7 cells even in  
398 the presence of Dex (Fig. 7E). Interestingly, we were unable to generate RAW264.7 sublines  
399 stably overexpressing GRIP1 under selectable marker, as cells rapidly lost ectopic GRIP1  
400 expression, perhaps indicating that tight regulation of GRIP1 protein level is central to  
401 M $\Phi$  physiology.

402

## 403 **DISCUSSION**

404 Glucocorticoids are potent inhibitors of inflammatory and immune responses in both laboratory  
405 and clinical settings. The molecular mechanisms of their action are complex and involve multiple  
406 pathways; thus, a complete picture of inflammatory regulation by GCs remains elusive. For  
407 instance, GR directly activates transcription of several genes encoding established anti-  
408 inflammatory factors, including I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ , annexin A1, IL-10 and GILZ (22). GR stimulates  
409 expression of the DUSP1 phosphatase, which dephosphorylates and inactivates the MAPK  
410 proteins p38 and JNK essential for the induction/expression of numerous mediators of  
411 inflammation (1). GCs have also been shown to inhibit activating phosphorylation of TBK1, a  
412 kinase required for IRF3 activation in response to TLR3/4 signaling (43). Physical interactions

413 between GR and T cell receptors have recently been identified as a novel mode of GR-mediated  
414 immunosuppression in T cells (41). Finally, GR directly represses proinflammatory cytokine  
415 gene transcription through tethering to other transcription factors such as NF- $\kappa$ B, AP1, CREB,  
416 T-bet, and NFAT (22). Despite their diversity, the above mechanisms all share a common  
417 regulatory output: the attenuated expression of a host of cytokines, chemokines and other  
418 mediators of inflammation. Here, we demonstrate that the Type I IFN-initiated Jak/STAT  
419 signaling pathway itself is directly controlled by GR, revealing a previously unrecognized  
420 biological activity of GCs.

421 Though Type I IFN signaling has been studied extensively, many questions remain. For  
422 example, the Mediator component DRIP150 associates with ISGF3 and potentiates Type I IFN-  
423 induced transcription (32); however, the functional relevance of the Mediator complex as a  
424 whole in this context has not been resolved. STAT2 was shown to interact with the histone  
425 acetyltransferases CBP/p300 (9), but whether this recruitment results in sufficient chromatin  
426 remodeling to facilitate transcription is unclear. Our results suggest that the p160 family member  
427 GRIP1 is a direct, previously unrecognized coregulator of the ISGF3 complex required for the  
428 optimal expression of at least a subset of ISGs.

429 The p160 proteins, while best known as NR coactivators, are becoming increasingly  
430 appreciated as cofactors for multiple signaling pathways. GRIP1, in particular, has been shown  
431 to interact with and stimulate the activity of the myocyte enhancer factor-2C (Mef2C) and the  
432 IRF3 transcription complex (15, 49). At least *in vitro*, GRIP1 binds several other IRF family  
433 members including IRF1, IRF5 and IRF7 ((8, 49) and unpublished observations). SRC-1, another  
434 p160, potentiates the transcriptional activity of STAT3, STAT5a, STAT5b and STAT6 through  
435 physical interactions between the transactivation domains of STATs and the PAS region of SRC-

436 1 (26, 39). The broad role of p160 proteins as pleiotropic cofactors involved in such diverse  
437 transcriptional pathways raises questions regarding their specificity. Interestingly, although all  
438 three family members function as coactivators for NRs in overexpression studies and have been  
439 used in such assays interchangeably, a growing body of evidence points to the preferential  
440 recruitment by a given receptor of one p160 over another in a more physiological setting (59).  
441 Furthermore, despite the high degree of conservation of the PAS domains across the p160  
442 family, GRIP1 and RAC3 did not substitute for SRC-1 in its regulation of STATs (39). Thus, it  
443 appears unlikely that SRC-1 or RAC3, which lack the domain equivalent to the IRF9-interacting  
444 GRIP1 RD, would be functionally redundant with GRIP1 with respect to ISGF3 coactivation.

445 If GRIP1 is the only p160 protein mediating the cross-talk between GR and ISGF3, the  
446 reciprocal question is what promotes its selective recruitment to one regulator *vs.* another?  
447 Clearly, the levels of the GRIP1 protein vary dramatically between different cell types, making  
448 certain cells, such as MΦ, uniquely receptive to signals that modulate its activity. Meanwhile,  
449 GRIP1-mediated pathways in other cell types, may function relatively independently or lack a  
450 specific regulatory loop altogether. It is also likely that GRIP1 is differentially regulated post-  
451 translationally, depending on the cell type and the nature of the signal. Indeed, the p160 family  
452 member SRC-3 displays a distinct phosphorylation fingerprint following treatment with 17β-  
453 estradiol compared to that with TNFα, progesterone, or Dex (58, 61). Conceivably, IFN  
454 treatment of MΦ imparts post-translational modifications to GRIP1 that preferentially direct it to  
455 the ISGF3 complex, whereas Dex triggers a different modification pattern that would facilitate  
456 its binding to GR. Discerning such patterns will open up the possibility of signal manipulation,  
457 which should be of great therapeutic interest.

458 Our functional data illustrate that distinct ISGs are differentially affected by the loss of  
459 GRIP1, suggesting that the extent to which ISGF3 complex relies on GRIP1 varies between the  
460 genes. This raises a question about the mechanistic role of GRIP1 in the context of IFN-activated  
461 genes. Coactivators, including the p160 family, stimulate transcription by recruiting histone-  
462 modifying enzymes, chromatin remodeling complexes, and/or basal transcription machinery.  
463 Indeed, we show that IFN treatment modestly enhances acetylation of H3K9/14, which is  
464 partially blocked by Dex. Conceivably, GRIP1 enhances the recruitment of CBP/p300, its known  
465 interacting partner; however, as the basal levels of acetylation vary considerably from gene to  
466 gene, this mechanism may be important for only a subset of ISGs. The specific DNA sequence of  
467 and around the ISREs likely plays an essential role in determining whether and to what extent  
468 GRIP1 participates in regulation of a given ISG; in fact, nucleotide sequences appear to  
469 determine, in part, cofactor recruitment to many regulators including the ER (28). Remarkably, a  
470 single base pair substitution in a GRE leads to changes in GR structure, activity and the  
471 composition of the associated coactivator complexes (44, 53).

472 In addition to serving as recruiters for secondary cofactors and the basal machinery,  
473 coactivators may also signal back to the cognate regulator by sterically stabilizing the regulatory  
474 complex itself. Indeed, loss of the cofactor MUC1 destabilizes ER and renders it susceptible to  
475 proteasomal degradation (56). Likewise, in the absence of cofactors, the yeast transcription  
476 factor Met4 dissociates from its ubiquitin ligase SCF<sup>Met30</sup> which leads to the proteasomal  
477 degradation of Met4 (13). In the case of NRs, p160s stabilize agonist in the ligand-binding  
478 pocket, thereby facilitating DNA binding by the receptor complexes. Here, we show that IFN-  
479 induced ISGF3 occupancy of its target promoters is dramatically reduced in Dex-treated MΦ,  
480 suggesting that perhaps GRIP1 stabilizes the complex in a given conformation, which may have

481 higher binding affinity or stability depending upon the specific DNA sequence. In this scenario,  
482 GRIP1 depletion by siRNA, Ad-Cre or activated GR results in variable degrees of dissociation of  
483 the ISGF3 complex, effectively causing variable levels of Dex inhibition for different genes.

484 Identification of GRIP1 as a coactivator for IRF complexes appears somewhat paradoxical,  
485 given its role as a GR corepressor at the AP1 and NF- $\kappa$ B tethering GREs (50, 51). Because many  
486 ISGs are regulated by both ISGF3 and NF- $\kappa$ B, it is difficult to predict the transcriptional  
487 response to a pathogen that induces both the IFN-Jak/STAT pathway and the TLR pathway. In  
488 principle, the coactivator and corepressor functions of GRIP1 could operate concurrently, in  
489 which case the outcome may depend on the affinity of GRIP1 for either transcription factor,  
490 posttranslational modifications induced by the prevailing signal, or a combination thereof. The  
491 molecular switch for these functions is as yet unknown; however, deletion studies have shown  
492 that the GRIP1 activation domains (AD1/AD2) are inactive when it is recruited as a corepressor  
493 at AP1 tethering GREs (50). Further mutational analysis and dissection of signal-specific  
494 posttranslational modifications may help to shed light on the molecular mechanisms of the  
495 GRIP1 coactivator/corepressor balance. The *in vivo* relevance of these functions to the  
496 equilibrium between the immunostimulatory and immunorepressive pathways requires mouse  
497 knock-in models in which GRIP1 will solely maintain one function or the other. Our results here  
498 suggest a unique role for GRIP1 as a fulcrum that controls the balance of many  
499 immunomodulatory pathways and, as such, understanding and exploiting its regulatory surfaces  
500 may provide new avenues of therapy for a multitude of immune-mediated diseases.

501

## 502 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

503 We thank Dr. Pierre Chambon (IGBMC, France) for generously providing GRIP1<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice.

504 This work was funded by grants from the NIH (R01 AI068820), Lupus Research Institute and  
505 the Kirkland Center to I.R.; J.R.F. is supported by a predoctoral fellowship from the Cancer  
506 Research Institute; Y.C. was supported by the NIH T32 AR07517.



507 **REFERENCES**

- 508 1. **Abraham, S. M., T. Lawrence, A. Kleiman, P. Warden, M. Medghalchi, J.**  
 509 **Tuckermann, J. Saklatvala, and A. R. Clark.** 2006. Antiinflammatory effects of  
 510 dexamethasone are partly dependent on induction of dual specificity phosphatase 1. *J Exp*  
 511 *Med* **203**:1883-9.
- 512 2. **Adelman, K., M. A. Kennedy, S. Nechaev, D. A. Gilchrist, G. W. Muse, Y. Chinenov,**  
 513 **and I. Rogatsky.** 2009. Immediate mediators of the inflammatory response are poised for  
 514 gene activation through RNA polymerase II stalling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*  
 515 **106**:18207-12.
- 516 3. **Aittomaki, S., M. Pesu, B. Groner, O. A. Janne, J. J. Palvimo, and O. Silvennoinen.**  
 517 2000. Cooperation among Stat1, glucocorticoid receptor, and PU.1 in transcriptional  
 518 activation of the high-affinity Fc gamma receptor I in monocytes. *J Immunol* **164**:5689-  
 519 97.
- 520 4. **An, J., R. C. Ribeiro, P. Webb, J. A. Gustafsson, P. J. Kushner, J. D. Baxter, and D.**  
 521 **C. Leitman.** 1999. Estradiol repression of tumor necrosis factor-alpha transcription  
 522 requires estrogen receptor activation function-2 and is enhanced by coactivators. *Proc*  
 523 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:15161-6.
- 524 5. **Baechler, E. C., F. M. Batliwalla, G. Karypis, P. M. Gaffney, W. A. Ortmann, K. J.**  
 525 **Espe, K. B. Shark, W. J. Grande, K. M. Hughes, V. Kapur, P. K. Gregersen, and T.**  
 526 **W. Behrens.** 2003. Interferon-inducible gene expression signature in peripheral blood  
 527 cells of patients with severe lupus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**:2610-5.
- 528 6. **Bengtsson, A. A., G. Sturfelt, L. Truedsson, J. Blomberg, G. Alm, H. Vallin, and L.**  
 529 **Ronnlblom.** 2000. Activation of type I interferon system in systemic lupus erythematosus  
 530 correlates with disease activity but not with antiretroviral antibodies. *Lupus* **9**:664-71.
- 531 7. **Bennett, L., A. K. Palucka, E. Arce, V. Cantrell, J. Borvak, J. Banchereau, and V.**  
 532 **Pascual.** 2003. Interferon and granulopoiesis signatures in systemic lupus erythematosus  
 533 blood. *J Exp Med* **197**:711-23.
- 534 8. **Bhandare, R., G. Damera, A. Banerjee, J. R. Flammer, S. Keslacy, I. Rogatsky, R.**  
 535 **A. Panettieri, Y. Amrani, and O. Tliba.** Glucocorticoid receptor interacting protein-1  
 536 restores glucocorticoid responsiveness in steroid-resistant airway structural cells. *Am J*  
 537 *Respir Cell Mol Biol* **42**:9-15.
- 538 9. **Bhattacharya, S., R. Eckner, S. Grossman, E. Oldread, Z. Arany, A. D'Andrea, and**  
 539 **D. M. Livingston.** 1996. Cooperation of Stat2 and p300/CBP in signalling induced by  
 540 interferon-alpha. *Nature* **383**:344-7.
- 541 10. **Bianchi, M., C. Meng, and L. B. Ivashkiv.** 2000. Inhibition of IL-2-induced Jak-STAT  
 542 signaling by glucocorticoids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**:9573-8.

- 543 11. **Bledsoe, R. K., V. G. Montana, T. B. Stanley, C. J. Delves, C. J. Apolito, D. D.**  
 544 **McKee, T. G. Consler, D. J. Parks, E. L. Stewart, T. M. Willson, M. H. Lambert, J.**  
 545 **T. Moore, K. H. Pearce, and H. E. Xu.** 2002. Crystal structure of the glucocorticoid  
 546 receptor ligand binding domain reveals a novel mode of receptor dimerization and  
 547 coactivator recognition. *Cell* **110**:93-105.
- 548 12. **Butler, D. M., A. M. Malfait, L. J. Mason, P. J. Warden, G. Kollias, R. N. Maini, M.**  
 549 **Feldmann, and F. M. Brennan.** 1997. DBA/1 mice expressing the human TNF-alpha  
 550 transgene develop a severe, erosive arthritis: characterization of the cytokine cascade and  
 551 cellular composition. *J Immunol* **159**:2867-76.
- 552 13. **Chandrasekaran, S., A. E. Deffenbaugh, D. A. Ford, E. Bailly, N. Mathias, and D.**  
 553 **Skowyra.** 2006. Destabilization of binding to cofactors and SCF<sup>Met30</sup> is the rate-  
 554 limiting regulatory step in degradation of polyubiquitinated Met4. *Mol Cell* **24**:689-99.
- 555 14. **Chauhan, S., C. H. Leach, S. Kunz, J. W. Bloom, and R. L. Miesfeld.** 2003.  
 556 Glucocorticoid regulation of human eosinophil gene expression. *J Steroid Biochem Mol*  
 557 *Biol* **84**:441-52.
- 558 15. **Chen, S. L., K. A. Loffler, D. Chen, M. R. Stallcup, and G. E. Muscat.** 2002. The  
 559 coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase is necessary for muscle differentiation:  
 560 CARM1 coactivates myocyte enhancer factor-2. *J Biol Chem* **277**:4324-33.
- 561 16. **Chinenov, Y., M. A. Sacta, A. R. Cruz, and I. Rogatsky.** 2008. GRIP1-associated  
 562 SET-domain methyltransferase in glucocorticoid receptor target gene expression. *Proc*  
 563 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**:20185-90.
- 564 17. **Cho, I. J., and S. G. Kim.** 2009. A novel mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-  
 565 1 and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) interacting protein-1-dependent combinatorial  
 566 mechanism of gene transrepression by GR. *Mol Endocrinol* **23**:86-99.
- 567 18. **Chu, C. Q., M. Field, M. Feldmann, and R. N. Maini.** 1991. Localization of tumor  
 568 necrosis factor alpha in synovial tissues and at the cartilage-pannus junction in patients  
 569 with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* **34**:1125-32.
- 570 19. **Crow, M. K., and J. Wohlgemuth.** 2003. Microarray analysis of gene expression in  
 571 lupus. *Arthritis Res Ther* **5**:279-87.
- 572 20. **Darimont, B. D., R. L. Wagner, J. W. Apriletti, M. R. Stallcup, P. J. Kushner, J. D.**  
 573 **Baxter, R. J. Fletterick, and K. R. Yamamoto.** 1998. Structure and specificity of  
 574 nuclear receptor-coactivator interactions. *Genes Dev* **12**:3343-56.
- 575 21. **Darnell, J. E., Jr.** 1997. STATs and gene regulation. *Science* **277**:1630-5.
- 576 22. **De Bosscher, K., and G. Haegeman.** 2008. MINIREVIEW: Latest perspectives on anti-  
 577 inflammatory actions of glucocorticoids. *Mol Endocrinol*.

- 578 23. **Dupont, E., K. Huygen, L. Schandene, M. Vandercruys, K. Palfliet, and J. Wybran.**  
 579 1985. Influence of in vivo immunosuppressive drugs on production of lymphokines.  
 580 *Transplantation* **39**:143-7.
- 581 24. **Franchimont, D., J. Galon, M. Gadina, R. Visconti, Y. Zhou, M. Aringer, D. M.**  
 582 **Frucht, G. P. Chrousos, and J. J. O'Shea.** 2000. Inhibition of Th1 immune response by  
 583 glucocorticoids: dexamethasone selectively inhibits IL-12-induced Stat4 phosphorylation  
 584 in T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* **164**:1768-74.
- 585 25. **Gehin, M., M. Mark, C. Dennefeld, A. Dierich, H. Gronemeyer, and P. Chambon.**  
 586 2002. The function of TIF2/GRIP1 in mouse reproduction is distinct from those of SRC-1  
 587 and p/CIP. *Mol Cell Biol* **22**:5923-37.
- 588 26. **Giraud, S., F. Bienvenu, S. Avril, H. Gascan, D. M. Heery, and O. Coqueret.** 2002.  
 589 Functional interaction of STAT3 transcription factor with the coactivator NcoA/SRC1a. *J*  
 590 *Biol Chem* **277**:8004-11.
- 591 27. **Grandvaux, N., B. R. tenOever, M. J. Servant, and J. Hiscott.** 2002. The interferon  
 592 antiviral response: from viral invasion to evasion. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* **15**:259-67.
- 593 28. **Hall, J. M., D. P. McDonnell, and K. S. Korach.** 2002. Allosteric regulation of estrogen  
 594 receptor structure, function, and coactivator recruitment by different estrogen response  
 595 elements. *Mol Endocrinol* **16**:469-86.
- 596 29. **Hooks, J. J., H. M. Moutsopoulos, S. A. Geis, N. I. Stahl, J. L. Decker, and A. L.**  
 597 **Notkins.** 1979. Immune interferon in the circulation of patients with autoimmune disease.  
 598 *N Engl J Med* **301**:5-8.
- 599 30. **Kaiser, F., D. Cook, S. Papoutsopoulou, R. Rajsbaum, X. Wu, H. T. Yang, S. Grant,**  
 600 **P. Ricciardi-Castagnoli, P. N. Tsihchlis, S. C. Ley, and A. O'Garra.** 2009. TPL-2  
 601 negatively regulates interferon-beta production in macrophages and myeloid dendritic  
 602 cells. *J Exp Med* **206**:1863-71.
- 603 31. **Kamei, Y., L. Xu, T. Heinzl, J. Torchia, R. Kurokawa, B. Gloss, S. C. Lin, R. A.**  
 604 **Heyman, D. W. Rose, C. K. Glass, and M. G. Rosenfeld.** 1996. A CBP integrator  
 605 complex mediates transcriptional activation and AP-1 inhibition by nuclear receptors.  
 606 *Cell* **85**:403-14.
- 607 32. **Lau, J. F., I. Nusinzon, D. Burakov, L. P. Freedman, and C. M. Horvath.** 2003. Role  
 608 of metazoan mediator proteins in interferon-responsive transcription. *Mol Cell Biol*  
 609 **23**:620-8.
- 610 33. **Lau, J. F., J. P. Parisien, and C. M. Horvath.** 2000. Interferon regulatory factor  
 611 subcellular localization is determined by a bipartite nuclear localization signal in the  
 612 DNA-binding domain and interaction with cytoplasmic retention factors. *Proc Natl Acad*  
 613 *Sci U S A* **97**:7278-83.

- 614 34. **Lechner, J., T. Welte, J. K. Tomasi, P. Bruno, C. Cairns, J. Gustafsson, and W.**  
 615 **Doppler.** 1997. Promoter-dependent synergy between glucocorticoid receptor and Stat5  
 616 in the activation of beta-casein gene transcription. *J Biol Chem* **272**:20954-60.
- 617 35. **Lefstin, J. A., and K. R. Yamamoto.** 1998. Allosteric effects of DNA on transcriptional  
 618 regulators. *Nature* **392**:885-8.
- 619 36. **Lerner, L., M. A. Henriksen, X. Zhang, and J. E. Darnell, Jr.** 2003. STAT3-  
 620 dependent enhanceosome assembly and disassembly: synergy with GR for full  
 621 transcriptional increase of the alpha 2-macroglobulin gene. *Genes Dev* **17**:2564-77.
- 622 37. **Levy, D. E., and J. E. Darnell, Jr.** 2002. Stats: transcriptional control and biological  
 623 impact. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **3**:651-62.
- 624 38. **Levy, D. E., I. Marie, and A. Prakash.** 2003. Ringing the interferon alarm: differential  
 625 regulation of gene expression at the interface between innate and adaptive immunity.  
 626 *Curr Opin Immunol* **15**:52-8.
- 627 39. **Litterst, C. M., and E. Pfitzner.** 2001. Transcriptional activation by STAT6 requires the  
 628 direct interaction with NCoA-1. *J Biol Chem* **276**:45713-21.
- 629 40. **Loniewski, K., Y. Shi, J. Pestka, and N. Parameswaran.** 2008. Toll-like receptors  
 630 differentially regulate GPCR kinases and arrestins in primary macrophages. *Mol*  
 631 *Immunol* **45**:2312-22.
- 632 41. **Lowenberg, M., A. P. Verhaar, G. R. van den Brink, and D. W. Hommes.** 2007.  
 633 Glucocorticoid signaling: a nongenomic mechanism for T-cell immunosuppression.  
 634 *Trends Mol Med* **13**:158-63.
- 635 42. **Ma, H., H. Hong, S. M. Huang, R. A. Irvine, P. Webb, P. J. Kushner, G. A. Coetzee,**  
 636 **and M. R. Stallcup.** 1999. Multiple signal input and output domains of the 160-  
 637 kilodalton nuclear receptor coactivator proteins. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**:6164-73.
- 638 43. **McCoy, C. E., S. Carpenter, E. M. Palsson-McDermott, L. J. Gearing, and L. A.**  
 639 **O'Neill.** 2008. Glucocorticoids inhibit IRF3 phosphorylation in response to Toll-like  
 640 receptor-3 and -4 by targeting TBK1 activation. *J Biol Chem* **283**:14277-85.
- 641 44. **Meijsing, S. H., M. A. Pufall, A. Y. So, D. L. Bates, L. Chen, and K. R. Yamamoto.**  
 642 2009. DNA binding site sequence directs glucocorticoid receptor structure and activity.  
 643 *Science* **324**:407-10.
- 644 45. **Norbiato, G., M. Bevilacqua, T. Vago, and M. Clerici.** 1996. Glucocorticoids and  
 645 interferon-alpha in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*  
 646 **81**:2601-6.
- 647 46. **Ogawa, S., J. Lozach, C. Benner, G. Pascual, R. K. Tangirala, S. Westin, A.**  
 648 **Hoffmann, S. Subramaniam, M. David, M. G. Rosenfeld, and C. K. Glass.** 2005.

- 649 Molecular determinants of crosstalk between nuclear receptors and toll-like receptors.  
650 Cell **122**:707-21.
- 651 47. **Pratt, W. B., Y. Morishima, M. Murphy, and M. Harrell.** 2006. Chaperoning of  
652 glucocorticoid receptors. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*:111-38.
- 653 48. **Preble, O. T., R. J. Black, R. M. Friedman, J. H. Klippel, and J. Vilcek.** 1982.  
654 Systemic lupus erythematosus: presence in human serum of an unusual acid-labile  
655 leukocyte interferon. *Science* **216**:429-31.
- 656 49. **Reily, M. M., C. Pantoja, X. Hu, Y. Chinenov, and I. Rogatsky.** 2006. The  
657 GRIP1:IRF3 interaction as a target for glucocorticoid receptor-mediated  
658 immunosuppression. *EMBO J* **25**:108-17.
- 659 50. **Rogatsky, I., H. F. Luecke, D. C. Leitman, and K. R. Yamamoto.** 2002. Alternate  
660 surfaces of transcriptional coregulator GRIP1 function in different glucocorticoid  
661 receptor activation and repression contexts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**:16701-6.
- 662 51. **Rogatsky, I., K. A. Zarembler, and K. R. Yamamoto.** 2001. Factor recruitment and  
663 TIF2/GRIP1 corepressor activity at a collagenase-3 response element that mediates  
664 regulation by phorbol esters and hormones. *EMBO J* **20**:6071-83.
- 665 52. **Ronnlblom, L., and G. V. Alm.** 2001. A pivotal role for the natural interferon alpha-  
666 producing cells (plasmacytoid dendritic cells) in the pathogenesis of lupus. *J Exp Med*  
667 **194**:F59-63.
- 668 53. **So, A. Y., C. Chaivorapol, E. C. Bolton, H. Li, and K. R. Yamamoto.** 2007.  
669 Determinants of cell- and gene-specific transcriptional regulation by the glucocorticoid  
670 receptor. *PLoS Genet* **3**:e94.
- 671 54. **Stocklin, E., M. Wissler, F. Gouilleux, and B. Groner.** 1996. Functional interactions  
672 between Stat5 and the glucocorticoid receptor. *Nature* **383**:726-8.
- 673 55. **Takeda, T., H. Kurachi, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nishio, Y. Nakatsuji, K. Morishige, A.**  
674 **Miyake, and Y. Murata.** 1998. Crosstalk between the interleukin-6 (IL-6)-JAK-STAT  
675 and the glucocorticoid-nuclear receptor pathway: synergistic activation of IL-6 response  
676 element by IL-6 and glucocorticoid. *J Endocrinol* **159**:323-30.
- 677 56. **Wei, X., H. Xu, and D. Kufe.** 2006. MUC1 oncoprotein stabilizes and activates estrogen  
678 receptor alpha. *Mol Cell* **21**:295-305.
- 679 57. **Wu, H. Y., Y. Hamamori, J. Xu, S. C. Chang, T. Saluna, M. F. Chang, B. W.**  
680 **O'Malley, and L. Kedes.** 2005. Nuclear hormone receptor coregulator GRIP1  
681 suppresses, whereas SRC1A and p/CIP coactivate, by domain-specific binding of MyoD.  
682 *J Biol Chem* **280**:3129-37.

- 683 58. **Wu, R. C., J. Qin, P. Yi, J. Wong, S. Y. Tsai, M. J. Tsai, and B. W. O'Malley.** 2004.  
 684 Selective phosphorylations of the SRC-3/AIB1 coactivator integrate genomic responses to  
 685 multiple cellular signaling pathways. *Mol Cell* **15**:937-49.
- 686 59. **Xu, J., and Q. Li.** 2003. Review of the in vivo functions of the p160 steroid receptor  
 687 coactivator family. *Mol Endocrinol* **17**:1681-92.
- 688 60. **Ytterberg, S. R., and T. J. Schnitzer.** 1982. Serum interferon levels in patients with  
 689 systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* **25**:401-6.
- 690 61. **Zheng, F. F., R. C. Wu, C. L. Smith, and B. W. O'Malley.** 2005. Rapid estrogen-  
 691 induced phosphorylation of the SRC-3 coactivator occurs in an extranuclear complex  
 692 containing estrogen receptor. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**:8273-84.  
 693  
 694

695 **FIG. LEGENDS**

696 **FIG. 1.** Dex inhibits IFN-induced gene expression downstream of Jak/STAT pathway activation.

697 (A) Inhibition of IFN-induced gene expression by Dex. BMM $\Phi$  were treated for 1 h (ISG56,  
 698 ISG15, ISG54, OASL1, Mx1), 2 h (IP10, CXCL9, CXCL11, IL6), or 4 h (Rantes) with vehicle  
 699 (untreated) or 500 U/mL IFN  $\pm$ 100 nM Dex, as shown. mRNA abundance of ISGF3 target  
 700 genes was determined by qPCR with GAPDH as normalization control and expressed relative to  
 701 untreated cells (con=1). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SEM. Results are representative of at least eight  
 702 independent experiments. (B,C) Type I IFN-induced phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2 is  
 703 Dex-resistant. RAW 264.7 cells or BMM $\Phi$  were cultured for indicated times in the presence of  
 704 500 U/mL IFN  $\pm$ 100 nM Dex, where indicated. STAT1 and STAT2 expression and activation  
 705 by tyrosine (Y701 and Y690, respectively) or serine (S727, STAT1 only) phosphorylation was  
 706 assessed by immunoblotting.

707 **FIG. 2.** IFN induction and Dex inhibition are mediated by ISREs. (A) Diagram of a series of  
 708 IP10-derived luciferase reporters, with WT or mutated (stars) promoter elements, and a  
 709 dimerized, IFN $\beta$ -derived ISRE reporter (2xISRE). (B) and (C)10<sup>5</sup> CV-1 cells were transfected  
 710 with 200 ng pCDNA3.rGR, 35 ng pCMV-LacZ and 200 ng of indicated reporter constructs from  
 711 (A), and treated the following day for 6 h as indicated. Luciferase activity was normalized to  $\beta$ -  
 712 galactosidase activity (as a measure of transfection efficiency) and expressed as relative  
 713 luminescence units (RLU). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SEM. Results are representative of five  
 714 independent experiments.

715 **FIG. 3.** Dex inhibits IFN-induced transcription complex assembly. BMM $\Phi$  were treated as  
 716 indicated for 30 min. ChIPs were performed using antibodies to STAT1 (A), H3AcK9/K14 (B),  
 717 Pol2 (C), or isotype-matched control IgG (A-C). Occupancy was determined by qPCR

718 amplification over ISRE (A) or TSS (B, C) regions of indicated target genes, normalized to  
 719 internal control (45S) and expressed relative to the mean signal obtained from cells precipitated  
 720 with control IgG (set to 1). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SEM. Results are representative of at least  
 721 three independent experiments.

722 **FIG. 4.** GRIP1 and IRF9 interact *in vitro* in a GR-sensitive manner. (A, C) Domain diagrams of  
 723 full-length GRIP1 (A) and IRF9 (C) and their derivatives produced *in vitro* and recombinantly in  
 724 *E. coli* as GST-fusion proteins, respectively. (B, D) Mapping the interacting surface on GRIP1  
 725 and IRF9. (B)  $^{35}\text{S}$  radiolabeled GRIP1 derivatives from (A) were tested for their ability to  
 726 interact with full length recombinant GST-IRF9 (upper panel) or GST alone (lower panel). (D)  
 727 Binding assays were performed between  $^{35}\text{S}$ -GRIP1 2-RD and GST-IRF9 derivatives from (C).  
 728 (E) The GRIP1:IRF9 interaction is disrupted by GR. HIS-tagged GRIP1 3-RD immobilized on  
 729 affinity resin was incubated with GST-IRF9 127C (lanes 3-4) or N145 (lanes 5-6), in the  
 730 presence or absence of  $^{35}\text{S}$ -GR, as indicated. 1  $\mu\text{M}$  Dex was present in all reactions. GR binding  
 731 to 3-RD was verified by autoradiography (middle) and IRF9 binding was assessed by  
 732 immunoblotting with GST-specific antibodies (top). Immobilized 3-RD was visualized by  
 733 Coomassie blue staining (bottom).

734 **FIG. 5.** IFN-induced gene expression is dependent upon the presence of active GRIP1. (A)  
 735 GRIP1.N1007 overexpression attenuates IFN-induced transcription.  $10^5$  CV-1 cells were  
 736 transiently transfected with 35 ng pCMV-LacZ, 200 ng 2X-ISRE-Luc, and increasing amounts  
 737 (0, 50, 100 and 200 ng) of pCDNA GRIP1.N1007, or pCDNA3 to equalize the total amount of  
 738 transfected DNA. The following day, cells were treated for 6 h with 500 U/mL IFN, as indicated,  
 739 and whole cell lysates were assayed for luciferase activity (exactly as in Fig. 2) (left) or GRIP1  
 740 expression by immunoblotting (right). (B) siRNA depletion of GRIP1 antagonizes IFN-



741 dependent ISG induction.  $2 \times 10^6$  RAW264.7 cells were transfected with 3  $\mu$ g of siRNA against  
 742 GRIP1 (siG) or scrambled RNA (siC) as negative control. 18 h later, cells were treated with 500  
 743 U/mL IFN for 6 h, as indicated. GRIP1 protein level was analyzed by immunoblotting, with anti-  
 744 STAT3 blot to verify equal loading (right), and mRNA expression levels of target genes were  
 745 analyzed by qPCR, as in Fig. 1 (left). (C) Adenovirus-mediated GRIP1 KD in primary M $\Phi$   
 746 attenuates the IFN response. Primary BMM $\Phi$  were derived from GRIP1<sup>fllox/fllox</sup> mice as described  
 747 in Materials and Methods and infected with adenovirus expressing Cre recombinase (Ad-Cre) or  
 748 control GFP (Ad-GFP). mRNA levels of indicated genes were analyzed by qPCR, as in Fig. 1.  
 749 ISGs are expressed as a percentage of IFN induction in Ad-GFP-infected cells (100%). GRIP1 is  
 750 expressed relative to the mean signal obtained from cells infected with control Ad-GFP (set to 1).  
 751 Error bars represent  $\pm$  SEM. Results are representative of at least four independent experiments.

752 **FIG. 6.** RU486 relieves Dex-mediated inhibition of ISG transcription. (A) BMM $\Phi$  were treated  
 753 for 2 h with 500 U/mL IFN +/-100 nM Dex, +/- indicated concentrations of RU486 (RU).  
 754 mRNA abundance of ISGF3 target genes was determined by qPCR with  $\beta$ Actin as normalization  
 755 control and expressed as a percentage of induction by IFN alone (100%). (B) BMM $\Phi$  were  
 756 treated as indicated for 30 min. ChIPs were performed using Pol2 antibodies or isotype-matched  
 757 control normal IgG (not shown). Occupancy was determined by qPCR amplification over TSS  
 758 regions of indicated target genes as in Fig. 3. Error bars represent  $\pm$  SEM.

759 **FIG. 7. The effect of glucocorticoids on ISG expression depends on the GRIP1 protein level**  
 760 **in a cell.** (A) ISG expression in 3T3 mouse fibroblasts is Dex-resistant. 3T3 cells were treated  
 761 for indicated times with 500 U/mL IFN +/- 100 nM Dex (left) or Dex alone (right), as shown,  
 762 and mRNA abundance of indicated genes was determined by qPCR with  $\beta$ Actin as  
 763 normalization control. (B) GRIP1 protein level varies dramatically between cell types. An

764 equivalent amount of whole cell extracts (WCE) from BMM $\Phi$ , RAW264.7 cells or 3T3  
765 fibroblasts were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and the expression of GRIP1, GR and ERK1/2 (as a  
766 loading control) was assessed by immunoblotting. (C) IFN-dependent GRIP1 and Pol2  
767 recruitment to ISGs in fibroblasts is Dex-resistant. 3T3 cells were treated for 1 h, as indicated,  
768 and GRIP1 and Pol2 occupancy at the ISRE or TSS, respectively, of indicated genes was  
769 determined by qPCR, normalized to internal control (45S) and expressed relative to the mean  
770 signal obtained from cells precipitated with control IgG (set to 1). (D) GRIP1:IRF9 interaction in  
771 RAW264.7 cells is sensitive to Dex. RAW264.7 cells were treated as shown for 1 h and lysates  
772 were prepared. 20% of each lysate was boiled in sample buffer to generate WCE, whereas the  
773 rest was precipitated with anti-GRIP1 antibody ( $\alpha$ GRIP1 IP). Protein complexes were adsorbed  
774 on protein A/G PLUS agarose beads, boiled in sample buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE along  
775 with WCE. GRIP1 and IRF9 were detected by immunoblotting. (E) GRIP1 overexpression in  
776 RAW264.7 cells rescues ISG expression.  $0.5 \times 10^6$  RAW264.7 cells were transfected with 1-2  
777  $\mu$ g of pCDNA-GRIP1 (GRIP1) or empty vector (vec) using GenePORTER 3000 (Genlantis) as  
778 per the manufacturer's instructions. 24 h later, cells were treated with IFN +/- Dex, as indicated,  
779 for 2 h and mRNA expression levels of IP10 and OASL1 were analyzed by qPCR, as in Fig. 1.















